CS 318 Principles of Operating Systems

Fall 2018

Lecture 16: Advanced File Systems

Ryan Huang

Slides adapted from Andrea Arpaci-Dusseau's lecture

Gerald M. Masson Distinguished Lecture Series

Automatically Scalable Computation

Distinguished Lecturer

MARGO SELTZER

University of British Columbia

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2018 10:30 AM

Hackerman Hall B-17

ABSTRACT: As our computational infrastructure races gracefully forward into increasingly parallel multi-core and clustered systems, our ability to easily produce software that can successfully exploit such systems continues to stumble. For years, we've fantasized about the world in which we'd write simple, sequential programs, add magic sauce, and suddenly have scalable, parallel executions. We're not there. We're not even close. Professor Seltzer will present a radical, potentially crazy approach to automatic scalability, combining learning, prediction, and speculation. To date, we've achieved shockingly good scalability and reasonable speedup in limited domains, but the potential is tantalizingly enormous.

BIO: Margo Seltzer is a Canada 150 Research Chair and Cheriton Family Chair in Computer Systems at the University of British Columbia. Her research interests are in systems, construed quite broadly: systems for capturing and accessing provenance, file systems, databases, transaction processing systems, storage and analysis of graph-structured data, new architectures for parallelizing execution, and systems that apply technology to problems in healthcare. Dr. Seltzer received an A.B. degree in Applied Mathematics from Harvard/Radcliffe College and a Ph. D. in Computer Science from the University of California, Berkeley.

JOHNS HOPKINS
WHITING SCHOOL of ENGINEERING

An Implementation of a Log-Structured File System for UNIX

Margo Seltzer – Harvard University
Keith Bostic – University of California, Berkeley
Marshall Kirk McKusick – University of California, Berkeley
Carl Staelin – Hewlett-Packard Laboratories

ABSTRACT

Research results [ROSE91] demonstrate that a log-structured file system (LFS) offers the potential for dramatically improved write performance, faster recovery time, and faster file creation and deletion than traditional UNIX file systems. This paper presents a redesign and implementation of the Sprite [ROSE91] log-structured file system that is more robust and integrated into the vnode interface [KLEI86]. Measurements show its performance to be superior to the 4BSD Fast File System (FFS) in a variety of benchmarks and not significantly less than FFS in any test. Unfortunately, an enhanced version of FFS (with read and write clustering) [MCVO91] provides comparable and sometimes superior performance to our LFS. However, LFS can be extended to provide additional functionality such as embedded transactions and versioning, not easily implemented in traditional file systems.

1. Introduction

Early UNIX file systems used a small, fixed block size and made no attempt to optimize block placement [THOM78]. They assigned disk addresses to new blocks as they were created (preallocation) and wrote modified blocks back to their

The log-structured file system, as proposed in [OUST88], attempts to address both of these problems. The fundamental idea of LFS is to improve file system performance by storing all file system data in a single, continuous log. Such a file system is optimized for writing, because no seek is required

Administrivia

- **Thursday is project hacking day**
  - No class, work on project
  - Office hours still hold
    - Extra office hour today from 3-4pm
- **Lab 3 due Sunday midnight**

File Systems Examples

- **BSD Fast File System (FFS)**
  - What were the problems with the original Unix FS?
  - How did FFS solve these problems?
- **Log-Structured File system (LFS)**
  - What was the motivation of LFS?
  - How did LFS work?

Original Unix FS

- **From Bell Labs by Ken Thompson**
- **Simple and elegant:**

Unix disk layout

- **Components**
  - Data blocks
  - Inodes (directories represented as files)
  - Free list
  - Superblock. (specifies number of blks in FS, counts of max # of files, pointer to head of free list)
- **Problem: slow**
  - Only gets 2% of disk maximum (20Kb/sec) even for sequential disk transfers!

Why So Slow?

