## CS 6120/CS 4120: Natural Language Processing

Instructor: Prof. Lu Wang College of Computer and Information Science Northeastern University

Webpage: <a href="http://www.ccs.neu.edu/home/luwang">www.ccs.neu.edu/home/luwang</a>

## Outline

- Maximum Entropy
  - Feedforward Neural Networks
  - Recurrent Neural Networks

#### Introduction

- So far we've looked at "joint (or generative) models"
  - Language models, Naive Bayes, HMM
- But there is now much use of conditional or discriminative probabilistic models in NLP, Speech, information retrieval (and machine learning generally)
- Because:
  - They give high accuracy performance
  - They make it easy to incorporate lots of linguistically important features

## Joint vs. Conditional Models

- We have some data {(*d*, *c*)} of paired observations *d* and hidden classes *c*.
- Joint (generative) models place probabilities over both observed data and the hidden stuff (generate the observed data from hidden stuff):

p(c|d)=p(c,d)/p(d)

- All the classic statistic NLP models:
  - *n*-gram models, Naive Bayes classifiers, hidden Markov models, probabilistic context-free grammars, IBM machine translation alignment models

## Joint vs. Conditional Models

• Discriminative (conditional) models take the data as given, and put a probability over hidden structure given the data:

 $P(c \mid d)$ 

- Logistic regression/maximum entropy models (this lecture), conditional random fields
- Also, SVMs, (averaged) perceptron, etc. are discriminative classifiers (but not directly probabilistic)

## Conditional vs. Joint Likelihood

- A *joint* model gives probabilities P(*d*,*c*) and tries to maximize this joint likelihood.
- A *conditional* model gives probabilities  $P(c \mid d)$ . It takes the data as given and models only the conditional probability of the class.
  - We seek to maximize conditional likelihood.
  - More closely related to classification error.

## Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt)

• Or logistic regression

#### Features

- In these slides and most MaxEnt work: *features (or feature functions) f* are elementary pieces of evidence that link aspects of what we observe *d* with a category *c* that we want to predict
- A feature is a function with a **bounded** real value:  $f: C \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

### Example Task: Named Entity Type

LOCATION in Arcadia

#### LOCATION in Québec

# DRUG PERSON taking Zantac saw Sue

## Example features

- $f_1(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \land w_{-1} = \text{``in''} \land \text{isCapitalized}(w)]$
- $f_2(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \land \text{hasAccentedLatinChar}(w)]$
- $f_3(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{DRUG} \land \text{ends}(w, \text{``c''})]$

LOCATIONLOCATIONDRUGPERSONin Arcadiain Québectaking Zantacsaw Sue

- Models will assign to each feature a *weight:* 
  - A positive weight votes that this configuration is likely correct
  - A negative weight votes that this configuration is likely incorrect

## Example features

- $f_1(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \land w_{-1} = \text{``in''} \land \text{isCapitalized}(w)] \rightarrow \text{weight } 1.8$
- $f_2(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \land \text{hasAccentedLatinChar}(w)] \rightarrow \text{weight } -0.6$
- $f_3(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{DRUG} \land \text{ends}(w, \text{``c''})] \rightarrow \text{weight } 0.3$
- Weights will be learned by training on a labeled dataset

#### More about feature functions:

an indicator function – a yes/no boolean matching function – of properties of the input and a particular class

$$f_i(c, d) \equiv [\Phi(d) \land c = c_j] \qquad \text{[Value is 0 or 1]}$$

## Feature-Based Models

• The decision about a data point is based only on the features active at that point.

Data BUSINESS: Stocks hit a yearly low ... Label: BUSINESS

Features {..., stocks, hit, a, yearly, low, ...}

**Text Classification** 

Data

... to restructure bank:MONEY debt.

