NOTICE CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States [Title 17, United States Code] governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material.

Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the reproduction is not to be used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research. If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use" that use may be liable for copyright infringement.

The institution reserves the right to refuse to accept a copying order if, in its judgment, fulfillment of the order would involve violation of copyright law. No further reproduction and distribution of this copy is permitted by transmission or any other means.



Two Theorems in Graph Theory Author(s): Claude Berge Source: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, Vol. 43, No. 9 (Sep. 15, 1957), pp. 842-844 Published by: National Academy of Sciences Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/89875</u> Accessed: 08/03/2011 08:39

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=nas.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



National Academy of Sciences is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.

TWO THEOREMS IN GRAPH THEORY

By Claude Berge*

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

Communicated by N. E. Steenrod, July 8, 1957

Introduction.—Given an unoriented graph (or 1-dimensional regular complex), let X be the set of all its vertices and U be the set of all its edges. When the graph is finite, the following problems arise:

Problem 1: A set $A \subset X$ is said to be *internally stable* if $x \in A$, $y \in A$ implies $(x, y) \notin U$. The symbol |A| will denote the number of elements of A. Construct an internally stable set A such that |A| is maximum.

Problem 2: A set $B \subset X$ is said to be a cover if every edge of U is adjacent to at least one vertex in B. Construct a cover with the minimum number of elements.

Problem 3: A set of edges $V \subset U$ is said to be a matching if two edges of V have no vertex in common. Construct a matching with the maximum number of elements.

A particular case of Problem 1 is the chess problem of Gauss: Put eight queens on the board such that no one can take any other. In *n*-person game theory, if the graph of domination is symmetrical, a maximum internally stable set turns out to be a maximum *solution* (in the von Neumann-Morgenstern sense¹), and the more usual case can be solved by means of the Grundy functions.²

Problem 2 is the set theoretic dual of Problem 1, since the complement of an internally stable set is a cover, and conversely. Particular cases of Problem 3 are the problem of distinct representatives (P. Hall³) and the problem of Petersen (D. König⁴). In the case where the graph is bipartite, Problem 3 has been solved by algebraic methods by O. Ore,⁵ and an efficient algorithm has been given by H. Kuhn.⁶ Unfortunately, the linear programming duality used by H. Kuhn no longer subsists when the graph is not bipartite. (Note that Problem 2 is the linear program dual to Problem 3 in the bipartite case.) In view of solving the general case, this paper states two theorems: Theorem 1 gives a necessary and sufficient condition for recognizing whether a matching is maximum and provides an algorithm for Problem 3, while Theorem 2 yields an algorithm for Problems 1 and 2.

The Theorems.—Consider a graph G = (X, U) with a matching V_0 ; if $u \in V_0$ we shall say that edge u is strong, otherwise that u is weak. An alternating chain is a chain which does not use the same edge twice and is such that for any two adjacent edges one is strong and the other is weak. A vertex x which is not adjacent to a strong edge is said to be *neutral*, the set of all neutral points being N.

We shall also consider a graph \overline{G} constructed from G by adding a vertex \overline{a} and connecting \overline{a} to every neutral point with a strong edge. If there exists an alternating chain from \overline{a} to a vertex x, we shall picture an arrow on the last edge (z, x), directed from z to x. A vertex x (ϵN) which is not adjacent to a directed edge is said to be *inaccessible*, the set of all inaccessible points being I. A vertex x (ϵN) adjacent to a weak edge directed to x and not to a strong edge directed to x is said to be *weak*, the set of all weak points being W. A vertex x (ϵN) adjacent to a strong edge directed to x and not to a weak edge directed to x is said to be *strong*,

843

the set of all strong points being S. A vertex $x \ (\notin N)$ adjacent to a strong edge directed to x and to a weak edge directed to x is said to be *medium*, and the set of all medium points will be designated by M.

LEMMA 1. Let Y be a connected component of the subgraph M; if \bar{a} is inaccessible, there exists in \bar{G} one strong edge adjacent to Y and directed to Y only; all other edges adjacent to Y are weak and directed from Y only. Moreover, all vertices not in Y and connected to Y by one edge are weak, and $|Y| \geq 3$.

This is a theorem of T. Gallai;⁷ a shorter proof is given by Berge.⁸

LEMMA 2. If \bar{a} is inaccessible, $S \cup N$ is internally stable.

(Immediate.)

LEMMA 3. If \bar{a} is inaccessible, $M = \phi$ and $I = \phi$, then $S \cup N$ is a maximum internally stable set, W is a minimum cover, and V_0 is a maximum matching.

From Lemma 2, $S \cup N$ is internally stable, hence $W = X - (S \cup N)$ is a cover. For every cover C and for every matching V, one has $|C| \ge |V|$; as $|W| = |V_0|$, the cover W is minimum and the matching V_0 is maximum.

LEMMA 4. Let Z be a connected component of the subgraph I; if \bar{a} is inaccessible, all edges adjacent to Z are weak and undirected; moreover, all vertices not in Z connected to Z by an edge are weak, and $|Z| \geq 2$.

