CS 6120/CS 4120: Natural Language Processing

Instructor: Prof. Lu Wang College of Computer and Information Science Northeastern University Webpage: www.ccs.neu.edu/home/luwang

Logistics

- Office hours
 - Prof. Lu Wang, Mondays 1:30pm 2:30pm, or by appointment, Rm 911, 177 Huntington Ave
 - To attend OH at 177 Huntington Ave., you'll need to put down your name on Piazza beforehand (by 1pm each Monday), and then bring a photo ID (e.g. husky card) with you and check in at the front desk.
 - Nikhil Badugu (email: badugu.n@husky.neu.edu), Tuesdays 4-5pm, Ryder Hall 147
 - Eva Sharma (email: sharma.ev@husky.neu.edu), Thursdays 2-3pm, Ryder Hall 220

Logistics

- · Sign up on piazza! • piazza.com/northeastern/fall2019/cs4120cs6120
- Course website
- eu.edu/home/luwang/courses/cs6120_fa2019/cs6120_fa20 http://www.c 19.html

Project proposal (due Sep 30)

- In general, we want to see that you have a clear goal in the project. The technical details can be described in a rough manner, but in principle, you need to show what problem you want to study, and what is novel of your
- rough manner, but in principle, you need to snow when project. Introduction: the problem has to be well-defined. What are the input and output. Why this is an important problem to study.
- problem to study. Related work putyour work in context. Describe what has been done in previous work on the same or related subject. And why what you propose to do here is novel and different. Datasets: what data do you want to use? What is the size of it? What information is contained? Why is it suitable for your task? Methodology: what models do you want to use? You may change the model as the project goes, but you may want to indicate some type of models that might be suitable for your problem. Is it a supervised learning problem or unsupervised? What classifiers can you start with? Are you making improvements? You don't have to be crystal clear on this section, but it can be used to indicate the direction that your project goes to. Evaluation: what metrics do you want to use for evaluating your models?
- Length: 1 page (or more if necessary). Single space if MS word is used. Or you can choose latex templates, e.g. https://www.acm.org/publications/proceedings-template.
- Grading: based on each section described above, roughly 20 points per section. But as you can tell, they're related to each other.
- · Each group just need to submit one copy on blackboard with group member names indicated.

Outline

- Probabilistic language model and n-grams
- Estimating n-gram probabilities
- Language model evaluation and perplexity
- Generalization and zeros
- Smoothing: add-one
- · Interpolation, backoff, and web-scale LMs

[Modified from Dan Jurafsky's slide

• Smoothing: Kneser-Ney Smoothing

Probabilistic Language Models

Assign a probability to a sentence

Probabilistic Language Models

• Assign a probability to a sentence

- Machine Translation:
- P(high winds tonight) > P(large winds tonight) • Spell Correction
- The office is about fifteen **minuets** from my house
- P(about fifteen minutes from) > P(about fifteen minuets from)
 Speech Recognition
- P(I saw a van) >> P(eyes awe of an)
- Text Generation in general:
- Summarization, question-answering ...

Probabilistic Language Modeling

- Goal: compute the probability of a sentence or sequence of words:
 P(W) = P(wz,wz,wz,wz,ww,ws...wn)
- Related task: probability of an upcoming word:
- P(w5 | w1,w2,w3,w4)
- A model that computes either of these: $P(W) \quad or \quad P(w_n|w_1,w_2...w_{n-1}) \qquad \text{is called a language model}.$
- Better: the grammar
- But language model (or LM) is standard

How to compute P(W)

• How to compute this joint probability:

• P(its, water, is, so, transparent, that)

How to compute P(W)

• How to compute this joint probability:

• P(its, water, is, so, transparent, that)

Intuition: let's rely on the Chain Rule of Probability

Quick Review: Probability

- Recall the definition of conditional probabilities
 p(B|A) = P(A,B)/P(A) Rewriting: P(A,B) = P(A)P(B|A)
- More variables:
- P(A,B,C,D) = P(A)P(B|A)P(C|A,B)P(D|A,B,C) • The Chain Rule in General
- $P(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = P(x_1)P(x_2 | x_1)P(x_3 | x_1, x_2) \dots P(x_n | x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$

The Chain Rule applied to compute joint probability of words in sentence

$$P(w_1w_2...w_n) = \prod_{i} P(w_i | w_1w_2...w_{i-1})$$

The Chain Rule applied to compute joint probability of words in sentence

$$P(w_1w_2...w_n) = \prod_{i} P(w_i | w_1w_2...w_{i-1})$$

P("its water is so transparent") = P(its) × P(water | its) × P(is | its water) × P(so | its water is) × P(transparent | its water is so) How to estimate these probabilities

• Could we just count and divide?