Label: MONEY Features {..., w<sub>-1</sub>=restructure, w<sub>+1</sub>=debt, L=12, ...}

Word Sense Disambiguation Data DT JJ NN ... The previous fall ... Label: NN Features  $\{w=fall, t_{-1}=JJ$  $w_{-1}=previous\}$ 

POS Tagging

## Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

- Linear classifiers at classification time:
  - Linear function from feature sets  $\{f_i\}$  to classes  $\{c\}$ .
  - Assign a weight  $\lambda_i$  to each feature  $f_i$ .
  - We consider each class for sample *d*
  - For a pair (*c*,*d*), features vote with their weights:
    - vote(c) =  $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} f_{i}(c,d)$

PERSON	LOCATION	DRUG
in Québec	in Québec	in Québec

• Choose the class c which maximizes  $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} f_{i}(c,d)$ 

- Maximum Entropy:
  - Make a probabilistic model from the linear combination  $\Sigma \lambda_i f_i(c,d)$

$$P(c \mid d, \lambda) = \frac{\exp \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} f_{i}(c, d)}{\sum_{c'} \exp \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} f_{i}(c', d)} \leftarrow \frac{\text{Makes votes positive}}{\text{Normalizes votes}}$$

### Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

- $f_1(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \land w_{-1} = \text{``in''} \land \text{isCapitalized}(w)] \rightarrow \text{weight } 1.8$
- $f_2(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \land \text{hasAccentedLatinChar}(w)] \rightarrow \text{weight } -0.6$
- $f_3(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{DRUG} \land \text{ends}(w, \text{``c''})] \rightarrow \text{weight } 0.3$

 $f_1(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \land w_{-1} = \text{``in''} \land \text{isCapitalized}(w)] \rightarrow \text{weight 1.8}$  $f_2(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \land \text{hasAccentedLatinChar}(w)] \rightarrow \text{weight -0.6}$  $f_3(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{DRUG} \land \text{ends}(w, \text{``c''})] \rightarrow \text{weight 0.3}$ 

- Maximum Entropy:
  - Make a probabilistic model from the linear combination  $\Sigma \lambda_i f_i(c,d)$

$$P(c \mid d, \lambda) = \frac{\exp \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} f_{i}(c, d)}{\sum_{c'} \exp \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} f_{i}(c', d)} \leftarrow \frac{\text{Makes votes positive}}{\text{Normalizes votes}}$$

 $f_1(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \land w_{-1} = \text{``in''} \land \text{isCapitalized}(w)] \rightarrow \text{weight 1.8}$  $f_2(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \land \text{hasAccentedLatinChar}(w)] \rightarrow \text{weight -0.6}$  $f_3(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{DRUG} \land \text{ends}(w, \text{``c''})] \rightarrow \text{weight 0.3}$ 

- Maximum Entropy:
  - Make a probabilistic model from the linear combination  $\Sigma \lambda_i f_i(c,d)$

$$P(c \mid d, \lambda) = \frac{\exp \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} f_{i}(c, d)}{\sum_{c'} \exp \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} f_{i}(c', d)} \leftarrow \frac{\text{Makes votes positive}}{\text{Normalizes votes}}$$

- $P(LOCATION|in Québec) = e^{1.8}e^{-0.6}/(e^{1.8}e^{-0.6} + e^{0.3} + e^{0}) = 0.586$
- $P(DRUG|in Québec) = e^{0.3} / (e^{1.8}e^{-0.6} + e^{0.3} + e^{0}) = 0.238$
- $P(PERSON|in Québec) = e^0 / (e^{1.8}e^{-0.6} + e^{0.3} + e^0) = 0.176$
- The weights are the parameters of the probability model, combined via a "soft max" function

## Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

- Given this model form, we will choose parameters  $\{\lambda_i\}$  that maximize the conditional likelihood of the data according to this model.
- Parameter learning is omitted and not required for this course, but is often discussed in a machine learning class.
  - E.g. gradient descent for parameter learning
- We construct not only classifications, but probability distributions over classifications.
  - There are other (good!) ways of discriminating classes SVMs, boosting, even perceptrons – but these methods are not as trivial to interpret as distributions over classes.

