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## LWE

$\left(\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{b}^{t}=\mathbf{s}^{t} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{e}^{t}\right)$ vs. $\left(\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{b}^{t}\right)$

- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- Unique solution $\mathbf{s}, \mathrm{e}$
- Applications: PKE, OT, ID-based encryption, FHE, ...
'CRYPTOMANIA'
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- Lengths, Gaussians, etc. are all defined in terms of $\sigma$.
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(2) Adaptive security for IBE, with good key sizes (e.g., $O(1) \mathrm{As}$ ). Adapt [Waters'09] from bilinear setting?
(3) Provable hardness for small parameters for related problems like Learning With Rounding and PRFs [BPR'12]
(4) Multilinear maps [GGH'12] from standard lattice assumptions (LWE)
(5) Anything nontrivial about ideal lattices: attacks, hardness, applications, ...
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## Thanks!

