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Fatih M. Ozkeskin, Member, IEEE, Sangjo Choi, Student Member, IEEE, Kamal Sarabandi, Fellow, IEEE, and Yogesh B. Gianchandani, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—This paper describes the performance of stainless-steel micro-relays, with platinum–rhodium metal foil contact elements, in handling high-power RF signals. The electrical and thermal responses are described for electrostatically actuated three-terminal micro-relays that are directly assembled on printed circuit boards. The preliminary designs have footprints of 6.4 mm². Fabricated relays have 90-V turn-on (pull-down) voltage. The down-state insertion loss and up-state isolation were better than 0.2 and 25 dB over the band of dc–5 GHz, respectively. The devices can accommodate incident RF power up to 18.5 W at 3 GHz when cooled by forced air from a mini-fan.

Index Terms—Cantilever, heat sink, micro-relay, platinum–rhodium (Pt–Rh), RF switch.

I. INTRODUCTION

MICRO-RELAYS are widely used in signal switching for applications in the aerospace sector, RF communications, and portable electronics. There has been extensive research on the miniaturization and integration of micro-relays as a result of the recent developments in the microfabrication techniques [1]–[5]. Compared to solid-state counterparts, the micromechanical RF switches hold the promise of advantages including high linearity, low loss, and low power consumption [6]–[11]. However, the focus has been on devices that handle relatively low levels of RF power, i.e., below 100 mW. High RF power handling in micro-relays is needed for satellite transmitters and earth-based communications stations [12], [13].

This paper is directed at options for direct contact micro-relays that can accommodate power levels exceeding 1 W. Challenges include not only self-actuation in the up-state, but also dominant failure mechanisms such as metal softening and micro-welding in the down-state. Contact failure mechanisms that define the power-handling capability of the ohmic-contact micro-relays are related to the nature of the contact metals [14], [15].

Most of the past efforts in high-power RF micro-relays have focused on surface micromachined contact materials for increased power handling [16], [17]. Surface micromachining techniques only allow the use of metals that can be sputtered or electroplated. Micro-relays micromachined from bulk foils can utilize metal alloys, and take advantage of the properties such as high hardness and high melting point, and thereby accommodate high RF power.

Platinum–rhodium (Pt–Rh) is a mechanically robust and chemically inert metal alloy that is used in very high-quality crucibles. Pt–Rh alloy with 20% Rh content has higher hardness and higher melting point than pure platinum [18], [19]. Platinum group contact metals are also known to considerably reduce stiction [20], [21]. These properties make Pt–Rh an attractive candidate for high-power RF micro-relays; it is expected to be resistant to softening and micro-welding at elevated temperatures that may rise during high-power signal transmission.

This paper describes the performance of electrostatically actuated three-terminal micro-relays that utilize a cantilever, a contact bridge, and an on-device heat sink.1 Section II describes the micro-relay design, and Section III details the fabrication and assembly processes for the device. Experimental evaluation is presented in Section IV. These include dc, small-signal, and high-power RF characterization, thermal performance, and lifetime test for the device. Section V provides discussion and conclusions.

II. DESIGN

The micro-relay has a three-terminal structure (Fig. 1). The design has a footprint of 6.4 mm² and it is composed of vertically stacked components directly located and assembled on a printed circuit board (PCB). The PCB uses a Rogers 4003 substrate with Cu–Ni–Au traces (75-, 4-, and 0.15-μm thicknesses, respectively) for reduced loss at microwave frequencies. The PCB traces have four regions. The first region includes two transmission line segments with 50-Ω characteristic impedance and provides input and output paths for the RF signal. At the end of each line, the terminals accommodate contact regions (200 μm x 200 μm each). The second region includes the dc ground. Two types of vias are defined on the dc ground: isolated vias provide the locations for anchoring and assembly purposes, and plated vias short dc and RF grounds. The third region includes an L-shaped electrode for the placement of a dc-blocking

---

1Portions of this work have been reported in conference abstract form in [22].
capacitor. It also acts as a shield to further reduce the RF signal coupling from the transmission line. The fourth region includes the pull-down electrode; it is used to electrostatically actuate the cantilever. The PCB design is obtained through iterative optimization and sensitivity studies to provide the desired switching RF performance. The simulations are described toward the end of this section.