## Other MaxEnt Classifier Examples

- You can use a MaxEnt classifier whenever you want to assign data points to one of a number of classes:
  - Sentence boundary detection (Mikheev 2000)
    - Is a period end of sentence or abbreviation?
  - Sentiment analysis (Pang and Lee 2002)
    - Word unigrams, bigrams, POS counts, ...
  - Prepositional phrase attachment (Ratnaparkhi 1998)
    - Attach to verb or noun? Features of head noun, preposition, etc.
  - Parsing decisions (Ratnaparkhi 1997; Johnson et al. 1999, etc.)

## Outline

- Maximum Entropy
- Feedforward Neural Networks
  - Recurrent Neural Networks

## Neural Network Learning

- Learning approach based on modeling adaptation in biological neural systems.
- Perceptron: Initial algorithm for learning simple neural networks (single layer) developed in the 1950's.
- Backpropagation: More complex algorithm for learning multi-layer neural networks developed in the 1980's. (not required for this class)

#### ARTIFICIAL NEURON

**Topics:** connection weights, bias, activation function

• Neuron pre-activation (or input activation):

 $a(\mathbf{x}) = b + \sum_{i} w_i x_i = b + \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$ 

- Neuron (output) activation  $h(\mathbf{x}) = g(a(\mathbf{x})) = g(b + \sum_{i} w_{i}x_{i})$   $(x_{1})$   $(w_{1})$   $(w_{2})$   $(w_{1})$   $(w_{2})$   $(w_{1})$   $(w_{2})$   $(w_{2})$   $(w_{3})$   $(w_{4})$   $(w_{4$
- $\cdot$  w are the connection weights
- $\cdot \ b$  is the neuron bias
- $g(\cdot)$  is called the activation function

#### ARTIFICIAL NEURON

**Topics:** connection weights, bias, activation function



#### **Topics:** linear activation function

- Performs no input squashing
- Not very interesting...



$$g(a) = a$$

#### **Topics:** sigmoid activation function

- Squashes the neuron's pre-activation between
   0 and 1
- Always positive
- Bounded
- Strictly increasing



 $g(a) = \operatorname{sigm}(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}$ 

**Topics:** hyperbolic tangent ("tanh") activation function

- Squashes the neuron's pre-activation between
   I and I
- Can be positive or negative
- Bounded
- Strictly increasing



$$g(a) = \tanh(a) = \frac{\exp(a) - \exp(-a)}{\exp(a) + \exp(-a)} = \frac{\exp(2a) - 1}{\exp(2a) + 1}$$

#### **Topics:** rectified linear activation function

- Bounded below by 0 (always non-negative)
- Not upper bounded
- Strictly increasing
- Tends to give neurons with sparse activities



 $g(a) = \operatorname{reclin}(a) = \max(0, a)$ 

```
class Neuron(object):
    # ...
    def forward(inputs):
        """ assume inputs and weights are 1-D numpy arrays and bias is a number """
        cell_body_sum = np.sum(inputs * self.weights) + self.bias
        firing_rate = 1.0 / (1.0 + math.exp(-cell_body_sum)) # sigmoid activation function
        return firing_rate
```

### Linear Separator

 Since one-layer neuron (aka perceptron) uses linear threshold function, it is searching for a linear separator that discriminates the classes.



#### ARTIFICIAL NEURON

**Topics:** capacity of single neuron

• Can solve linearly separable problems



#### ARTIFICIAL NEURON

#### **Topics:** capacity of single neuron

• Can't solve non linearly separable problems...



• ... unless the input is transformed in a better representation

#### NEURAL NETWORK

**Topics:** single hidden layer neural network

 $(\mathbf{x})$ • Hidden layer pre-activation:  $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}^{(1)} + \mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{x}$  $b^{(2)}$  $w_i^{(2)}$  $\left(a(\mathbf{x})_{i} = b_{i}^{(1)} + \sum_{j} W_{i,j}^{(1)} x_{j}\right)$ • Hidden layer activation: . 1  $h(\mathbf{x})_i$ ....  $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}))$ (1) $W_{i,j}$ b • Output layer activation:  $f(\mathbf{x}) = o\left(b^{(2)} + \mathbf{w}^{(2)^{\top}}\mathbf{h}^{(1)}\mathbf{x}\right)$ 1)  $x_d$  $x_i$  $x_1$ ... 1.1.1 output activation function