(Immediate.)

LEMMA 5. If $|N| \leq 1$, V_0 is a maximum matching.

This follows from the fact that $|X| = 2|V_0| + |N|$.

LEMMA 6. If $A \subset X$, let G_A be the graph constructed from G by shrinking A into a single vertex a_A , having as adjacent edges the adjacent edges of A. If the original strong edges constitute a maximum matching for the subgraph A, and for G_A , then V_0 is a maximum matching for G.

This is easy to see by an induction on the number of elements of A.

THEOREM 1. A matching V is maximum if and only if there does not exist an alternating chain connecting a neutral point to another neutral point.

If there existed an alternating chain $W = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_k)$ connecting a neutral point a to a neutral point a' different from a, $(V - W) \cup (W - V)$ would be a matching with more elements than V, and V would not be maximum.

Conversely, let us prove that, if such a chain does not exist, V is maximum; the proposition being obvious when the graph has one or two edges, we shall assume that the proposition is true for any graph having fewer than m edges, and we shall prove it for a graph G of m edges. One can assume that G is connected.

From Lemma 5, one can assume |N| > 1; from Lemma 3, one can also assume that either $M \neq \phi$ or $I \neq \phi$.

1. If $M \neq \phi$, let Y be a connected component of the subgraph M; the graph G_Y constructed from G by shrinkage satisfies the conditions of the theorem (Lemma 1); as it has at least one edge less than G, the strong edges constitute a maximum matching for G_Y . On the other hand, the subgraph Y has only one neutral point (Lemma 1) and therefore its strong edges constitute a maximum matching. Thus, from Lemma 6, V_0 is a maximum matching for G.

2. If $I \neq \phi$, let Z be a connected component of subgraph I, and consider the graph G_z . The vertex a_z is a neutral point, connected only with weak points. No alternating chain leads from a point of N to a_z . As G_z satisfies the conditions of the theorem, G_z admits its strong edges as a maximum matching. On the

other hand, the subgraph Z, having no neutral points, admits its strong edges as a maximum matching; therefore, V_0 is a maximum matching for G.

THEOREM 2. Let C_Y (resp. C_Z) be any minimum cover for the subgraph generated by a connected component Y of M (resp. Z of I). If there does not exist an alternating chain connecting a neutral point to another neutral point, the set

$$C = W \quad \mathbf{U} \quad \mathbf{U}_{Y} \quad \mathbf{C}_{Y} \quad \mathbf{U} \quad \mathbf{U}_{Z} \quad \mathbf{C}_{Z}$$

is a minimum cover for G.⁹

Every vertex which is connected by an edge to a component Y is a weak point (Lemma 1); every vertex which is connected by an edge to a component Z is a weak point (Lemma 4). Therefore C is a cover for G. As C is a minimum cover for the graph G' deduced from G by removing all edges connecting a weak vertex to a medium or inaccessible vertex (Lemma 3), C is also a minimum cover for G.

Theorem 1 suggests the following procedure for solving Problem 3; Construct a maximal matching V, and determine whether there exists an alternating chain W connecting two neutral points. (The procedure is known.) If such a chain exists, change V into $(V - W) \cup (W - V)$, and look again for a new alternating chain; if such a chain does not exist, V is maximum.

Theorem 2 gives an algorithm for Problem 2, hence for Problem 1.

* Princeton University and C.N.R.S., Paris. This study was prepared at the Economics Research Project, Princeton University, under contract with the Office of Naval Research.

¹ J. von Neumann and O. Morgenstern, *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior* (Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press, 1944).

² C. Berge, "Fonctions de Grundy d'un graphe infini," Compt. rend. Acad. Sci. Paris, 242, 1604, 1956; C. Berge and M. P. Schützenberger, "Jeux de Nim et solutions," Compt. rend. Acad. Sci. Paris, 242, 1672–1674, 1956.

³ P. Hall, "On Representatives of Subsets," J. London Math. Soc., 10, 26-30, 1935.

⁴ D. König, Theorie der endlichen und unendlichen Graphen (Leipzig, 1936).

⁵ O. Ore, "Graphs and Matching Theorems," Duke Math. J., 22, 625-639, 1955.

⁶ H. Kuhn, "The Hungarian Method for the Assignment Problem," Naval Research Logistics Quart., 2, 83–97, 1955.

⁷ T. Gallai, "On Foundation of Graphs," Acta Math. Hung., 1, 133–153, 1950.

⁸ C. Berge, *Théorie des Graphes* (Dunod publ., in preparation).

⁹ R. Z. Norman and Michael O. Rabin proved independently a similar theorem (cf. An algorithm for a minimum cover of a graph, [Abstract], Washington, D. C. meeting of the A.M.S., October 26, 1957) which could be in some sense a dual of this result and which yields an algorithm for the following problem: construct a set C of edges such that every vertex is incident to an edge of C, and which has a minimum number of edges.