# **CS 318 Principles of Operating Systems**

Fall 2018

**Lecture 18: Virtual Machine Monitors** 

Ryan Huang



### Administrivia

- Last lab is out
  - It's hard and needs substantial coding
- Lab 4 overview session
  - Friday 11/16 5:30pm in Malone G33
- Next week Thanksgiving break
  - No class
  - Assignments
    - food, lots of it
    - sleep, lots of it
    - warm clothes, winter is coming
    - pintos (?\(\exists)\)


### So Far...

- We've covered the three fundamental concepts in OS
  - Concurrency
  - Virtualization
  - Persistency

A major milestone of the course

Remaining lectures are slightly advanced (but important) OS topics


### Review: What Is An OS



### OS is software between applications and hardware

- Abstracts hardware to makes applications portable
- Makes finite resources (memory, # CPU cores) appear much larger
- Protects processes and users from one another


### What If...



The process abstraction looked just like hardware?

### Virtual Machine Monitor

- Thin layer of software that virtualizes the hardware
  - Exports a virtual machine abstraction that looks like the hardware
  - Provides the illusion that software has full control over the hardware
    - Run multiple instances of an OS or different OSes simultaneously on the same physical machine



### Old Idea from The 1970s

#### IBM VM/370 – A VMM for IBM mainframe

- Multiplex multiple OS environments on expensive hardware
- Desirable when few machines around

#### Interest died out in the 1980s and 1990s

- Hardware got cheap
- Compare Windows NT vs. N DOS machines

### Revived by the Disco [SOSP '97] work

- Led by Mendel Rosenblum, later lead to the foundation of VMware
- Another important work Xen [SOSP '03]

### VMMs Today

- VMs are used everywhere
  - Popularized by cloud computing
  - Used to solve different problems
- VMMs are a hot topic in industry and academia
  - Industry commitment
    - Software: VMware, Xen,...
    - Hardware: Intel VT, AMD-V
      - If Intel and AMD add it to their chips, you know it's serious...
  - Academia: lots of related projects and papers

















# Why Would You Do Such a Crazy Thing?

#### Software compatibility

- VMMs can run pretty much all software

#### Resource utilization

- Machines today are powerful, want to multiplex their hardware

#### Isolation

- Seemingly total data isolation between virtual machines
- Leverage hardware memory protection mechanisms

#### Encapsulation

- Virtual machines are not tied to physical machines
- Checkpoint/migration

#### Many other cool applications

- Debugging, emulation, security, speculation, fault tolerance...

# Why Would You Do Such a Crazy Thing?

Software compatibility

- VMMs can run p

#### Resource utiliza

Machines today

#### Isolation

- Seemingly total
- Leverage hardw

#### Encapsulation

- Virtual machines
- Checkpoint/migr
- Many other cool
  - Debugging, emu



# OS Backwards Compatibility

- Backward compatibility is bane of new Oses
  - Huge effort require to innovate but not break
- Security considerations may make it impossible
  - Choice: Close security hole and break apps or be insecure
- Example: Windows XP is end of life
  - Eventually hardware running WinXP will die
  - What to do with legacy WinXP applications?
  - Not all applications will run on later Windows
  - Given the # of WinXP applications, practically any OS change will break something

```
if (OS == WinXP) ...
```

Solution: Use a VMM to run both WinXP and Win10

# Logical Partitioning of Servers

### Run multiple servers on same box (e.g., Amazon EC2)

- Ability to give away less than one machine
- Modern CPUs more powerful than most services need
- Server consolidation trend: N machines → 1 real machine
- 0.10U rack space machine less power, cooling, space, etc.

#### Isolation of environments

- Printer server doesn't take down Exchange server
- Compromise of one VM can't get at data of others

#### Resource management

- Provide service-level agreements

#### Heterogeneous environments

Linux, FreeBSD, Windows, etc.

