

ON THE DECISION PROBLEM FOR THE PURE RESTRICTED PREDICATE CALCULUS

Ju. Š. GUREVIČ

1. A résumé of the results concerning the decision problem for satisfiability in the pure restricted predicate calculus may be found in [1]. It turns out that if one excludes classes of formulas of the form

$$\exists^a \exists^b \exists^c \exists^n M(F_1, \dots, F_c), \quad \exists^a \forall^b \exists^c \exists^n M(F_1, \dots, F_c)$$

not containing one-place predicates exclusively where a, b , and c are bounded in a given class and $b > 0$, then for any of the remaining classes of prenex formulas without free variables and with a fixed collection of predicates the problem has been solved.

Theorem. Let σ contain one two-place and $(9+k)$ one-place predicates, where $2^k \geq m^*$ and m^* is the number of states of a universal Turing machine. The sets of refutable and finitely satisfiable σ -formulas of the form $\forall \exists \forall \exists \dots \exists^n$ are not recursively separable.

The present article is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

2. By a Turing machine we understand here a variant of the kind of machines described in [2]. M^* will be used to designate a universal Turing machine. Let $X_1 (X_2)$ be the set of all n such that M^* terminates with a tape (cycles), given the initial situation $\overbrace{11 \dots 100 \dots}^{n+1}$. M^* scans the left-most square. X_1 and X_2 are not recursively separable.

3. In [2] there is constructed for each Turing machine M a formula $\alpha_M(x, x', y)$ containing two-place predicates, S, K , and H , and a certain number of one-place predicates which is such that if M scans initially the zero square of the empty tape, it terminates with a tape at some time or goes into cycles) if and only if $\forall xy \alpha_M(x, x+1, y)$. Z_0 is unsatisfiable (is satisfiable with periodic predicates) in the domain of natural numbers. Here Zy is interpreted to mean: y is zero; and Syx to mean: at moment y the square of number x is nonempty. In α_{M^*} we replace $(Zy \supset \neg Syx')$ by $(Zy \supset (Syx' \supset Syx))$. We obtain the formula $\alpha(x, x', y)$.

Lemma 1. $n \in X_1 (n \in X_2)$ if and only if $\forall xy \alpha(x, x+1, y)$. Z_0 . $\neg So (n+1)$ is satisfiable (satisfiable with periodic predicates) in the domain of natural numbers.

4. Let $\beta(x, x', y)$ be the conjunction of the following five formulas:

- 4.1. $\neg Ax. \neg Ay. Cxy \supset Dx'y.$
- 4.2. $Dyx \supset \neg Ax'. Cyx'.$
- 4.3. $Ay. Cyx \supset Ax'.$
- 4.4. $Ax. Bx. Zy \supset Syx. \neg Syx'.$
- 4.5. $Bx \supset Bx'.$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n = & \forall x \exists x' \forall y (\alpha(x, x', y) \cdot \beta(x, x', y)) \& \\ & \& \exists x_0 x_1 \dots x_n [Ax_0 \cdot \bigwedge_{i>0} \neg Ax_i \cdot \bigwedge_{i<n} Cx_i x_{i+1} \cdot \\ & \cdot \bigwedge_{i+i \neq j} \neg Cx_i x_j \cdot Zx_n \cdot Bx_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. $\phi = [\forall xy\alpha(x, x+1, y). Z0. \text{Son. } \neg So(n+1)]$ is satisfiable (satisfiable with periodic predicates) in the domain of natural numbers if and only if ϕ_n is satisfiable (finitely satisfiable).

We will show how to construct a model for ϕ_n if there exists a model \mathfrak{R} for ϕ . Let $|\mathfrak{M}_i| = \{(i, k) | k = 0, 1, \dots\}$ and let $(i, k) \leftrightarrow k$ be an isomorphism of \mathfrak{M}_i and \mathfrak{R} , $i = 0, \dots, n$.

For $i \neq j$ we set $\neg S(i, k)(j, l)$, $\neg K(i, k)(j, l)$, $\neg H(i, k)(j, l)$. We assume further:

$A(i, k)$ is equivalent to $i = k$,

$B(i, k)$ is equivalent to $i = n$,

$C(i, k)(j, l)$ is equivalent to $j = i + 1$ and $k = l \leq i$,

$D(i, k)(j, l)$ is equivalent to $j = i + 1$ and $k = l + 1 \leq i$.

