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## One-Slide Summary

- Recursive transition networks and Backus-Naur Form context-free grammars are equivalent formalisms for specifying formal languages.
- find-closest is quite powerful. Problem sets?
- L-system fractals are based on a rewriting system that is very similar to BNF grammars.
- We can practice our CS knowledge up to this point to solve problems by writing recursive procedures. (It won't take too long.)

Data collection is winding down. If you complete your NPSAS questionnaire soon, you will receive a
$\$ 30$ check as a token of our appreciation. The questionnaire takes about 60203 minutes to $\$ 30$ check as a token of our appreciation. The questionnaire takes about 60203 minutes to complete on

## Recursive Transition Networks

## PS2: Question 9, 10

- Predict how long it will take
- Identify ways to make it faster

Most of next week and much of many later classes will be focused on how computer scientists predict how long programs will take, and on how to make them faster.

## Can we do better?

This is what we used in PS2 for our Poker-Bot:
(define (find-best-hand hole-cards community-cards)
(car (sort (possible-hands hole-cards
community-cards))
higher-hand?))

But didn't we learn something in the last class for finding the "closest" or "best" element in a list?

## Recall From Last Time

(define (find-closest goal Ist closeness) (if ( $=1$ (length Ist))
(car Ist)
(pick-closest closeness goal (car Ist)
(find-closest goal (cdr Ist) closeness))))
(define (pick-closest closeness goal num1 num2)
(if (< (closeness goal num1)
(closeness goal num2))
num1
num2))

find-best-hand
(define (find-bestiest Ist bestiness)
(if (= 1 (length Ist)) (car Ist)
(pick-bestier bestiness
(car Ist)
(find-bestiest (cdr lst) bestiness))))
(define (pick-bestier bestiness num1 num2)
(if (bestiness num1 num2) num1 num2))
(define (find-best-hand Ist) (find-bestest Ist higher-hand?))
Next week: how much better is this? At home: convince yourself that they get the same answer.

## find-bestest

(define (find-bestiest lst bestiness)
(if (= 1 (length Ist))
(car Ist)
(pick-bestier bestiness
(car Ist)
(find-bestiest (cdr lst) bestiness))))
(define (pick-bestier bestiness num1 num2)
(if (bestiness num1 num2)

## num1

num2))

This used to be
(< (dist num1 goal)
(dist num2 goal))

## Liberal Arts Trivia: <br> Latin American Studies

- This important leader of Spanish America's successful struggle for independence is credited with decisively contributing to the independence of the present-day countries of Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Panama, and Bolivia. He defeated the Spanish Monarchy and was in turn defeated by tuberculosis.


## Liberal Arts Trivia: Media Studies

- This 1988 book by Herman and Chomsky presented the seminal "propaganda model", arguing that as news media outlets are run by corporations, they are under competitive pressure. Consider the dependency of mass media news outlets upon major sources of news, particularly the government. If a particular outlet is in disfavor with a government, it can be subtly 'shut out', and other outlets given preferential treatment. Since this results in a loss in news leadership, it can also result in a loss of viewership. That can itself result in a loss of advertising revenue, which is the primary income for most of the mass media (newspapers, magazines, television). To minimize the possibilities of lost revenue, therefore, outlets will tend to report news in a tone more favorable to government and business, and giving unfavorable news about government and business less emphasis.


## L-Systems

CommandSequence ::= (CommandList )
CommandList $::=$ Command CommandList
CommandList ::=
Command ::= F
Command ::= RAngle
Command ::= OCommandSequence

## PS3:

## Lindenmayer System Fractals



CommandSequence ::= (CommandList )
CommandList ::= Command CommandList
L-System Rewriting

CommandList ::=
Command ::= F
Command ::= RAngle
Command ::= OCommandSequence
Start: (F)
Rewrite Rule:

$$
\mathrm{F} \rightarrow(\mathrm{FO}(\mathrm{R} 30 \mathrm{~F}) \mathrm{FO} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{R}-60 \mathrm{~F}) \mathrm{F})
$$

Work like BNF replacement rules, except replace all instances at once!

Why is this a better model for biological systems?


Level 0

## Level 1

Start: (F) $\quad F \rightarrow(F O(R 30 F) F O(R-60 F) F)$
(F)
( $\mathrm{FO} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{R} 30 \mathrm{~F}) \mathrm{FO} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{R}-60 \mathrm{~F}) \mathrm{F})$


Level 2
Level 3


## Two versions of count-fives

(define (count-fives Ist)
(if (null? lst)
0
(if (eq? (car Ist) 5)
(+ 1 (count-fives (cdr Ist)))
(count-fives (cdr lst)))))
(define (count-fives lst)
(if (null? Ist) 0 (+ (if (eq? (car lst) 5) 10 )
(count-fives (cdr lst)))))

Both work fine! How are they different?

## Liberal Arts Trivia: Accounting

- In this bookkeeping system, each transaction is recorded in at least two accounts. Each transaction results in one account being debited and another account being credited, with the total debits equal to the total credits. Luca Pacioli, a monk an collaborator of Leonardo da Vinci, is called the "father of accounting" because he published a usable, detailed description of this system.


## Liberal Arts Trivia: Medicine

- This vector-borne infectious disease is caused by protozoan parasites. It is widespread in tropical regions, such as sub-Saharan African. Each year there are about 515 million cases of it, killing between one and three million people. No formal vaccine is available. Classic symptoms include sudden coldness followed by rigor and then fever and sweating.