- **Problem 1: blocks too small (512 bytes)**
  - File index too large
  - Require more indirect blocks
  - Transfer rate low (get one block at time)
- **Problem 2: unorganized freelist**
  - Consecutive file blocks not close together
    - Pay seek cost for even sequential acces
  - Aging: becomes fragmented over time
- **Problem 3: poor locality**
  - inodes far from data blocks
  - inodes for directory not close together
    - poor enumeration performance: e.g., “ls”, “grep foo *.c”

FFS: Fast File System

- **Designed by a Berkeley research group for the BSD UNIX**
  - A classic file systems paper to read: [McKusic]
- **Approach:**
  - measure an state of the art systems
  - identify and understand the fundamental problems
    - The original FS treats disks like random-access memory!
  - get an idea and build a better systems
- **Idea: design FS structures and allocation polices to be "disk aware"**
- **Next: how FFS fixes the performance problems (to a degree)**

Problem 1: Blocks Too Small

Measurement:



- **Bigger block increases bandwidth, but how to deal with wastage ("internal fragmentation")?**
  - Use idea from malloc: split unused portion

Solution: Fragments

- **BSD FFS:**
  - Has large block size (4096B or 8192B)
  - Allow large blocks to be chopped into small ones called "fragments"
  - Ensure fragments only used for little files or ends of files



    - Fragment size specified at the time that the file system is created
    - Limit number of fragments per block to 2, 4, or 8

- **Pros**
  - High transfer speed for larger files
  - Low wasted space for small files or ends of files

Fragment Example

Block size: 4096 B
Fragment size: 1024 B



Fragment Example

Block size: 4096 B
Fragment size: 1024 B



append A to first file

Fragment Example

Block size: 4096 B
Fragment size: 1024 B



append A to first file
Not allowed to use fragments across multiple blocks!
What to do instead?

Fragment Example

Block size: 4096 B
Fragment size: 1024 B



append A to first file,
copy to fragments to new block

Problem 2: Unorganized Freelist

- **Leads to random allocation of sequential file blocks overtime**



Initial performance good



Get worse over time

Measurement:

- New FS: **17.5%** of disk bandwidth
- Few weeks old: **3%** of disk bandwidth

Fixing the Unorganized Freelist

- **Periodical compact/defragment disk**
  - Cons: locks up disk bandwidth during operation
- **Keep adjacent free blocks together on freelist**
  - Cons: costly to maintain
- **FFS: bitmap of free blocks**
  - Each bit indicates whether block is free
    - E.g., 10101011111110000011111110001011 00
  - Easier to find contiguous blocks
  - Small, so usually keep entire thing in memory
  - Time to find free blocks increases if fewer free blocks

Using a Bitmap

- **Usually keep entire bitmap in memory:**
  - 4G disk / 4K byte blocks. How big is map?
- **Allocate block close to block x?**
  - Check for blocks near bmap[x/32]
  - If disk almost empty, will likely find one near
  - As disk becomes full, search becomes more expensive and less effective
- **Trade space for time (search time, file access time)**

Problem 3: Poor Locality



- **How to keep inode close to data block?**

Problem 3: Poor Locality



- **How to keep inode close to data block?**

Problem 3: Poor Locality

- **Example bad layout:**



- **How to keep inode close to data block?**

Problem 3: Poor Locality



- **How to keep inode close to data block?**

Problem 3: Poor Locality



- **How to keep inode close to data block?**

FFS Solution: Cylinder Group

- **Group sets of consecutive cylinders into "cylinder groups"**



  - Key: can access any block in a cylinder without performing a seek. Next fastest place is adjacent cylinder.
  - Tries to put everything related in same cylinder group
  - Tries to put everything not related in different group

Clustering in FFS

- **Tries to put sequential blocks in adjacent sectors**
  - (Access one block, probably access next)



- **Tries to keep inode in same cylinder as file data:**
  - (If you look at inode, most likely will look at data too)



- **Tries to keep all inodes in a dir in same cylinder group**
  - Access one name, frequently access many, e.g., “ls -l”

What Does Disk Layout Look Like Now?



