Peer-to-Peer systems

- There are many nodes to communicate with, you want to choose to talk to the node that is closest (lowest RTT)
- One approach is to calculate RTT with each node, and talk to closest node
  - For small clusters or large transfers, this works great!
  - But what about large content distribution systems (i.e. KaZaA, BitTorrent)
  - What about systems with small messages (i.e. DNS)

Coordinate System Requirements

1. Accuracy -- embed Internet with little error
2. Scale to many hosts -- p2p scale
3. Decentralized algorithm -- p2p applications
4. Very little ‘probe’ traffic -- reduce burden on system
5. Adapt to network conditions -- not a static representation
Outline

1. Introduce need for coordinate systems
2. Design of Vivaldi
3. Evaluation of Vivaldi

Vivaldi Network Model

Treat the RTT between two nodes as a spring

- If distance in coordinates is equal to RTT, no tension in spring
- If distance in coordinates is not equal to RTT, tension in spring

Vivaldi Network Model

Measure error of a particular node \((x_i)\) as the energy in all springs for the node

\[ \sum_j (L_{ij} - \| x_i - x_j \|)^2 \]

Vivaldi Network Model

Measure error of whole system as the energy in all springs

\[ E = \sum_i \sum_j (L_{ij} - \| x_i - x_j \|)^2 \]

Goal is to choose coordinates \(x\) that minimize \(E\)
Vivaldi Centralized Algorithm

Big idea: for each node i,
1. figure out the total force of the springs between i and all nodes j
2. Move i by that force

Vivaldi Centralized Algorithm

While error(L, x) > tolerance
For each node i:
   F = 0
   For each node j:
      //error of the spring between i and j
      e = L_{ij} - ||x_i - x_j||
      //add error to force vector of this spring
      F = F + e x u(x_i - x_j)
      //move node i by a small step in the direction of the force
      x_i = x_i + t x F

Vivaldi centralized algorithm

While error(L, x) > tolerance
For each node i:
   F = 0
   For each node j:
      //error of the spring between i and j
      e = L_{ij} - ||x_i - x_j||
      //add error to force vector of this spring
      F = F + e x u(x_i - x_j)
      //move node i by a small step in the direction of the force
      x_i = x_i + t x F

We're assuming we know all RTTs for all pairs of nodes…
These RTTs are what we're trying to approximate!

Two changes to make:
1. We need to calculate the coordinates of system using only a few RTTs
2. We need to do this using a distributed algorithm
Vivaldi Distributed algorithm

- Each node stores its own coordinate
- When it communicates with another node it measures RTT

\[ x_i = x_i + \delta (rtt - \| x_i - x_j \| ) u(x_i - x_j) \]

Vivaldi Distributed algorithm

- Each node stores its own coordinate
- When it communicates with another node it measures RTT
- Moves itself proportional to the force within the spring

\[ x_i = x_i + \delta (rtt - \| x_i - x_j \| ) u(x_i - x_j) \]
**Vivaldi Distributed algorithm**

Adapt $\delta$. Converge quickly with a large $\delta$; as we become more certain of our location, make $\delta$ smaller.

**Vivaldi distributed algorithm**

//Given a sample rtt with node j, which has coordinate $x_j$, error $e_j$
//vivaldi(rtt, $x_j$, $e_j$)

//Sample weight balances both local and remote errors
$w = e_i / (e_i + e_j)$

//Calculate weighted moving average of error of our samples
$e_i = \text{weighted_moving_average}(e_i, w, x_i, x_j, rtt)$

//Update local coordinates
$x_{i} = x_{i} + w (\text{rtt} - ||x_{i} - x_{j}||) u(x_{i} - x_{j})$

**Evaluation methodology**

Latency data: two datasets

1) Latency matrix for 192 hosts on PlanetLab network
   a) All pairs ping trace

2) Latency matrix for 1740 DNS nameservers

**How to define latency?**

Latency $\neq$ minimum RTT

Not for King, since King can report a RTT less than true value

Use median to filter out transient congestion and packet loss

Large delay due to high load at nameserver A $\gg$ delay btw A and B
Using the data

Using RTT matrices as inputs to a packet-level network simulator

Each node runs the decentralized Vivaldi algorithm

Limitation of the simulator: RTTs do not vary over time, no queueing delays

Why not simulating queueing delay?

Because this needs modeling underlying network infrastructure (model a model!)

Just stick to real data

Evaluation

1. Effectiveness of the adaptive time-step $\delta$
2. How well Vivaldi handle high-error nodes
3. Vivaldi’s sensitivity to communication patterns
4. Vivaldi’s responsiveness to network changes
5. Vivaldi’s accuracy compared to that of global network positioning (GNP)

Effectiveness of the adaptive time-step $\delta$

Network error: median of all nodes errors

$$\chi = x_i + \delta \left( \frac{\text{rtt} - ||x_i - x_j||}{u(x_i - x_j)} \right)$$

$$\text{local error} = \frac{\text{abs(predicted rtt - actual rtt)}}{\text{actual rtt}}$$

How well Vivaldi handle high-error nodes

Evolution of a stable 200-node network after 200 new nodes join

$$\delta = 0.05$$

$$\delta = 0.25 \times \frac{\text{local error}}{\text{local error + remote error}}$$
How well Vivaldi handle high-error nodes

Median link errors: median of all link errors

Vivaldi’s sensitivity to communication patterns

Pattern 1: communicate with four neighbors
Pattern 2: communicate with both neighbors & long-distance hosts
(get a global sense of their place in the network)

How much long-distance comm. is necessary?

A grid of 400 nodes. Each node is assigned 4 neighbors and 4 faraway random nodes.
At each step, each nodes chooses a faraway node with probability $p$ among these 8 nodes.

Adapting to network changes

Use ITM tool to generate a ‘transit-stub’ topology of 100 hosts

transit-stub links become much longer
Accuracy

Compared with GNP best (Lowest median error)

Accuracy vs. the number of neighbors

Suitability for embedding?

Triangular inequality violation

In Euclidean space, triangular inequality holds. In network context, not necessary.

Euclidean space

$$\|x_1, \ldots, x_n\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$$

$$\|x_1, \ldots, y_n\| = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n - y_n)^2}$$

$$\alpha \times [0, \ldots, \alpha x_n]$$

Conclusion: suitable
Spherical coordinates
To model the shape of Earth

Euclidean space with heights
Euclidean space assumption: latency proportional to geographic distance
Access link could be slow in the case of cable modems and telephone modems
A height dimension for the access link

\[ [x, x_h] - [y, y_h] = [(x - y), x_h + y_h] \]
\[ \| [x, x_h] \| = \| x \| + x_h \]
\[ \alpha \times [x, x_h] = [\alpha x, \alpha x_h] \]

Accuracy

Graphical comparison
2-D Vivaldi w/o heights

3-D Vivaldi w/ heights projected to 2-D

Dataset: King
Heights
Discussion

Strengths:

Very elegently designed solution
Evaluation shows the strength of the solution

Weaknesses:

Is the need still there?

  How many p2p systems still out there?

  Heterogenous distributed systems?