P(the lits water is so transparent that) = *Count*(its water is so transparent that the)

Count(its water is so transparent that)

How to estimate these probabilities

• Could we just count and divide?

P(the lits water is so transparent that) = *Count*(its water is so transparent that the)

Count(its water is so transparent that)

• No! Too many possible sentences!

• We'll never see enough data for estimating these

Markov Assumption

•Simplifying assumption:

 $P(\text{the} | \text{its water is so transparent that}) \approx P(\text{the} | \text{that})$

•Or maybe

 $P(\text{the} | \text{its water is so transparent that}) \approx P(\text{the} | \text{transparent that})$



 $P(w_i | w_1 w_2 \dots w_{i-1}) \approx P(w_i | w_{i-k} \dots w_{i-1})$

Simplest case: Unigram model

$$P(w_1 w_2 \dots w_n) \approx \prod_i P(w_i)$$

Some automatically generated sentences from a unigram model

fifth, an, of, futures, the, an, incorporated, a, a, the, inflation, most, dollars, quarter, in, is, mass

thrift, did, eighty, said, hard, 'm, july, bullish

that, or, limited, the

Bigram model

Condition on the previous word:

$$P(w_i | w_1 w_2 \dots w_{i-1}) \approx P(w_i | w_{i-1})$$

texaco, rose, one, in, this, issue, is, pursuing, growth, in, a, boiler, house, said, mr., gurria, mexico, 's, motion, control, proposal, without, permission, from, five, hundred, fifty, five, yen

outside, new, car, parking, lot, of, the, agreement, reached

this, would, be, a, record, november

N-gram models

• We can extend to trigrams, 4-grams, 5-grams

N-gram models

- We can extend to trigrams, 4-grams, 5-grams
- In general this is an insufficient model of language • because language has long-distance dependencies:
 - "The computer(s) which I had just put into the machine room on the fifth floor is (are) crashing."
- But we can often get away with N-gram models

Today's Outline

- Probabilistic language model and n-grams
- Estimating n-gram probabilities
- Language model evaluation and perplexity
- Generalization and zeros
- Smoothing: add-one
- Interpolation, backoff, and web-scale LMs
- Smoothing: Kneser-Ney Smoothing

Estimating bigram probabilities

The Maximum Likelihood Estimate for bigram probability

$$P(w_{i} | w_{i-1}) = \frac{count(w_{i-1}, w_{i})}{count(w_{i-1})}$$

$$P(w_i | w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{c(w_{i-1})}$$

An example

$$P(w_i | w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{c(w_{i-1})} \qquad \stackrel{ ~~\ l \ am \ Sam \~~ }{ ~~\ Sam \ l \ am \~~ } \qquad \stackrel{ ~~\ Sam \ l \ am \~~ }{ ~~\ l \ do \ not \ like \ green \ eggs \ and \ ham \~~ }$$

An example		
$P(w_i w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{c(w_{i-1})}$	<s> I am Sam </s> <s> Sam I am </s> <s> I do not like gree</s>	n eggs and ham
$P(I) = \frac{2}{3} = .67$ $P(Sam) = \frac{1}{2} = 0.5$	$P(\text{Sam} < s >) = \frac{1}{3} = .33$ $P(\text{Sam} \text{am}) = \frac{1}{2} = .5$	$P(am I) = \frac{2}{3} = .67$ $P(do I) = \frac{1}{3} = .33$



