Lattice-Based Cryptography: Ring-Based Primitives and Open Problems

> Chris Peikert Georgia Institute of Technology

> > crypt@b-it 2013

SIS [Ajtai'96,...] and LWE [Regev'05] SIS LWE

find short $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ s.t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$ (A, $\mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t$) vs. (A, \mathbf{b}^t)

$\begin{array}{ll} \text{SIS} & [\text{Ajtai'96,...}] \text{ and LWE} & [\text{Regev'05}] \\ & \underline{\text{SIS}} & \underline{\text{LWE}} \\ \text{find short } \mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0} \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{Az} = \mathbf{0} & (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t) \text{ vs. } (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t) \end{array}$

 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH

SIS [Ajtai'96,...] and LWE [Regev'05] SIS

find short $z \neq 0$ s.t. Az = 0

 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH LWE

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH SIS [Ajtai'96,...] and LWE [Regev'05]

find short $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ s.t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z

LWE

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH SIS [Ajtai'96,...] and LWE [Regev'05]

find short $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ s.t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z

LWE

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- $\blacktriangleright \underline{\mathsf{Unique}} \text{ solution } \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e}$

SIS [Ajtai'96,...] and LWE [Regev'05] \underline{SIS}

find short $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ s.t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z
- Applications: OWF / CRHF, signatures, ID schemes

'minicrypt'

LWE

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- $\blacktriangleright \underline{\mathsf{Unique}} \text{ solution } \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e}$

SIS [Ajtai'96,...] and LWE [Regev'05] \underline{SIS}

find short $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ s.t. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z
- Applications: OWF / CRHF, signatures, ID schemes

'minicrypt'

LWE

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- $\blacktriangleright \underline{\mathsf{Unique}} \text{ solution } \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e}$
- Applications: PKE, OT, ID-based encryption, FHE, ...

'CRYPTOMANIA'

Each pseudorandom scalar b requires an n-dim inner product

$$(-\mathbf{s}^t -) \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + e = \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_q$$

- Each pseudorandom scalar b requires an n-dim inner product
- Can amortize each a over many secrets s_i, but still Õ(n) work per scalar b.

$$(-\mathbf{s}^t -) \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + e = \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_q$$

- Each pseudorandom scalar b requires an n-dim inner product
- $(- \mathbf{s}^t -) \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + e = \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_q \quad \blacktriangleright \text{ Can amortize each } \mathbf{a} \text{ over many secrets } \mathbf{s}_i, \text{ but still } \tilde{O}(n) \text{ work per scalar } \mathbf{b}.$
 - Crypto functions have rather large key sizes: $\Omega(n^2)$ bits

$$pk = \underbrace{\left(\cdots \quad \mathbf{A} \quad \cdots \right)}_{m \approx n \log q}$$

- Each pseudorandom scalar b requires an n-dim inner product
- $(- \mathbf{s}^t -) \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + e = \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_q \quad \blacktriangleright \text{ Can amortize each } \mathbf{a} \text{ over many secrets } \mathbf{s}_i, \text{ but still } \tilde{O}(n) \text{ work per scalar } \mathbf{b}.$
 - Crypto functions have rather large key sizes: $\Omega(n^2)$ bits

$$pk = \underbrace{\left(\cdots \quad \mathbf{A} \quad \cdots \right)}_{m \approx n \log q}$$

• Can fix A for all users, but still $\tilde{\Omega}(n^2)$ time to evaluate functions.

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{s} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{e} \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{b} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$$

▶ SIS: *n*-dimensional \mathbf{x}_i , and $m \approx \log q$

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{m} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_{m} \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{s} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{e} \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{b} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$

- SIS: *n*-dimensional \mathbf{x}_i , and $m \approx \log q$
- LWE: each \star operation yields n pseudorandom scalars

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{m} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_{m} \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{s} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{e} \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{b} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$

- SIS: *n*-dimensional \mathbf{x}_i , and $m \approx \log q$
- LWE: each \star operation yields n pseudorandom scalars

Key Question

▶ How to define '*' so SIS and LWE are fast and secure?

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{m} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_{m} \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{s} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{e} \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{b} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$

- SIS: *n*-dimensional \mathbf{x}_i , and $m \approx \log q$
- LWE: each \star operation yields n pseudorandom scalars

Key Question

- ▶ How to define '★' so SIS and LWE are fast and secure?
- Careful: coordinate-wise multiplication is not secure!