### Implementing VMMs - Requirements

### Fidelity

- OSes and applications work the same without modification
  - (although we may modify the OS a bit)

#### Isolation

- VMM protects resources and VMs from each other

#### Performance

- VMM is another layer of software...and therefore overhead
  - As with OS, want to minimize this overhead
- VMware (early):
  - CPU-intensive apps: 2-10% overhead
  - I/O-intensive apps: 25-60% overhead (much better today)

### What Needs to Be Virtualized?

### Exactly what you would expect

- CPU
- Events (exceptions and interrupts)
- Memory
- I/O devices

### Isn't this just duplicating OS functionality in a VMM?

- Yes and no
- Approaches will be similar to what we do with OSes
  - Simpler in functionality, though (VMM much smaller than OS)
- But implements a different abstraction
  - Hardware interface vs. OS interface

### Approach 1: Complete Machine Simulation

- Simplest VMM approach, used by bochs
- Build a simulation of all the hardware
  - CPU A loop that fetches each instruction, decodes it, simulates its effect on the machine state
  - Memory Physical memory is just an array, simulate the MMU on all memory accesses
  - I/O Simulate I/O devices, programmed I/O, DMA, interrupts
- Problem: Too slow!
  - CPU/Memory 100x CPU/MMU simulation
  - I/O Device < 2× slowdown.
  - 100× slowdown makes it not too useful
- Need faster ways of emulating CPU/MMU

# Virtualizing the CPU

- Observations: Most instructions are the same regardless of processor privileged level
  - Example: incl %eax
- Why not just give instructions to CPU to execute?
  - One issue: Safety How to get the CPU back? Or stop it from stepping on us? How about cli/halt?
  - Solution: Use protection mechanisms already in CPU
- Run virtual machine's OS directly on CPU in unprivileged user mode
  - "Trap and emulate" approach
  - Most instructions just work
  - Privileged instructions trap into monitor and run simulator on instruction
  - Makes some assumptions about architecture

# Virtualizing Traps

- What happens when an interrupt or trap occurs
  - Like normal kernels: we trap into the monitor
- What if the interrupt or trap should go to guest OS?
  - Example: Page fault, illegal instruction, system call, interrupt
  - Re-start the guest OS simulating the trap
- x86 example:
  - Give CPU an IDT that vectors back to VMM
  - Look up trap vector in VM's "virtual" IDT
    - How does VMM know this?
  - Push virtualized %cs, %eip, %eflags, on stack
  - Switch to virtualized privileged mode

# Virtualizing Memory

#### OSes assume they have full control over memory

- Managing it: OS assumes it owns it all
- Mapping it: OS assumes it can map any virtual page to any physical page

#### But VMM partitions memory among VMs

- VMM needs to assign hardware pages to VMs
- VMM needs to control mappings for isolation
  - Cannot allow an OS to map a virtual page to any hardware page
  - OS can only map to a hardware page given to it by the VMM

### Hardware-managed TLBs make this difficult

- When the TLB misses, the hardware automatically walks the page tables in memory
- As a result, VMM needs to control access by OS to page tables

# One Way: Direct Mapping

- VMM uses the page tables that a guest OS creates
  - These page tables are used directly by hardware MMU
- VMM validates all updates to page tables by guest OS
  - OS can read page tables without modification
  - But VMM needs to check all PTE writes to ensure that the virtual-to-physical mapping is valid
    - That the OS "owns" the physical page being used in the PTE
  - Modify OS to hypervisor call into VMM when updating PTEs
- Page tables work the same as before, but OS is constrained to only map to the physical pages it owns
- Works fine if you can modify the OS (used in Xen paravirtualization)
- If you can't...


### Second Approach: Level of Indirection

- Three abstractions of memory
  - Machine: actual hardware memory
    - 16 GB of DRAM
  - Physical: abstraction of hardware memory managed by OS
    - If a VMM allocates 512 MB to a VM, the OS thinks the computer has 512 MB of contiguous physical memory
    - (Underlying machine memory may be discontiguous)
  - Virtual: virtual address spaces you know and love
    - Standard 2<sup>32</sup> or 2<sup>64</sup> address space
- Translation: VM's Guest VA → VM's Guest PA → Host PA
- In each VM, OS creates and manages page tables for its virtual address spaces without modification
  - But these page tables are not used by the MMU hardware


### Shadow Page Tables

- VMM creates and manages page tables that map virtual pages directly to machine pages
  - These tables are loaded into the MMU on a context switch
  - VMM page tables are the shadow page tables
- VMM needs to keep its V→M tables consistent with changes made by OS to its V→P tables
  - VMM maps OS page tables as read only
  - When OS writes to page tables, trap to VMM
  - VMM applies write to shadow table and OS table, returns
  - Also known as memory tracing

### Memory Mapping Summary



# Shadow Page Table Example



### Memory Allocation

### VMMs tend to have simple hardware memory allocation policies

- Static: VM gets 512 MB of hardware memory for life
- No dynamic adjustment based on load
  - OSes not designed to handle changes in physical memory...
- No swapping to disk