#### NEURAL NETWORK

**Topics:** softmax activation function

- For multi-class classification:
  - we need multiple outputs (1 output per class)
  - we would like to estimate the conditional probability  $p(y=c|\mathbf{x})$
- We use the softmax activation function at the output:

$$\mathbf{o}(\mathbf{a}) = \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{a}) = \left[\frac{\exp(a_1)}{\sum_c \exp(a_c)} \dots \frac{\exp(a_C)}{\sum_c \exp(a_c)}\right]^{\top}$$

- strictly positive
- sums to one
- Predicted class is the one with highest estimated probability

#### NEURAL NETWORK

**Topics:** multilayer neural network

- Could have *L* hidden layers:
  - layer pre-activation for k>0  $(\mathbf{h}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x})$  $\mathbf{a}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}^{(k)} + \mathbf{W}^{(k)}\mathbf{h}^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{x})$

• hidden layer activation (k from 1 to L):

- $\mathbf{h}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}))$
- output layer activation (k=L+1):

$$\mathbf{h}^{(L+1)}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{o}(\mathbf{a}^{(L+1)}(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$



# forward-pass of a 3-layer neural network: f = lambda x: 1.0/(1.0 + np.exp(-x)) # activation function (use sigmoid) x = np.random.randn(3, 1) # random input vector of three numbers (3x1) h1 = f(np.dot(W1, x) + b1) # calculate first hidden layer activations (4x1) h2 = f(np.dot(W2, h1) + b2) # calculate second hidden layer activations (4x1) out = np.dot(W3, h2) + b3 # output neuron (1x1)

**Topics:** single hidden layer neural network



**Topics:** single hidden layer neural network



**Topics:** single hidden layer neural network



**Topics:** universal approximation

- Universal approximation theorem (Hornik, 1991):
  - "a single hidden layer neural network with a linear output unit can approximate any continuous function arbitrarily well, given enough hidden units"
- The result applies for sigmoid, tanh and many other hidden layer activation functions
- This is a good result, but it doesn't mean there is a learning algorithm that can find the necessary parameter values!



#### How to train a neural network?

**Topics:** multilayer neural network

- Could have *L* hidden layers:
- layer input activation for k>0  $(\mathbf{h}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x})$  $\mathbf{a}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}^{(k)} + \mathbf{W}^{(k)}\mathbf{h}^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{x})$

• hidden layer activation (k from 1 to L):  $l_{k}(k)(z_{1}) = l_{k}(k)(z_{2})$ 

$$\mathbf{a}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}))$$

output layer activation (k=L+1):  $\mathbf{h}^{(L+1)}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{o}(\mathbf{a}^{(L+1)}(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ 



## Empirical Risk Minimization

**Topics:** empirical risk minimization, regularization

- Empirical risk minimization
  - framework to design learning algorithms

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\arg\min} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} l(f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}), y^{(t)}) + \lambda \Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- +  $l(f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}), y^{(t)})$  is a loss function
- $\Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  is a regularizer (penalizes certain values of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ )
- Learning is cast as optimization
  - ideally, we'd optimize classification error, but it's not smooth
  - loss function is a surrogate for what we truly should optimize (e.g. upper bound)

#### LOSS FUNCTION

**Topics:** loss function for classification

- Neural network estimates f(x)<sub>c</sub> = p(y = c|x)
  we could maximize the probabilities of y<sup>(t)</sup> given x<sup>(t)</sup> in the training set
- To frame as minimization, we minimize the negative log-likelihood
   natural log (In)

 $l(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}), y) = -\sum_{c} 1_{(y=c)} \log f(\mathbf{x})_{c} = -\log f(\mathbf{x})_{y}$ 

- we take the log to simplify for numerical stability and math simplicity
- sometimes referred to as cross-entropy



[figure from Greg Mori's slides]