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{m} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_{m} \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{s} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{e} \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{b} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$

- SIS: *n*-dimensional \mathbf{x}_i , and $m \approx \log q$
- LWE: each \star operation yields n pseudorandom scalars

Key Question

- ▶ How to define '*' so SIS and LWE are fast and secure?
- Careful: coordinate-wise multiplication is not secure!
- Answer: multiplication in a suitable polynomial ring.

• Define $R := \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n - 1)$ and $R_q := R/qR = \mathbb{Z}_q[X]/(X^n - 1)$, as in NTRU [HPS'98]

- Define $R := \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n 1)$ and $R_q := R/qR = \mathbb{Z}_q[X]/(X^n 1)$, as in NTRU [HPS'98]
- Multiplication * in R (or R_q) is "cyclic convolution:"

$$a(X) \cdot b(X) \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_2 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\operatorname{rot}(\mathbf{a})} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Define $R := \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n 1)$ and $R_q := R/qR = \mathbb{Z}_q[X]/(X^n 1)$, as in NTRU [HPS'98]
- ▶ Multiplication ★ in R (or R_q) is "cyclic convolution:"

$$a(X) \cdot b(X) \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_2 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\operatorname{rot}(\mathbf{a})} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

There are sub-quadratic algorithms for computing \star (later).

- Define $R := \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n 1)$ and $R_q := R/qR = \mathbb{Z}_q[X]/(X^n 1)$, as in NTRU [HPS'98]
- ▶ Multiplication ★ in R (or R_q) is "cyclic convolution:"

$$a(X) \cdot b(X) \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_2 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\operatorname{rot}(\mathbf{a})} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

There are sub-quadratic algorithms for computing \star (later).

For 'short' $\mathbf{x}_i \in R$, is this ring-SIS function one-way? Coll. resistant?

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in R_q$$

For 'short' $\mathbf{x}_i \in R$, is this 'ring-SIS' function one-way? Coll. resistant?

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in R_q$$

For 'short' $\mathbf{x}_i \in R$, is this 'ring-SIS' function one-way? Coll. resistant?

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in R_q$$

• [Micciancio'02]: the function is one-way, if SVP_{γ} on ideal lattices in $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n - 1)$ is hard in the worst case.

For 'short' $\mathbf{x}_i \in R$, is this 'ring-SIS' function one-way? Coll. resistant?

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in R_q$$

- [Micciancio'02]: the function is one-way, if SVP_{γ} on ideal lattices in $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n 1)$ is hard in the worst case.
- [PR'06,LM'06]: the function is not collision resistant!

For 'short' $\mathbf{x}_i \in R$, is this 'ring-SIS' function one-way? Coll. resistant?

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in R_q$$

• [Micciancio'02]: the function is one-way, if SVP_{γ} on ideal lattices in $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n - 1)$ is hard in the worst case.

[PR'06,LM'06]: the function is not collision resistant!

* With prob 1/q, we have $a(1) = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$.

For 'short' $\mathbf{x}_i \in R$, is this 'ring-SIS' function one-way? Coll. resistant?

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in R_q$$

• [Micciancio'02]: the function is one-way, if SVP_{γ} on ideal lattices in $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n - 1)$ is hard in the worst case.

[PR'06,LM'06]: the function is not collision resistant!

- * With prob 1/q, we have $a(1) = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$.
- * Then for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}$, we have $\mathbf{a} \star \mathbf{x} = \mathsf{rot}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \in R_q$.

For 'short' $\mathbf{x}_i \in R$, is this 'ring-SIS' function one-way? Coll. resistant?

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in R_q$$

• [Micciancio'02]: the function is one-way, if SVP_{γ} on ideal lattices in $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n - 1)$ is hard in the worst case.

[PR'06,LM'06]: the function is not collision resistant!

- * With prob 1/q, we have $a(1) = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$.
- * Then for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}$, we have $\mathbf{a} \star \mathbf{x} = \mathsf{rot}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \in R_q$.
- ★ Algebraically, $(X-1)|a(X) \Rightarrow a(X)(1+X+\dots+X^{n-1}) = 0 \mod (X^n-1).$

For 'short' $\mathbf{x}_i \in R$, is this 'ring-SIS' function one-way? Coll. resistant?

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{x}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{u} \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in R_q$$

• [Micciancio'02]: the function is one-way, if SVP_{γ} on ideal lattices in $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n - 1)$ is hard in the worst case.

[PR'06,LM'06]: the function is not collision resistant!

- * With prob 1/q, we have $a(1) = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$.
- * Then for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}$, we have $\mathbf{a} \star \mathbf{x} = \mathsf{rot}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \in R_q$.
- ★ Algebraically, $(X-1)|a(X) \Rightarrow a(X)(1+X+\dots+X^{n-1}) = 0 \mod (X^n-1).$
- Main problem: R = Z[X]/(Xⁿ − 1) is not an integral domain, because Xⁿ − 1 is reducible.