The stainless-steel cantilever is positioned orthogonally with respect to the transmission line and suspended above a break. It defines the device footprint (2000 μm × 3200 μm, including anchor; 2700-μm suspended length; 50-μm thick). The cantilever is elevated above the pull-down electrode on the PCB by a cantilever spacer (2000 μm × 400 μm × 110 μm). Two identical ground spacers (4000 μm × 2350 μm × 50 μm each) are stacked on the ground electrode. For electrostatic actuation, an interelectrode gap of 10 μm is maintained between the bottom surface of the cantilever and the top surface of the ground spacer stack. The actuation electrode size is defined by the trapezoidal overlap area between ground spacers and the cantilever (1.8 mm²). A pair of gold alignment posts (400-μm height, 300-μm diameter each) perforates and anchors the cantilever spacer and the cantilever to the pull-down electrode and the PCB substrate through tightly fitting into the isolated vias. Another pair of alignment posts with same diameter similarly anchors ground spacers to the ground electrode.

At the distal end of the cantilever is a multilevel vertically stacked structure. A Pt-Rh contact bridge (600 μm × 1000 μm × 30 μm), provides an ohmic contact between two open ends of the microstrip underneath. A thermally conductive sapphire micro-rod (700-μm height, 250-μm diameter, 50-W·K⁻¹·m⁻¹ thermal conductivity, Meller Optics Inc.) is used to mechanically couple the contact bridge with the cantilever and electrically separate them. It also performs as a thermal conductor to take the heat away from the contact regions. The micro-rod rests on a 5-μm-deep blind hole at the center of the contact bridge and centers the stacked components by perforating the concentric holes on them. The gap between the cantilever and the contact bridge is designed so that the latter stands 12 μm above the transmission line.

A micromachined aluminum heat sink (600 μm × 600 μm × 1000 μm) with four fins (each 150 μm × 150 μm and 950-μm tall) is used to dissipate local contact heating through active cooling when combined with a commercially available mini-fan (Suna-UF3A3) placed 1.5 mm above the transmission line. The gap between the fins is defined to allow the location of the micro-rod. Heat-sink design follows the principles described in [23]. Two criteria were followed for designing the heat sink fins, which are: 1) heat loss limit should be larger than 1 and 2) fin efficiency should be larger than 0.75. The heat loss limit, $h_t$, is defined by

$$ h_t = \frac{2k}{ht} \geq 1 $$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $k$ is the thermal conductivity of the sink material (W·K⁻¹·m⁻¹), $h$ is the heat transfer coefficient (W/m²·K) and $t$ is the fin width (m). At room temperature (300 K), for air ambient and a fin width of 150 μm, the heat loss limit is 4.91. Fin efficiency is defined by

$$ \eta = \frac{\tanh(mL)}{mL} \geq 0.75 \hspace{1cm} m = \sqrt{\frac{2h}{kt}} $$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $L$ is the fin height. For a fin height of 950 μm, the fin efficiency is 0.876.

Electrostatic modeling of the RF micro-relay was performed in the ANSYS Workbench finite-element analysis (FEA) environment. Fig. 2 shows the displacement and stress distribution. The model consisted only of the micro-relay and the transmission line. Other electrodes on the PCB were neglected. The “bonded contact” boundary conditions were used for touching surfaces. The “fixed geometry” boundary condition was applied around the alignment posts on the anchor points. For a 12-μm vertical tip displacement, pull-down voltage was 85 V. The FEA results show that the displacement across the 10-μm interelectrode gap over the ground electrode was approximately 4 μm when the contact occurs, therefore pull-in was prevented. The stiffness of the entire micro-relay including the cantilever, heat sink, contact bridge, and micro-rod was 142 N/m. The contact force per contact region was 0.36 mN (with 1.44 mN evenly distributed over four contact regions) for an increased actuation voltage of 130 V. The switching time was 16 ms.

