

15F-1 Bookkeeping

- 0 pts Correct

2 Exercise 5F-2. VCGen Do-While [8 points].

First, we consider the command $do_{Inv1} c \text{ while } b$. This can be broken down into three parts: $assert(Inv1); c; while_{Inv2} b \text{ do } c$

We introduce a new loop invariant for the while loop, $Inv2$. This will allow us to check $Inv1$ before and after c is executed. Additionally, we can present the first execution of the command c . Originally, $Inv1$ must be true for each iteration of the while loop. Therefore, $Inv2$ will imply $Inv1$. $(Inv1 \wedge Inv2 \Rightarrow Inv1)$

We use x_1, \dots, x_n to represent the variables modified in c . The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} & VC(assert(Inv1 \wedge Inv2 \Rightarrow Inv1), VC(c; while_{Inv2} b \text{ do } c, B)) \\ & Inv1 \wedge Inv2 \Rightarrow Inv1 \wedge VC(c, VC(while_{Inv2} b \text{ do } c, B)) \\ & Inv1 \wedge Inv2 \Rightarrow Inv1 \wedge VC(c, Inv2 \wedge (\forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n Inv2 \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow VC(c, Inv2)) \wedge \neg b \Rightarrow B)) \end{aligned}$$

3 Exercise 5F-3. VCGen Mistakes [20 points].

3.1 Stark Rule

The problem of stark rule is that it does not assume $\neg b$ even after the loop terminates.

1. stark
2. A: $x < 3$
3. B: $x=6$
4. $\sigma(x) = 0$
5. $\sigma'(x) = 6$
6. c: while $x < 6$ do $x := x+1$
7. $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$
8. $\sigma \models A$
9. $\sigma' \models B$
10. It is impossible to prove $\{A\}$ while $x < 6$ do $x := x+1$ $\{B\}$ using stark rule

Here, we will prove 10 by contradiction. If it is possible, we have a derivation D:

$$D :: \vdash \{x < 3\} \text{ while } x < 6 \text{ do } x := x + 1 \{x = 6\}$$

By inversion, the last rule used in D can be either stark rule or the rule of consequence.

If the last rule is stark, we notice that the pre-condition and post-condition are not the same. Therefore it contradicts the definition of stark rule.

2 5F-2 VCGen Do-While

- 0 pts Correct

2 Exercise 5F-2. VCGen Do-While [8 points].

First, we consider the command $do_{Inv1} c \text{ while } b$. This can be broken down into three parts: $assert(Inv1); c; while_{Inv2} b \text{ do } c$

We introduce a new loop invariant for the while loop, $Inv2$. This will allow us to check $Inv1$ before and after c is executed. Additionally, we can present the first execution of the command c . Originally, $Inv1$ must be true for each iteration of the while loop. Therefore, $Inv2$ will imply $Inv1$. $(Inv1 \wedge Inv2 \Rightarrow Inv1)$

We use x_1, \dots, x_n to represent the variables modified in c . The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} & VC(assert(Inv1 \wedge Inv2 \Rightarrow Inv1), VC(c; while_{Inv2} b \text{ do } c, B)) \\ & Inv1 \wedge Inv2 \Rightarrow Inv1 \wedge VC(c, VC(while_{Inv2} b \text{ do } c, B)) \\ & Inv1 \wedge Inv2 \Rightarrow Inv1 \wedge VC(c, Inv2 \wedge (\forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n Inv2 \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow VC(c, Inv2)) \wedge \neg b \Rightarrow B)) \end{aligned}$$

3 Exercise 5F-3. VCGen Mistakes [20 points].

3.1 Stark Rule

The problem of stark rule is that it does not assume $\neg b$ even after the loop terminates.

1. stark
2. A: $x < 3$
3. B: $x=6$
4. $\sigma(x) = 0$
5. $\sigma'(x) = 6$
6. c: while $x < 6$ do $x := x+1$
7. $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$
8. $\sigma \models A$
9. $\sigma' \models B$
10. It is impossible to prove $\{A\}$ while $x < 6$ do $x := x+1$ $\{B\}$ using stark rule

Here, we will prove 10 by contradiction. If it is possible, we have a derivation D:

$$D :: \vdash \{x < 3\} \text{ while } x < 6 \text{ do } x := x + 1 \{x = 6\}$$

By inversion, the last rule used in D can be either stark rule or the rule of consequence.

If the last rule is stark, we notice that the pre-condition and post-condition are not the same. Therefore it contradicts the definition of stark rule.

If the last rule is rule of consequence, D should be

$$D_1 :: \vdash \{x < 3\} \Rightarrow P \frac{D_4 :: \vdash \{P \wedge x < 6\} x := x + 1 \{P\}}{D_2 :: \vdash \{P\} \text{ while } x < 6 \text{ do } x := x + 1 \{P\}} D_3 :: \vdash P \Rightarrow \{x = 6\}}{\vdash \{x < 3\} \text{ while } x < 6 \text{ do } x := x + 1 \{x = 6\}}$$

It is impossible to find such P that $\vdash \{x < 3\} \Rightarrow P \Rightarrow \{x = 6\}$.

We have shown the contradiction in both two cases. Therefore, it is impossible to prove $\{A\}$ while $x < 6$ do $x := x+1 \{B\}$ using stark rule.

3.2 Targaryen Rule

The problem of targaryen rule is that it does not allow you to assume assume b inside the loop.

1. targaryen
2. A: $x \leq 6$
3. B: $x=6$
4. $\sigma(x) = 0$
5. $\sigma'(x) = 6$
6. c: while $x < 6$ do $x := x+1$
7. $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$
8. $\sigma \models A$
9. $\sigma' \models B$
10. It is impossible to prove $\{A\}$ while $x \leq 6$ do $x := x+1 \{B\}$ using targaryen rule

Here, we will prove 10 by contradiction. If it is possible, we have a derivation D:

$$D :: \vdash \{x \leq 6\} \text{ while } x < 6 \text{ do } x := x + 1 \{x = 6\}$$

By inversion, the last rule used in D can be either targaryen rule or the rule of consequence.

If the last rule is targaryen rule, we notice that the post-condition is not textually equal to the precondition $\wedge \neg b$. Therefore it contradicts the definition of targaryen rule.

If the last rule is rule of consequence, D should be

$$D_1 :: \vdash \{x \leq 6\} \Rightarrow P \frac{D_4 :: \vdash \{P\} x := x + 1 \{P\}}{D_2 :: \vdash \{P\} \text{ while } x < 6 \text{ do } x := x + 1 \{P \wedge \neg x < 6\}} D_3 :: \vdash \{P \wedge \neg x < 6\} \Rightarrow \{x = 6\}}{\vdash \{x \leq 6\} \text{ while } x < 6 \text{ do } x := x + 1 \{x = 6\}}$$

We can observe that P has to be $x \leq 6$ given that $\vdash \{x \leq 6\} \Rightarrow P$ and $\{P \wedge \neg x < 6\} \Rightarrow \{x = 6\}$. However, D4 cannot exist by soundness for the chosen P when $x=6$.

We have shown the contradiction in both two cases. Therefore, it is impossible to prove $\{A\}$ while $x < 6$ do $x := x+1 \{B\}$ using targaryen rule.

3 5F-3 VCGen Mistakes

- 0 pts Correct