•
$$R := \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n+1)$$
 and $R_q = R/qR$, for $n = 2^k$ and $q = 1 \mod 2n$.

 R := ℤ[X]/(Xⁿ + 1) and R_q = R/qR, for n = 2^k and q = 1 mod 2n.

 (Xⁿ + 1 is irreducible over ℤ, but "splits completely" over ℤ_q.)

- R := ℤ[X]/(Xⁿ + 1) and R_q = R/qR, for n = 2^k and q = 1 mod 2n.

 (Xⁿ + 1 is irreducible over ℤ, but "splits completely" over ℤ_q.
- Multiplication \star in R (or R_q) is "anti-cyclic convolution"

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -a_{n-1} & \cdots & -a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & -a_2 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

- R := ℤ[X]/(Xⁿ + 1) and R_q = R/qR, for n = 2^k and q = 1 mod 2n.

 (Xⁿ + 1 is irreducible over ℤ, but "splits completely" over ℤ_q.
- Multiplication \star in R (or R_q) is "anti-cyclic convolution"

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -a_{n-1} & \cdots & -a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & -a_2 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Multiplication in $O(n \log n)$ time: use "FFT" over \mathbb{Z}_q

- R := ℤ[X]/(Xⁿ + 1) and R_q = R/qR, for n = 2^k and q = 1 mod 2n.

 (Xⁿ + 1 is irreducible over ℤ, but "splits completely" over ℤ_q.
- Multiplication \star in R (or R_q) is "anti-cyclic convolution"

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -a_{n-1} & \cdots & -a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & -a_2 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Multiplication in $O(n \log n)$ time: use "FFT" over \mathbb{Z}_q

Theorem [PR'06,LM'06]

The ring-SIS function is collision resistant, if SVP_γ on ideal lattices in R is hard in the worst case.

- R := ℤ[X]/(Xⁿ + 1) and R_q = R/qR, for n = 2^k and q = 1 mod 2n.

 (Xⁿ + 1 is irreducible over ℤ, but "splits completely" over ℤ_q.
- Multiplication \star in R (or R_q) is "anti-cyclic convolution"

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -a_{n-1} & \cdots & -a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & -a_2 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Multiplication in $O(n \log n)$ time: use "FFT" over \mathbb{Z}_q

Theorem [LPR'10]

Ring-LWE is pseudorandom if SVP_γ on ideal lattices in R is quantumly hard in the worst case.

- Recall example ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1)$ for $n = 2^k$.
- An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is closed under + and -, and under \star with R.

- Recall example ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1)$ for $n = 2^k$.
- An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is closed under + and -, and under \star with R.

To get ideal lattices, embed R and its ideals into \mathbb{Z}^n . How?

- Recall example ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1)$ for $n = 2^k$.
- An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is closed under + and -, and under \star with R.

To get ideal lattices, embed R and its ideals into \mathbb{Z}^n . How?

'Coefficient embedding' [HPS'98,M'02,PR'06,LM'06,G'09,...]:

$$a(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

- Recall example ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1)$ for $n = 2^k$.
- An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is closed under + and -, and under \star with R.

To get ideal lattices, embed R and its ideals into \mathbb{Z}^n . How?

'Coefficient embedding' [HPS'98,M'02,PR'06,LM'06,G'09,...]:

$$a(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

Addition + is coordinate-wise, but analyzing \star is cumbersome.

- Recall example ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1)$ for $n = 2^k$.
- An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is closed under + and -, and under \star with R.

To get ideal lattices, embed R and its ideals into \mathbb{Z}^n . How?

'Coefficient embedding' [HPS'98,M'02,PR'06,LM'06,G'09,...]:

$$a(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

Addition + is coordinate-wise, but analyzing \star is cumbersome.

'Expansion factor' ϕ can bound $||a \star b|| \leq \phi \cdot ||a|| \cdot ||b||$, but is often loose, and doesn't help with distributions.

- Recall example ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1)$ for $n = 2^k$.
- An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is closed under + and -, and under \star with R.

To get ideal lattices, embed R and its ideals into \mathbb{C}^n . How?

'Coefficient embedding' [HPS'98,M'02,PR'06,LM'06,G'09,...]:

$$a(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

Addition + is coordinate-wise, but analyzing \star is cumbersome.

'Expansion factor' ϕ can bound $||a \star b|| \leq \phi \cdot ||a|| \cdot ||b||$, but is often loose, and doesn't help with distributions.