An estimate of the contact resistance is required for two reasons: for accurately modeling S-parameters in the High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS), and for use as a boundary condition in the thermal model to account for high-power joule heating. The contact resistance model is based on Holm’s plastic deformation theory [24].

The model suggests that

$$ F = A_C H\eta $$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $F$ is the contact force, $A_C$ is the actual mechanical contact area of overlapping contact asperities between touching surfaces, $H$ is the contact metal hardness, and $\eta$ is an empirical factor, which depends on material and approaches 1 for clean surfaces. Incorporating contact radius into this model gives

$$ \alpha_H = \sqrt{\frac{F}{H\eta\pi}} $$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
is the radius of Holm’s contact spot (\(r_H\)) representing a cluster of multiple small contact spots. Contact radius \(r_H\) can be used to estimate the Maxwell spreading resistance between two contacting surfaces assuming that the contact radius is much larger than the electron mean free path length of contacting materials. This spreading resistance is also known as constriction resistance \(R_C\):

\[
R_C = \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{4\alpha_H}.
\]

Here, \(\rho_1\) and \(\rho_2\) are the electrical resistivities of the contacting metals [25]. Referring to [26], it can be assumed that the contact pressures on a contacting pair of asperities are equal to the flow pressure of the softer of the two contacting materials. However, it is worth noting that in a multilayered contact design, the effective hardness is primarily determined by the thicker bottom layer material. Determining an exact value for the hardness in the multilayered Cu–Ni–Au patterns used for the PCB traces is nontrivial. Since the thickness of the Ni layer (4 \(\mu m\)) is sufficiently larger than the thickness of the Au (0.15 \(\mu m\)), the effective hardness was chosen as that of Ni. Using (4) and (5), a total series contact resistance of 1.09 \(\Omega\) was analytically estimated for the RF micro-relay, assuming a contact hardness of 6 GPa—the approximate Meyer hardness of Ni, estimated total resistivity of 30 \(\mu\Omega\cdot cm\) for Pt–Rh/Cu–Ni–Au stacked contacts, and 0.36-mN contact force per contact region, as noted above. The resulting radius for Holm’s \(r_H\)-spot, i.e., single contact spot representing a cluster of multiple small contact spots was 137 nm. For experimental validation, a Pt–Rh beam with the same dimensions as in the proposed design was pressed against the open signal lines on the PCB. A precision force gauge (Aurora Scientific, Model 403A), which was mounted on a motorized x–y–z stage, supplied the contact force. The force was applied in 80-\(\mu\)N increments, up to 1.44 mN, over a 1-mm contact diameter. Contact resistance was measured using a four-wire technique with a 5-mA test current. The total series resistance was 1.34 \(\Omega\) for 1.44-mN total contact force. The empirically estimated radius of the \(r_H\)-spot was 111 nm.

The transmission line and the dc electrodes were refined to reduce the RF coupling and the fringing, which commonly appear in high-frequency RF devices. Geometric optimization involved iterative RF full-wave simulations in HFSS. The simulations were performed for the micro-relay in both the down- and up-state. In the down-state, the contact resistance of 1.34 \(\Omega\) was used as a lumped element. The electric field distribution at 10 GHz guided the geometric modifications depicted in three configurations (Figs. 3 and 4). In Configuration-1, the dc ground and the pull-down electrode had only isolated vias for anchoring the structural elements; hence, significant coupling was observed between the transmission line, the pull-down electrode, and the dc ground both in the up- and down-state. In Configuration-2, the dc ground electrode was shunted to the RF ground through the conductive plated vias. In addition, the contact pad for the pull-down electrode was flipped horizontally to face away from the input transmission line, and all the electrode corners were rounded to reduce the level of fringing fields. Although the coupling between the transmission line and the dc ground electrode was reduced significantly, the electric field was not completely suppressed on the pull-down electrode. Configuration-3 was the final layout geometry and included: 1) the L-shaped electrode, which acted as a shield to reduce the coupling from the input transmission line; 2) the fork-shaped open ends, which reduced the up-state capacitance and dissipation through the contact bridge; and 3) smooth variation of linewidth for reduced reflection. A 1-pF capacitor was chosen to short circuit the RF path on the pull-down electrode and acted as a dc block. The coupling was considerably suppressed both in the down- and up-states.