Raw bigram counts • Out of 9222 sentences								
	i	want	to	eat	chinese	food	lunch	spend
i	5	827	0	9	0	0	0	2
want	2	0	608	1	6	6	5	1
to	2	0	4	686	2	0	6	211
eat	0	0	2	0	16	2	42	0
chinese	1	0	0	0	0	82	1	0
food	15	0	15	0	1	4	0	0
lunch	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
spend	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Raw bigram probabilities													
 Normali 	Normalize by unigrams:												
	i	want	to		ea	ıt	ch	inese	f	ood	lunch	spend	
	2533	3 927	24	17	74	16	15	158		.093 341		278	
Result:	Result:												
		i	want	to		eat		chines	se	food	lunch	spend	
	i	0.002	0.33	0		0.0	036	0		0	0	0.00079	ĺ
	want	0.0022	0	0.6	5	0.0	011	0.006	5	0.0065	0.0054	0.0011	
	to	0.00083	0	0.0	017	0.2	8	0.000	83	0	0.0025	0.087	
	eat	0	0	0.0	027	0		0.021		0.0027	0.056	0	
	chinese	0.0063	0	0		0		0		0.52	0.0063	0	
	food	0.014	0	0.0	14	0		0.000	92	0.0037	0	0	
	lunch	0.0059	0	0		0		0		0.0029	0	0	
	spend	0.0036	0	0.0	036	0		0		0	0	0	

Bigram estimates of sentence probabilities

P(<s> I want english food </s>) =

- P(I|<s>)
- × P(want|I)
- × P(english|want)
- × P(food|english)
- × P(</s>|food)
- = .000031

Knowledge

- P(english | want) = .0011
- P(chinese | want) = .0065
- P(to | want) = .66
- P(eat | to) = .28
- P(food | to) = 0
- P(want | spend) = 0
- P (i | <s>) = .25

Practical Issues

- •We do everything in log space
 - Avoid underflow
 - •(also adding is faster than multiplying)

 $\log(p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3 \times p_4) = \log p_1 + \log p_2 + \log p_3 + \log p_4$

Language Modeling Toolkits

•SRILM

http://www.speech.sri.com/projects/srilm/

Google N-Gram Release, August 2006

All Our N-gram are Belong to You Posted by Alex Franz and Thorsten Brants, Google Machine Translation Team

Here at Google Research we have been using word n-gram models for a variety of R&D projects,

That's why we decided to share this enormous dataset with everyone. We processed 1,024,908,267,229 words of running text and are publishing the counts for all 1,176,470,663 five-word sequences that appear at least 40 times. There are 13,688,391 unique words, after discarding words that appear less than 200 times.

Google N-Gram Release

- serve as the incoming 92
- serve as the incubator 99
- serve as the independent 794
- serve as the index 223
- serve as the indication 72serve as the indicator 120
- serve as the indicators 45
- serve as the indicators 45
- serve as the indispensible 40
- serve as the individual 234

http://googleresearch.blogspot.com/2006/08/all-our-n-gram-are-belong-to-you.html

Today's Outline

....

- Probabilistic language model and n-grams
- Estimating n-gram probabilities
- Language model evaluation and perplexity
- Generalization and zeros
- Smoothing: add-one
- Interpolation, backoff, and web-scale LMs
- Smoothing: Kneser-Ney Smoothing

Evaluation: How good is our model?

Evaluation: How good is our model?

Does our language model prefer good sentences to bad ones?
 Assign higher probability to "real" or "frequently observed" sentences
 Than "ungrammatical" or "rarely observed" sentences?

Evaluation: How good is our model?

- Does our language model prefer good sentences to bad ones?
 Assign higher probability to "real" or "frequently observed" sentences
 Than "ungrammatical" or "rarely observed" sentences?
- We train parameters of our model on a training set.
- We test the model's performance on data we haven't seen.
 A test set is an unseen dataset that is different from our training set, totally unused.
 - An evaluation metric tells us how well our model does on the test set.