## contains

- Write a procedure contains? that takes two arguments: an element and a list. It returns \#t if the list contains the given element, \#f otherwise.
- (contains? 5 (list 123 4)) -> \#f
- (contains? 5 (list 234 5)) -> \#t
- (contains? null (list 12 3)) -> \#f
- (contains? 1 (list 2 null 1)) -> \#t
- (contains? 3 (list )) -> \#f


## contains explained

(define (contains? elt lst)
(if (null? lst)
\#f
(if (eq? elt (car lst))
\#t
(contains? elt (cdr lst)))))

```
(define (contains? elt lst)
(if null? lst) \#f
```

(or (eq? elt (car Ist)) (contains? elt (cdr lst))))

Both work fine! How are they different?

## common-elt?

- Write a procedure common-elt? that takes two lists as arguments. It returns \#t if there is a common element contained in both lists, \#f otherwise.
- (common-elt? (list 12 3) (list 34 5)) -> \#t
- (common-elt? (list 12 3) (list 45 6)) $\quad->\# f$
- (common-elt? (list 1 2) (list 000 1)) -> \#t
- (common-elt? (list 1) null) -> \#f
- (common-elt? null (list 12 3)) -> \#f
- (common-elt? (list 1) (list 12 3)) -> \#t
- Hint: contains?


## common-elt?

## (define (common-elt? Ist1 lst2)

(if (null? lst1) \#f
(if (contains? (car lst1) lst2)

## \#t

(common-elt? (cdr lst1) lst2))))
(define (common-elt? lst1 lst2)
(if (or (null? lst1) (null? lst2)) \#f
(or (eq? (car lst1) (car lst2))
(common-elt? lst1 (cdr lst2))
(common-elt? (cdr lst1) lst2)))))
;; this version is super slow!

## zero-to-hero

- Write a procedure zero-to-hero that takes as input a list of strings. It returns the same list in the same order, but every element that used to be "zero" is now "hero".
- (zero-to-hero (list "a" "zero" "b" "jercules"))
- ("a" "hero" "b" "jercules")
- (zero-to-hero (list "zorro"))
- ("zorro")
- (zero-to-hero (list "zero" "zero" "one" "zero"))
- ("hero" "hero" "one" "hero")


## zero-to-hero

(define (zero-to-hero Ist)
(if (null? lst) null
Both work! How are they different?
(if (eq? (car lst) "zero"))
(cons "hero" (zero-to-hero (cdr Ist))) (cons (car lst) (zero-to-hero (cdr lst))))))
(define (zero-to-hero lst)
(map (lambda (x)
(if (eq? x "zero") "hero" x))
lst)) ;; learn map if you haven't yet!

## tiny-squares

(define (tiny-squares lst)
(if (null? lst) null
(if (<= (car lst) 10)

Both work! How are they different?
(cons (* (car lst) (car Ist))
(tiny-squares (cdr lst)))
(tiny-squares (cdr lst)))))
(define (tiny-squares lst)
(filter (lambda (squared) (<= squared 100))
(map (lambda (x) (* x x)) lst)))
;; this ordering: map first, then filter!

## tiny-squares

- Write a procedure tiny-squares that takes as input a list of numbers. It returns a list of the squares of those numbers (in the same order), but any square above 100 is not included in the output.
- (tiny-squares (list 891011 12))
-(64 81 100)
- (tiny-squares (list -2 12477 5))
-(4 16 25)
- (tiny-squares (list 321 100)
-(941)


## every

- Write a procedure every that takes two elements, a predicate and a list. (Recall that a predicate is a function that takes an element and returns \#t or \#f.) The procedure every returns \#t if the predicate returns \#t on every one of its elements. It returns \#f if even one element does not pass the test. On the empty list, every returns \#t.
- (every (lambda (x) (> x 3)) (list 45 6)) $\quad$-> \#t
- (every (lambda (x) (> x 3)) (list 91 1)) $\quad$-> \#f
- (every (lambda (x) (eq? x 3)) (list 3 3)) $\quad->$ \#t
- (every (lambda (x) (<x y)) (list )) -> \#t
every heartbeat belongs to you!
(define (every pred lst)
(if (null? lst) \#t
(if (pred (car lst)) (every pred (cdr lst)) \#f)))

Both work! How are they different?

## count-false

- Write a function count-false that takes two arguments: a predicate and a list. It returns the number of elements in the list for which the predicate returns \#f.
- (count-false (lambda (x) (> x 3)) (list 123456789 10))
- (count-false (lambda (x) (> x 3)) (list -1-2 -3 -4))
- 4
- (count-false (lambda (x) (eq? x "a")) (list "a" "b" "a")) - 1
- (count-false (lambda (x) (eq? x "a")) (list ))
- 0


## count-false

(define (count-false pred lst)
(if (null? lst) 0
(if (pred (car Ist))
(count-false pred (cdr Ist))
(+ 1 (count-false pred (cdr Ist)))
(define (count-false pred lst)
(list-length (filter (lambda (x) (not (pred x))) Ist))
(define (count-false pred Ist)
(- (list-length Ist) (list-length (filter pred Ist))))

All work!
How are they different?
(define (every pred lst)
(eq? (list-length (filter pred Ist))
(list-length lst)))

## Questions

- We're more or less done with procedure practice in class.
- Test questions may look a lot like this.
- If you're still having trouble with these, come see Wes or a TA. We'll make up problems for you to practice and go over writing recursive procedures with you.
- Time permitting, ask me anything now.


## Homework

- Read Course Book Chapter 6 before Monday
- Start in on PS3 (due Wed Feb 17)
- Start on reading Chapter 7
- As soon as it's available ...