Fig. 5 shows the \(S\)-parameters for each configuration in the down- and up-state. In the down-state, Configuration-3 resulted in overall better insertion loss and return loss compared to the other configurations at higher frequencies. Similarly, in the up-state, Configuration-3 has return loss better than 0.4 dB at 10 GHz, whereas the isolation is best throughout the bandwidth.

Thermal modeling of the micro-relay involved the extraction of the electric field distribution at 3 GHz and 30 W of RF power. The contact resistance was included in the model. The solution was then imported into the ANSYS Multiphysics solver to serve as the boundary condition. These values were chosen due to the experimental limits. The thermal adhesive between micro-rod, cantilever and heat sink was modeled as a shell with a thermal conductivity of 5 W/m-K following the specifications of a commercially available epoxy (Aavid Thermalloy). Contact temperature modeling considered two on-state times: 1 and

---

**Fig. 2.** Electrostatic FEA for RF micro-relay. (a) Tip displacement was 12 \(\mu m\) as a result of 85-V pull-down voltage. (b) Von Mises stress distribution after the contact. All four contact points had the same stress. Simulated total contact force and actuation time were 1.44 mN (evenly distributed over four contact regions) and 16 ms for 130-V actuation voltage, respectively.
For metal alloy foil devices, micromachining of features with sizes as small as 5 μm can be achieved, both in serial mode (using a cylindrical wire-tip electrode), and in batch mode (using a lithographically patterned and electroplated chip as a "cookie-cutter") [27].

For the micro-relay, the contact bridges were serially fabricated using μEDM in Pt–Rh (Alfa Aesar Corporation, 80:20 concentration, 99.99% purity) down to 30-μm final thickness using 50-μm-thick stock metal foils. The lowest available discharge energy of 24.5 nJ was used at contact bridges to ensure a smooth surface finish. Surface roughness on the bottom unmas-chined side of the contact bridges was measured using a Zygo NewView 5000 interferometer. Average roughness $R_a$ was approximately 25 nm.

The heat sinks were also fabricated using μEDM from 1-mm-thick Al 3003 alloy foil (99% purity). The discharge energy was increased to 16.5 μJ for faster machining of the fins. A hole of 250-μm diameter was machined at the base of the heat sink for the subsequent assembly of the micro-rod and the contact bridge.
The cantilever spacer was also fabricated using EDM down to 110-μm thickness from 125-μm-thick stainless-steel (SS304) stock foil. For each micro-relay, two perforations of 300-μm diameter were positioned on the cantilever spacers to allocate the alignment posts for the attachment to the cantilever, and to the pull-down electrode below.

The cantilever and ground spacers were photochemically machined from 50-μm-thick SS304 foils (Kemac Technology Inc.). Two perforations of 300-μm diameter were located on the cantilever for the assembly over the cantilever spacer and the PCB, whereas another perforation of 250-μm diameter was situated toward the tip of the cantilever for subsequent assembly of the contact bridge, micro-rod, and heat sink. Similarly, two perforations of 300-μm diameter were positioned on the ground spacers for the attachment to the ground electrode.

500-μm-thick Rogers 4003 was used as the substrate for the RF transmission line (Advanced Circuits Inc.). Cu traces provided the bias electrodes and the surface microstrip. In such PCBs, Ni was used as an adhesion layer on the Cu base, and an outer gold layer provided a low-resistivity electrical contact. Cu–Ni–Au metal tracing with the same thicknesses was used on the plated vias, whereas isolated vias were left unplated.