Training on the test set

- · We can't allow test sentences into the training set
- We will assign it an artificially high probability when we set it in the test set
- "Training on the test set"
- Bad science!
- And violates the honor code

Extrinsic evaluation of N-gram models

- Best evaluation for comparing models A and B
 - Put each model in a task
 - spelling corrector, speech recognizer, MT system
 - Run the task, get an accuracy for A and for B • How many misspelled words corrected properly
 - How many words translated correctly
 - Compare accuracy for A and B

Difficulty of extrinsic evaluation of N-gram models

• Extrinsic evaluation

Time-consuming; can take days or weeks

• So

• Sometimes use intrinsic evaluation: perplexity

Difficulty of extrinsic evaluation of N-gram models

• Extrinsic evaluation

Time-consuming; can take days or weeks

•So

- Sometimes use intrinsic evaluation: perplexity
- Bad approximation
 - unless the test data looks just like the training data
 - So generally only useful in pilot experiments
- But is helpful to think about.

Intuition of Perplexity

The Shannon Game: How well can we predict the next word?

I always order pizza with cheese and _____ The 33rd President of the US was _____ I saw a ____

- Unigrams are terrible at this game. (Why?)
- A better model of a text
 - is one which assigns a higher probability to the word that actually occurs









Let's suppose a sentence consisting of random digits
What is the perplexity of this sentence according to a model that assign P=1/10 to each digit?

Perplexity as branching factor

Let's suppose a sentence consisting of random digits
What is the perplexity of this sentence according to a model that assign P=1/10 to each digit?

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{PP}(W) &= P(w_1 w_2 \dots w_N)^{-\frac{1}{N}} \\ &= (\frac{1}{10}^N)^{-\frac{1}{N}} \\ &= \frac{1}{10}^{-1} \\ &= 10 \end{aligned}$$

Lower perplexity = better model

• Training 38 million words, test 1.5 million words, WSJ

N-gram Order	Unigram	Bigram	Trigram
Perplexity	962	170	109

Today's Outline

- Probabilistic language model and n-grams
- Estimating n-gram probabilities
- Language model evaluation and perplexity
- Generalization and zeros
- Smoothing: add-one
- Interpolation, backoff, and web-scale LMs
- Smoothing: Kneser-Ney Smoothing

The perils of overfitting

- N-grams only work well for word prediction if the test corpus looks like the training corpus
 In real life, it often doesn't
 - We need to train robust models that generalize!

The perils of overfitting

- N-grams only work well for word prediction if the test corpus looks like the training corpus
 - In real life, it often doesn't
 - We need to train robust models that generalize!
 - One kind of generalization: Zeros!
 - Things that don't ever occur in the training set • But occur in the test set

Zeros

- In training set, we see But in test set, ... denied the allegations ... denied th
 - ... denied the offer ... denied the loan
 - ... denied the reports ... denied the claims
 - hied the claims
 - ... denied the request

P("offer" | denied the) = 0

Zero probability bigrams

- Bigrams with zero probability
- mean that we will assign 0 probability to the test set!
- And hence we cannot compute perplexity (can't divide by 0)!

Today's Outline

- Probabilistic language model and n-grams
- Estimating n-gram probabilities
- Language model evaluation and perplexity
- Generalization and zeros
- Smoothing: add-one
- Interpolation, backoff, and web-scale LMs
 Smoothing: Kneser-Ney Smoothing





Add-one estimation • Also called Laplace smoothing • Pretend we saw each word one more time than we did • Just add one to all the counts! (Instead of taking away counts) • MLE estimate: • Add-1 estimate: • Add-1 estimate:

Berkeley Restaurant Corpus: Laplace smoothed bigram counts i want to eat chinese food lunch spend 6 828 1 10 1 1 1 3 want 3 1 609 2 7 7 6 2

want	3	1	609	2	7	7	6	2
to	3	1	5	687	3	1	7	212
eat	1	1	3	1	17	3	43	1
chinese	2	1	1	1	1	83	2	1
food	16	1	16	1	2	5	1	1
lunch	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
spend	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1