The pairs (i, k) with the defined relations form a model for ϕ_n .

Now if there exists a model \mathfrak{M} for ϕ_n , then, without loss of generality, one may assume that $|\mathfrak{M}|$ consists of the pairs (i, k) , where $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots$. The element $(i, 0)$ plays the role of the x_i in ϕ_n . We prove $A(i, i)$ by induction on i . $S(n, 0)(n, n)$ and $\neg S(n, 0)(n, n+1)$ follow from $A(n, n)$ and $B(n, 0)$ by means of 4.5 and 4.4. The elements (n, k) , $k = 0, 1, \dots$, with the corresponding relations form a model for ϕ .

5. Let \mathfrak{M} be a model for ϕ_n . We replace each element a of \mathfrak{M} by eleven new elements $a^0, \dots, \dots, a^{10}$. Let h be any one-place predicate of ϕ_n ; if ha is true ($\neg ha$ is true), we make ha^i to be true (correspondingly, $\neg ha^i$); $i = 0, \dots, 10$. We now define over the set $\{a^i | a \in \mathfrak{M}, i = 0, \dots, 10\}$ a new predicate Fxy (or simply xy):

5.1. $Cab \rightarrow \bigwedge_i (a^i b^0 . b^i a^2) . b^1 a^{10}$.

5.2. $Dab \rightarrow \bigwedge_i (a^i b^1 . b^i a^3)$.

5.3; 5.4; 5.5 are analogous to 5.1 and 5.2, but for S, K , and H respectively.

5.6. $a^i b^j$ is true only when its truth follows from 5.1–5.5. As a result we obtain a model for the formulas ψ_n defined below.

6. Let $\gamma(x, x', y)$ be the conjunction of the following formulas:

6.1. $\bigvee_{i=0}^{10} f^i x . \bigwedge_{i \neq j} \neg (f^i x . f^j x)$.

6.2. $\bigwedge_{i < 10} (f^i x \sim f^{i+1} x')$.

6.3. $\bigwedge_{h, i < 10} f^i x \supset (hx \sim hx')$ (here h runs through the one-place predicates of ϕ_n).

6.4. $\bigwedge_{i < 10} [f^i x . (f^0 y \vee f^2 y) \supset (xy \sim x'y)] . [f^{10} y . f^0 x \supset (yx \sim x'y)]$.

6.5–6.8. Formulas relating to D, S, K , and H in the same way that 6.4 relates to C .

6.9. $f^{10} x . f^1 y . \neg Ax . \neg Ay . yx \subset x'y$.

6.10 and so on are formulas relating to 4.2, \dots , 4.5 and to the conjunctions of $\alpha(x, x', y)$ in the same way that 6.9 relates to 4.1.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n = & \forall x \exists x' \forall y \gamma(x, x', y) \& \\ & \& \exists x_0 x_1 \dots x_n [\bigwedge_i f^0 x_i . Ax_0 . \bigwedge_{i > 0} \neg Ax_i . \\ & . \bigwedge_{i < n} x_i x_{i+1} . \bigwedge_{1+i \neq j} \neg x_i x_j . Zx_n . Bx_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. ϕ_n is satisfiable (finitely satisfiable) if and only if ψ_n is satisfiable (finitely satisfiable).

7. ψ_n contains one two-place predicate and $m^* + 17$ one-place ones. The individual groups of one-place predicates satisfy a property similar to 6.1 which permits us to reduce the necessary number of one-place predicates to $9 + k$, where $2^k \geq m^*$.

Thus the statement of the theorem follows from Lemmas 1, 2, and 3.

I would like to express my gratitude to Academician A. I. Mal'cev for having proposed the problem to me.

Ural State University

Received 21/MAY/65

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] V. F. Kostyrko, Algebra i Logika Sem. 3(1964), no. 5-6, 45. MR 31 #27.
[2] J. R. Büchi, Math. Ann. 148(1962), 201. MR 29 #5719.

Translated by:
S. Walker