Alignment posts were fabricated using EDM from 300-μm -diameter gold wire, and tightly fitted into the isolated vias on the PCB [see Fig. 7(a)]. Conical wire tips facilitated the alignment and the insertion of the perforated components. The cantilever spacers and the ground spacers were assembled over the posts [see Fig. 7(b)] and fixed by applying an electrically conductive epoxy (Creative Materials, volume resistivity: 300 Ω-cm). The assembly of the cantilever and heat sink followed this [see Fig. 7(c)]. The heat sink was attached onto the cantilever by aligning the perforation at its base to the one on the cantilever tip, and securing with high temperature epoxy (Cotronics Duralco 4703, 645-K maximum temperature). The flatness of the cantilever, as well as critical gap of 10 μm, was maintained during the assembly process with the help of a high-resolution laser displacement sensor (Keyence LK-G32) raster scanning over the region and giving continuous feedback. The contact bridge was aligned and placed on the transmission line. The sapphire micro-rod was then tightly fitted into the overlapping perforations on the heat sink and cantilever. It rested on the blind hole at the center of the contact bridge, further aligning it for a precise contact. High-temperature epoxy was applied to fix the parts [see Fig. 7(d)].

A total of five devices were fabricated and assembled. The distribution of those devices is as follows: one device for dc on-state resistance characterization and hysteresis study; one device for small-signal characterization; two devices for high power testing and for thermal characterization; and one device for lifetime characterization.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

A. Electrical Testing

Electrical testing of the micro-relay consisted of dc, small-signal RF, and high-power RF characterizations. The actuation
Fig. 7. Assembly sequence for the RF micro-relay. (a) Alignment posts inserted. (b) Cantilever and ground spacers (SS304) aligned on top of the posts through the perforations and anchored with electrically conductive epoxy. (c) Cantilever and the heat sink placed on top. Inter electrode gap of 10 μm and stacked PCB-spacer-cantilever structure are shown in the inset. (d) Contact bridge placed on the signal line and coupled with the cantilever through an embedded micro-rod fixed with epoxy in the midsection of the heat sink, ensuring uniform contact when actuated. Contact gap is shown in the inset.

concept and the test circuit for the micro-relay are shown in Fig. 8(a). The inputs are the actuation voltage \( V_G \), electrical ground, and two-port RF in and RF out, which were applied on the pull-down electrode, dc ground electrode, and transmission line through SMA-type connectors, respectively. The RF signal was replaced with a line current (limited to 10-V compliance) for dc ohmic-contact characterization. The cantilever isolation is monitored by voltage \( V_{ISO} \). Under normal operation no current flow across the isolation resistor, \( R_{ISO} \), is expected. Therefore, \( V_G \) and \( V_{ISO} \) are normally the same. The leakage current will cause a voltage drop across \( R_{ISO} \) if the cantilever is shorted to the dc ground electrode, and result in a \( V_{ISO} \) lower than \( V_G \). Testing circuitry also included a 1-pF dc blocking capacitor that was surface mounted between the pull-down electrode and the dc blocking electrode. All the tests were run in air ambient with unpackaged devices.

The on-state resistance of the micro-relay, \( R_{ON} \), was characterized in dc by an \( I-V \) sweep. The components of \( R_{ON} \) included contact resistance, contact bridge resistance, and the parasitics due to assembly imperfections. The pull-down voltage for the micro-relay was 90 V and the corresponding on-state resistance for tested devices was approximately 2.5 Ω. \( R_{ON} \) was reduced to 1.8 Ω for an actuation voltage of 130 V. Past this point, \( R_{ON} \) did not decrease significantly.

