4F-2. VCGen for Let

Because x is local, any mention of x in the outer postcondition B, once c is done, either makes no sense if x is truly out of scope or refers to some global variable x that is not the same as the local one. Therefore, we need to change the substutition position as follows,

$$VC(\text{let } x = e \text{ in } c, B) = VC([e/x]c, B)$$

4F-3. VCGen Mistakes

Let c be let x=0 in skip, B be x=0 and $\sigma[x]=3$. Let's calculate the VC(c, B) which is VC(let x=0 in skip, x=0).

$$VC(\text{let }x=0 \text{ in skip}, x=0) \equiv [0/x]VC(\text{skip}, x=0)$$

 $\equiv [0/x]x=0$
 $\equiv 0=0$
 $\equiv true$

And we should also find what is σ' .

$$\begin{split} \langle \text{let } x = 0 \text{ in skip, } \sigma \rangle &\to \langle \text{skip; } x := 3, \sigma[x := 0]) \\ &\to \langle x := 3, \sigma(x = 0) \rangle \\ &\to \langle \text{skip, } \sigma(x = 0)[x := 3] \rangle \end{split}$$

Then we will have $\sigma'[x] = 3$ after we evaluate the c. Therefore, we have $\sigma \models true$ but $\sigma' \not\models x = 0$. And we can conclude that our VC rule for let is unsound since $\not\models \{VC(c,B)\}\ c\ \{B\}$.

4F-4. Axiomatic Do-While

Here is the Hoare rule for the "do-while" loop. The only difference from the regular while loop here is that we need to have an initial state that first evaluates the c once and then we can apply the loop invariant to the system. Therefore, instead of $A \land \neg b \Rightarrow B$ we have $\{A\}$ c $\{C\}$ and $C \land \neg b \Rightarrow B$ and we have the invariant as D.

$$\frac{\vdash \{A\} \ c \ \{C\} \qquad \vdash C \land b \Rightarrow D \qquad \vdash \{D\} \ c \ \{C\} \qquad \vdash C \land \neg b \Rightarrow B}{\vdash \{A\} \ \text{do} \ c \ \text{while} \ b \ \{B\}}$$