### More sophistication: Overcommit with balloon driver

- Balloon driver runs inside OS to consume hardware pages
  - Steals from virtual memory and file buffer cache (balloon grows)
- Gives hardware pages to other VMs (those balloons shrink)

### Identify identical physical pages (e.g., all zeroes)

- Map those pages copy-on-write across VMs


# Virtualizing I/O


- OSes can no longer interact directly with I/O devices
- Types of communication
  - Special instruction in/out
  - Memory-mapped I/O
  - Interrupts
  - DMA
- Make in/out trap into VMM
- Use tracing for memory-mapped I/O
- Run simulation of I/O device
  - Interrupt Tell CPU simulator to generate interrupt
  - DMA Copy data to/from physical memory of virtual machine


### Virtualizing I/O: Three Models

- Xen: modify OS to use low-level I/O interface (hybrid)
  - Define generic devices with simple interface
    - Virtual disk, virtual NIC, etc.
  - Ring buffer of control descriptors, pass pages back and forth
  - Handoff to trusted domain running OS with real drivers
- VMware: VMM supports generic devices (hosted)
  - E.g., AMD Lance chipset/PCNet Ethernet device
  - Load driver into OS in VM, OS uses it normally
  - Driver knows about VMM, cooperates to pass the buck to a real device driver (e.g., on underlying host OS)
- VMware ESX Server: drivers run in VMM (hypervisor)

### Virtualized I/O Models








Abramson et al., "Intel Virtualization Technology for Directed I/O", Intel Technology Journal, 10(3) 2006

### VMM Case Study 1: Xen

- Early versions use "paravirtualization"
  - Fancy word for "we have to modify & recompile the OS"
  - Since you're modifying the OS, make life easy for yourself
  - Create a VMM interface to minimize porting and overhead
- Xen hypervisor (VMM) implements interface
  - VMM runs at privilege, VMs (domains) run unprivileged
  - Trusted OS (Linux) runs in own domain (Domain0)
    - Use Domain0 to manage system, operate devices, etc.
- Most recent version of Xen does not require OS mods
  - Because of Intel/AMD hardware support
- Commercialized via XenSource, but also open source

### Xen Architecture



### VMM Case Study 2: VMware

#### VMware workstation uses hosted model

- VMM runs unprivileged, installed on base OS (+ driver)
- Relies upon base OS for device functionality


#### VMware ESX server uses hypervisor model

- Similar to Xen, but no guest domain/OS

#### VMware uses software virtualization

- Dynamic binary rewriting translates code executed in VM
  - Most instructions translated identically, e.g., mov1
  - Rewrite privileged instructions with emulation code (may trap), e.g., popf
- Think JIT compilation for JVM, but
  - full binary x86 → IR code → safe subset of x86
- Incurs overhead, but can be well-tuned (small % hit)

### VMware Hosted Architecture



### Hardware Support

- Intel and AMD implement virtualization support in their recent x86 chips (Intel VT-x, AMD-V)
  - Goal is to fully virtualize architecture
  - Transparent trap-and-emulate approach now feasible
  - Echoes hardware support originally implemented by IBM

#### Execution model

- New execution mode: guest mode
  - Direct execution of guest OS code, including privileged insts
- Virtual machine control block (VMCB)
  - Controls what operations trap, records info to handle traps in VMM
- New instruction vmenter enters guest mode, runs VM code
- When VM traps, CPU executes new vmexit instruction
- Enters VMM, which emulates operation

# Hardware Support (2)

#### Memory

- Intel extended page tables (EPT), AMD nested page tables (NPT)
- Original page tables map virtual to (guest) physical pages
  - Managed by OS in VM, backwards-compatible
- New tables map physical to machine pages
  - Managed by VMM
- Tagged TLB w/ virtual process identifiers (VPIDs)
  - Tag VMs with VPID, no need to flush TLB on VM/VMM switch

#### I/O

- Constrain DMA operations only to page owned by specific VM
- AMD DEV: exclude pages (c.f. Xen memory paravirtualization)
- Intel VT-d: IOMMU address translation support for DMA

# Summary

- VMMs multiplex virtual machines on hardware
  - Export the hardware interface
  - Run OSes in VMs, apps in OSes unmodified
  - Run different versions, kinds of OSes simultaneously
- Implementing VMMs
  - Virtualize CPU, Memory, I/O
- Lesson: Never underestimate the power of indirection