

# KERNEL RIDGE

## REGRESSION

In these notes we will show how to incorporate kernels into ridge regression yielding a non linear regression method.

### Ridge Regression

Ridge regression solver

$$\min_{w, b} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w^T x_i - b)^2 + \lambda \|w\|^2$$

The solution is

$$\hat{w} = (X^T X + n\lambda I)^{-1} X^T \hat{y}$$

$$\hat{b} = \bar{y} - \hat{w}^T \bar{x}$$

where

$$\hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{y}_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{x}_n^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_i &= x_i - \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \tilde{y}_i &= y_i - \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R} \\ \bar{x} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \\ - & 1 \leq i \leq n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}_n \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{y}_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_n^T \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{x}_1^T \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} n \leftarrow - \\ \bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum y_i \end{array}$$

The regression function estimate is

$$\hat{f}(x) = \hat{w}^T x + \hat{b} = \bar{y} + \hat{w}(x - \bar{x})$$

To apply kernels, we must show that  $\hat{f}(x)$  depends on  $x, x_1, \dots, x_n$  only in terms of inner products, such as  $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle$  or  $\langle x_i, x \rangle$ .

### Kernelizing Ridge Regression

Note that  $X^T X$  is not the Gram matrix of  $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n$ . Let's apply the matrix inversion lemma:

$$(P + QRS)^{-1} = P^{-1} - P^{-1}Q(R^{-1} + SP^{-1}Q)^{-1}SP^{-1}$$

with

$$P = \mu I, \quad Q = X^T, \quad R = I, \quad S = X$$

$\uparrow \mu = n\lambda$  for brevity

This yields

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} - & . & . & . & . & - & . \end{array}$$

$$(\mu I + X^T X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mu} I - \frac{1}{\mu} I \cdot X^T (I + \frac{1}{\mu} X X^T)^{-1} X \cdot \frac{1}{\mu} I$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu} [I - X^T (\mu I + X X^T)^{-1} X]$$

Therefore

$$(X^T X + \mu I)^{-1} X^T \tilde{y} = \frac{1}{\mu} [X - X^T (X X^T + \mu I)^{-1} X X^T] \tilde{y}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu} [X^T - X^T (\tilde{R} + \mu I)^{-1} \tilde{R}] \tilde{y}$$

where

$$\tilde{R} = [\langle \tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_j \rangle]_{i,j=1}^n. \quad (n \times n)$$

Note

$$\langle \tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_j \rangle = \langle x_i - \bar{x}, x_j - \bar{x} \rangle$$

$$= \langle x_i, x_j \rangle - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n \langle x_i, x_r \rangle$$

$$- \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \langle x_s, x_j \rangle + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n \langle x_r, x_s \rangle$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{f}(x) &= \bar{y} + \hat{w}^\top (x - \bar{x}) \\
 &= \bar{y} + \frac{1}{\mu} \tilde{y}^\top [X - \tilde{K}(\tilde{K} + \mu I)^{-1} X] (x - \bar{x}) \\
 &= \bar{y} + \frac{1}{\mu} \tilde{y}^\top [I - \tilde{K}(\tilde{K} + \mu I)^{-1}] \tilde{k}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{k}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \tilde{x}_1, x - \bar{x} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \tilde{x}_n, x - \bar{x} \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

The entries of this vector are

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \tilde{x}_i, x - \bar{x} \rangle &= \langle x_i - \bar{x}, x - \bar{x} \rangle \\
 &= \langle x_i, x \rangle - \frac{1}{n} \sum_r \langle x_i, x_r \rangle \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_s \langle x_s, x \rangle + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_r \sum_s \langle x_r, x_s \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, observe

$$I - \tilde{K}(\tilde{K} + \mu I)^{-1}$$

$$= [\tilde{K} + \mu I - \tilde{K}] (\tilde{K} + \mu I)^{-1}$$

$$= \mu (\tilde{K} + \mu I)^{-1}$$

which yields the estimate

$$\hat{f}(x) = \bar{y} + \hat{\gamma}^\top (\tilde{K} + n\lambda I)^{-1} \tilde{k}(x).$$

The point of these manipulations is that  $\hat{f}$  depends on elements of the feature space only in terms of inner products. If  $k$  is an inner product kernel with feature map  $\Phi$ , and we substitute

$$\langle x, x' \rangle \rightarrow k(x, x')$$

whenever inner products occur, then  $\hat{f}$  corresponds to ridge regression after the original feature space is transformed via  $\Phi$ .

### No Offset

For some kernels  $k(x, x') = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x') \rangle$ ,  $\Phi(x)$  already contains a constant component, in which case the offset/bias  $b$  is not necessary. The

inhomogeneous polynomial kernels are an example.

The Gaussian kernel also does not require an offset, even though its feature space does not contain a constant term (Steinwart and Christmann, 2008).

If  $b$  is omitted, the KRR estimator is

$$\hat{f}(x) = y^T (K + n\lambda I)^{-1} k(x)$$

where

$$K = [k(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1}^n \quad (n \times n)$$

and

$$k(x) = \begin{bmatrix} k(x_1, x) \\ \vdots \\ k(x_n, x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example ] Gaussian kernel

$$k(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - x'\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(x) &= y^T (K + n\lambda I)^{-1} k(x) \\ &= \alpha^T k(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k(x, x_i)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = (K + n\lambda I)^{-1} y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is independent of  $x$ .