#### REGULARIZATION

**Topics:** L2 regularization

$$\Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left( W_{i,j}^{(k)} \right)^{2} = \sum_{k} ||\mathbf{W}^{(k)}||_{F}^{2}$$

## Empirical Risk Minimization

**Topics:** empirical risk minimization, regularization

- Empirical risk minimization
  - framework to design learning algorithms

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\arg\min} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} l(f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}), y^{(t)}) + \lambda \Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- +  $l(f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}), y^{(t)})$  is a loss function
- $\Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  is a regularizer (penalizes certain values of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ )
- Learning is cast as optimization
  - ideally, we'd optimize classification error, but it's not smooth
  - loss function is a surrogate for what we truly should optimize (e.g. upper bound)



[http://cs231n.github.io/neural-networks-1/]

### INITIALIZATION

size of  $\mathbf{h}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})$ 

#### **Topics:** initialization

- For biases
  - initialize all to 0
- For weights
  - Can't initialize weights to 0 with tanh activation
    - we can show that all gradients would then be 0 (saddle point)
  - Can't initialize all weights to the same value
    - we can show that all hidden units in a layer will always behave the same
    - need to break symmetry

• Recipe: sample 
$$\mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{(k)}$$
 from  $U[-b,b]$  where  $b = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{H_k + H_{k-1}}}$ 

- the idea is to sample around 0 but break symmetry
- other values of b could work well (not an exact science) (see Glorot & Bengio, 2010)

## Model Learning

- Backpropagation (BP) algorithm (not required for this course)
- Further reading on BP:
  - <u>https://towardsdatascience.com/understanding-backpropagation-algorithm-7bb3aa2f95fd</u>
  - <u>https://mattmazur.com/2015/03/17/a-step-by-step-backpropagation-example/</u>

## Outline

- Maximum Entropy
- Feedforward Neural Networks
- Recurrent Neural Networks

## Long Distance Dependencies

- It is very difficult to train NNs to retain information over many time steps
- This makes it very difficult to handle long-distance dependencies, such as subject-verb agreement.
- E.g. Jane walked into the room. John walked in too. It was late in the day. Jane said hi to \_?\_



#### Recurrent Neural Networks

Feed-forward NNRecurrent NN $\mathbf{h} = g(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c})$  $\mathbf{h}_t = g(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{c})$  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}$  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_t = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_t + \mathbf{b}$ 



#### Recurrent Neural Networks





## Long-Short Term Memory Networks (LSTMs)



### Another Visualization



Capable of modeling long-distant dependencies between states.

Figure: Christopher Olah

#### Long-Short Term Memory Networks (LSTMs)



$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i}_t \\ \mathbf{f}_t \\ \mathbf{o}_t \\ \mathbf{g}_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(\mathbf{W}_i[\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{h}_t] + \mathbf{b}_i) \\ \sigma(\mathbf{W}_f[\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{h}_t] + \mathbf{b}_f) \\ \sigma(\mathbf{W}_o[\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{h}_t] + \mathbf{b}_o) \\ f(\mathbf{W}_g[\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{h}_t] + \mathbf{b}_g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{c}_t = \mathbf{f}_t * \mathbf{c}_{t-1} + \mathbf{i}_t * \mathbf{g}_t$$

 $\boldsymbol{h}_t = \boldsymbol{o}_t * f(\boldsymbol{c}_t)$ 

Use gates to control the information to be added from the input, forgot from the previous memories, and outputted.  $\sigma$  and f are *sigmoid* and *tanh* function respectively, to map the value to [-1, 1]

## Sequence to Sequence

• Encoder/Decoder framework maps one sequence to a "deep vector" then another LSTM maps this vector to an output sequence.



## Summary of LSTM Application Architectures



## Successful Applications of LSTMs

- Speech recognition: Language and acoustic modeling
- Sequence labeling
  - POS Tagging
  - NER
  - Phrase Chunking
- Neural syntactic and semantic parsing
- Image captioning
- Sequence to Sequence
  - Machine Translation (Sustkever, Vinyals, & Le, 2014)
  - Video Captioning (input sequence of CNN frame outputs)