► [Minkowski'1800s,...]: 'canonical embedding' σ . Let $\omega = \exp(\pi i/n)$: $a(X) \xrightarrow{\sigma} (a(\omega^1), a(\omega^3), \dots, a(\omega^{2n-1})) \in \mathbb{C}^n$

- Recall example ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1)$ for $n = 2^k$.
- An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is closed under + and -, and under \star with R.

To get ideal lattices, embed R and its ideals into \mathbb{C}^n . How?

'Coefficient embedding' [HPS'98,M'02,PR'06,LM'06,G'09,...]:

$$a(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

Addition + is coordinate-wise, but analyzing \star is cumbersome.

'Expansion factor' ϕ can bound $||a \star b|| \leq \phi \cdot ||a|| \cdot ||b||$, but is often loose, and doesn't help with distributions.

• [Minkowski'1800s,...]: 'canonical embedding' σ . Let $\omega = \exp(\pi i/n)$:

$$a(X) \quad \stackrel{\sigma}{\mapsto} \quad (a(\omega^1), a(\omega^3), \dots, a(\omega^{2n-1})) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

Both + and \star are coordinate-wise! Nice geometric behavior.

- Recall example ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1)$ for $n = 2^k$.
- An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ is closed under + and -, and under \star with R.

To get ideal lattices, embed R and its ideals into \mathbb{C}^n . How?

'Coefficient embedding' [HPS'98,M'02,PR'06,LM'06,G'09,...]:

$$a(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

Addition + is coordinate-wise, but analyzing \star is cumbersome.

'Expansion factor' ϕ can bound $||a \star b|| \leq \phi \cdot ||a|| \cdot ||b||$, but is often loose, and doesn't help with distributions.

• [Minkowski'1800s,...]: 'canonical embedding' σ . Let $\omega = \exp(\pi i/n)$:

$$a(X) \stackrel{\sigma}{\mapsto} (a(\omega^1), a(\omega^3), \dots, a(\omega^{2n-1})) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

Both + and \star are coordinate-wise! Nice geometric behavior.

• Lengths, Gaussians, etc. are all defined in terms of σ .

1 Classical hardness of LWE, subsuming the quantum reduction of [Regev'05]: q = poly(n), based on GapSVP and SIVP

- **1** Classical hardness of LWE, subsuming the quantum reduction of [Regev'05]: q = poly(n), based on GapSVP and SIVP
- Adaptive security for IBE, with good key sizes (e.g., O(1) As).
 Adapt [Waters'09] from bilinear setting?

- ① Classical hardness of LWE, subsuming the quantum reduction of [Regev'05]: q = poly(n), based on GapSVP and SIVP
- 2 Adaptive security for IBE, with good key sizes (e.g., O(1) As). Adapt [Waters'09] from bilinear setting?
- Provable hardness for small parameters for related problems like Learning With Rounding and PRFs [BPR'12]

- ① Classical hardness of LWE, subsuming the quantum reduction of [Regev'05]: q = poly(n), based on GapSVP and SIVP
- 2 Adaptive security for IBE, with good key sizes (e.g., O(1) As). Adapt [Waters'09] from bilinear setting?
- Provable hardness for small parameters for related problems like Learning With Rounding and PRFs [BPR'12]
- Multilinear maps [GGH'12] from standard lattice assumptions (LWE)

- ① Classical hardness of LWE, subsuming the quantum reduction of [Regev'05]: q = poly(n), based on GapSVP and SIVP
- Adaptive security for IBE, with good key sizes (e.g., O(1) As).
 Adapt [Waters'09] from bilinear setting?
- Provable hardness for small parameters for related problems like Learning With Rounding and PRFs [BPR'12]
- 4 Multilinear maps [GGH'12] from standard lattice assumptions (LWE)
- 6 Anything nontrivial about ideal lattices: attacks, hardness, applications, ...

You now have a solid foundation in the central concepts and techniques used in lattice-based cryptography.

- You now have a solid foundation in the central concepts and techniques used in lattice-based cryptography.
- The field is vibrant: there are endless unanswered questions, and endless new discoveries to be made.

- You now have a solid foundation in the central concepts and techniques used in lattice-based cryptography.
- The field is vibrant: there are endless unanswered questions, and endless new discoveries to be made.
- Enjoy the cryptography!

- You now have a solid foundation in the central concepts and techniques used in lattice-based cryptography.
- The field is vibrant: there are endless unanswered questions, and endless new discoveries to be made.
- Enjoy the cryptography!

Thanks!