Laplace-smoothed bigrams								
$P^*(w_n w_{n-1}) = \frac{C(w_{n-1}w_n) + 1}{C(w_{n-1}) + V}$								
	i	want	to	eat	chinese	food	lunch	spend
i	0.0015	0.21	0.00025	0.0025	0.00025	0.00025	0.00025	0.00075
want	0.0013	0.00042	0.26	0.00084	0.0029	0.0029	0.0025	0.00084
to	0.00078	0.00026	0.0013	0.18	0.00078	0.00026	0.0018	0.055
eat	0.00046	0.00046	0.0014	0.00046	0.0078	0.0014	0.02	0.00046
chinese	0.0012	0.00062	0.00062	0.00062	0.00062	0.052	0.0012	0.00062
food	0.0063	0.00039	0.0063	0.00039	0.00079	0.002	0.00039	0.00039
	0.0017	0.00056	0.00056	0.00056	0.00056	0.0011	0.00056	0.00056
lunch	0.0017	0.00050	0.00000	0.000000	0.00050	0.0011	0.000000	0.00050



Today's Outline

- Probabilistic language model and n-grams
- Estimating n-gram probabilities
- Language model evaluation and perplexity
- Generalization and zeros
- Smoothing: add-one
- Interpolation, backoff, and web-scale LMs
- Smoothing: Kneser-Ney Smoothing

Backoff and Interpolation

- Sometimes it helps to use less context
- Condition on less context for contexts you haven't learned much about
- Backoff:
 - use trigram if you have good evidence
- otherwise bigram
 otherwise unigram
- Interpolation:
 - mix unigram, bigram, trigram
- In general, interpolation works better





A Common Method – Grid Search

Take a list of possible values, e.g. [0.1, 0.2, ..., 0.9]
Try all combinations

Linear Interpolation
Simple interpolation
$\hat{P}(w_n w_{n-2}w_{n-1}) = rac{\lambda_1 P(w_n w_{n-2}w_{n-1})}{+\lambda_2 P(w_n w_{n-1})} \sum_i \lambda_i = 1 \ + \lambda_3 P(w_n)$
Lambdas conditional on context:
$\hat{P}(w_n w_{n-2}w_{n-1}) = \lambda_1(w_{n-2}^{n-1})P(w_n w_{n-2}w_{n-1})$
$+\lambda_2(w_{n-2}^{n-1})P(w_n w_{n-1})$
$+\lambda_3(w_{n-2}^{n-1})P(w_n)$







Today's Outline

- Probabilistic language model and n-grams
- Estimating n-gram probabilities
- Language model evaluation and perplexity
- Generalization and zeros
- Smoothing: add-one
- Interpolation, backoff, and web-scale LMs
- Smoothing: Kneser-Ney Smoothing

Absolute discounting: just subtract a little from each count

- Suppose we wanted to subtract a little from a count of 4 to save probability mass for the zeros
- How much to subtract ?
- Church and Gale (1991)'s clever idea
- Divide up 22 million words of AP Newswire
 Training and held-out set
- for each bigram in the training set
 see the actual count in the held-out set!

Bigram count	Bigram count in
in training	heldout set
0	.0000270
1	0.448
2	1.25
3	2.24
4	3.23
5	4.21
6	5.23
7	6.21
8	7.21
9	8.26

• It sure looks like c* = (c - .75)





- Better estimate for probabilities of lower-order unigrams!
 Shannon game: I can't see without my reading_____?
 "Francisco" is more common than "glasses"
 ... but "Francisco" always follows "San"
- The unigram is useful exactly when we haven't seen this bigram!
- Instead of P(w): "How likely is w"
- P_{continuation}(w): "How likely is w to appear as a novel continuation?
 For each word, count the number of unique bigrams it completes
 Every unique bigram was a novel continuation the first time it was seen

 $P_{CONTINUATION}(w) \propto |\{w_{i-1} : c(w_{i-1}, w) > 0\}|$ Unique bigrams w is in









- Probabilistic language model and n-grams
- Estimating n-gram probabilities
- Language model evaluation and perplexity
- Generalization and zeros
- Smoothing: add-one
- Interpolation, backoff, and web-scale LMs
 Smoothing: Kneser-Ney Smoothing

Homework

- Reading J&M ch1 and ch4.1-4.9
- Start thinking about course project and find a team
- Project proposal due Sep 30st
- The format of the proposal will be posted on Piazza