- **How to keep inode close to data block?**
  - Answer: Use groups across disks
  - Strategy: allocate inodes and data blocks in same group
  - Each cylinder group basically a mini-Unix file system
- **Is it useful to have multiple super blocks?**
  - Yes, if some (but not all) fail

FFS Results

- **Performance improvements:**
  - Able to get 20-40% of disk bandwidth for large files
  - 10-20x original Unix file system!
  - Stable over FS lifetime
  - Better small file performance (why?)
- **Other enhancements**
  - Long file names
  - Parameterization
  - Free space reserve (10%) that only admin can allocate blocks from

LFS: Log-structured File System

- **Motivation**
  - Faster CPUs: I/O becomes more and more of a bottleneck
  - More memory: file cache is effective for reads
  - Implication: writes compose most of disk traffic
- **Problems with previous FS**
  - Perform many small writes
    - Good performance on large, sequential writes, but many writes are still small, random
  - Synchronous operation to avoid data loss
  - Depends upon knowledge of disk geometry
- **An influential work designed by Mendel Rosenblum (VMWare co-founder) and John Ousterhout**

LFS Idea

- **Insight: treat disk like a tape-drive**
  - Best performance from disk for sequential access
- **File system buffers writes in main memory until "enough" data**
  - How much is enough?
  - Enough to get good sequential bandwidth from disk (MB)
  - Unit called a "segment"
- **Write buffered data to new segment on disk in a sequential log**
  - Transfer all updates into a series of sequential writes
  - Do not overwrite old data on disk: old copies left behind
  - Write both data and metadata in one operation

Pros And Cons

- **Pros**
  - Always large sequential writes → good performance
  - No knowledge of disk geometry
    - Assume sequential better than random
- **Potential problems**
  - How do you find data to read?
  - What happens to metadata during write?
  - What happens when you fill up the disk?

Read in LFS

- **Same basic structures as Unix**
  - Directories, inodes, indirect blocks, data blocks
  - Reading data block implies finding the file's inode
    - Unix FS: inodes kept in array
    - LFS: inodes spread around on disk
- **Solution: inode map indicates where each inode is stored**
  - Can keep cached copy in memory
  - inode map written to log with everything else
  - Periodically written to known checkpoint location on disk for crash recovery

Write in LFS

- **Why do we buffer the write?**
  - Sequential write alone is not enough
  - Disk is constantly rotating!
  - Must issue a large number of contiguous writes

Write in LFS



Write in LFS



Write in LFS

Write in LFS



Data Structures for LFS (attempt 1)

What data structures from FFS can LFS remove?

- allocation structs: data + inode bitmaps

What type of name is much more complicated?

- Inodes are no longer at fixed offset
- Use **current offset on disk** instead of table index for name
- Note: when update inode, inode number changes!!

Overwrite Data in LFS – Attempt 1

- **Overwrite data in /file.txt**



- **How to update Inode 9 to point to new D’ ???**

Overwrite Data in LFS – Attempt 1

- **Overwrite data in /file.txt**

- **Can LFS update Inode 9 to point to new D’?**
  - NO! This would be a random write

Overwrite Data in LFS – Attempt 1

- **Overwrite data in /file.txt**



- **Must update all structures in sequential order to log**

Attempt 1: Problem w/ Inode Numbers



- **Problem:**
  - For every data update, must propagate updates all the way up directory tree to root
- **Why?**
  - When inode copied, its location (inode number) changes
- **Solution:**
  - Keep inode numbers constant; don't base name on offset
- **FFS found inodes with math. How now?**

Data Structures for LFS (attempt 2)

What data structures from FFS can LFS remove?

- allocation structs: data + inode bitmaps

What type of name is much more complicated?

- Inodes are no longer at fixed offset
- Use imap structure to map:
  - inode number => most recent inode location on disk

Where to keep Imap?



- **Where can imap be stored? Dilemma:**
  1. imap too large to keep in memory
  2. don't want to perform random writes for imap
- **Solution: Write imap in segments**
  - Keep pointers to pieces of imap in memory

Solution: Imap in Segments



- **Solution:**
  - Write imap in segments
  - Keep pointers to pieces of imap in memory
  - Keep recent accesses to imap cached in memory

Disk Cleaning

- **When disk runs low on free space**
  - Run a disk cleaning process
  - Compacts live information to contiguous blocks of disk
- **Problem: long-lived data repeatedly copied over time**
  - Solution: partition disk in to segments
  - Group older files into same segment
    - Do not clean segments with old files
- **Try to run cleaner when disk is not being used**

Next Time…

- **Read Chapter 42**