Fig. 9 shows the hysteresis in the tip displacement of the cantilever. The displacement was recorded using the laser displacement sensor. The voltage was swept from 0 to 150 V and back to 0 V. The pull-down voltage was around 90 V, in good agreement with the 85-V design value. During the release, electrostatic force and mechanical restoring force are equal in magnitude, assuming the absence of adhesion, van der Waals adhesion...
forces, and self-actuation. The spring constant can then be extracted from the turn-off voltage of approximately 62 V using the following equation [6]:

\[ F_{cl} = F_k \Rightarrow k = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon_0 W w V_{eff}^2}{g^2} \]  

The mechanical spring constant was 159 N/m. This is slightly larger than the simulated value of 142 N/m, possibly due to structural assembly flaws. The electrostatic actuation and mechanical recoil forces were extracted as 4.5 and 3 mN, respectively.

Small-signal RF analysis involved experimental characterization of \( S \)-parameters, and comparison to previously performed small-signal analysis for Configuration-3. In the down-state, characteristic impedance of the contact bridge was 64 \( \Omega \) [see Fig. 10(a)]. The down-state insertion loss was better than 0.2 and 0.4 dB for up to 5 and 10 GHz, respectively [see Fig. 10(b)]. In the up-state, 110-fF capacitance was extracted from the simulations [see Fig. 11(a)]. The isolation was better than 25 and 13 dB up to 5 and 10 GHz, respectively [see Fig. 11(b)]. The resonance, which occurred around 5 GHz, was mainly due to the dc-block capacitor and the inductance of the pull-down electrode. Overall, experimental data were in good agreement with results from HFSS.

The high-power testing setup is shown in Fig. 8(b). The test consisted of progressive sweeps through 11 discrete gain levels, reaching the amplifier limit of 30 W of incident RF power at 3 GHz (Amplifier Research 30W1000B). Changes in the down-state insertion loss and the up-state isolation were observed under hot-switching conditions for two on-state times: 1 and 20 s. For the down-state, \( V_G \) was maintained constant at 130 V. During the tests, heat management with upward forced cooling (0.22 m/s air flow) was used by suspending the mini-fan above the device. The effect of prolonged on-state times on \( S_{11} \) was observed. For 1-s on-state times, insertion loss in the down-state was better than 0.25 dB for up to 10 W of RF power and it increased to over 0.4 dB at around 18.5 W, where the device failed due to microwelding. The up-state isolation was approximately 26 dB over the power sweep range. Only minimal fluctuations were present and self-actuation was not observed. For 20-s on-state times, insertion loss in the down-state degraded noticeably faster to near 0.4 dB at 7 W at which point the device failed. Similarly, isolation remained around 26 dB for up to 30 W and no self-actuation was observed (Fig. 12).

**B. Thermal Testing**

The characterization of the micro-relay contact temperatures was performed with 1- and 20-s on-state times under the forced air cooling conditions. A preliminary assessment of the thermal
conditions was done by measuring the contact temperature at the end of each 1- and 20-s actuation time periods during which varying levels of RF power were maintained. The thermal and high-power testing described previously were carried out simultaneously on the same devices. The measurements were performed using an infrared thermometer (Optris LaserSight, 1-mm laser diameter). The ambient temperature was 300 K. The laser was directed at the contact regions and average contact temperature was measured at the end of each actuation cycle. The experimental results were compared with the thermal model.

Fig. 13 shows the average contact temperatures. Failures associated with micro-welding at 18.5 and 7 W occurred past 400 K for devices with 1- and 20-s on-state times, respectively. The experimental results and the thermal model overall agreed well.

C. Lifetime Testing

The lifetime characterization of the micro-relay was realized under the hot switching conditions. Continuous RF power of 1 W was applied at 3 GHz. The micro-relay was operated at 0.5-Hz frequency with 50% duty cycle following 1-s on-state times. Actuation voltage, $V_C$, was maintained at 130 V during the on-state. The test was carried out in air ambient with forced cooling. $S_{21}$ for both the up- and down-state was recorded up to the point of device failure. The down-state insertion loss was near constant below 0.2 dB and increased notably past 2800 cycles (Fig. 14). The device failure occurred in the down-state at the 3074th cycle with 1-dB insertion loss. The up-state isolation was degraded slightly from 26 dB and it was recorded 22.5 dB at the point of failure.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Electrostatically actuated micro-relays with Pt–Rh contacts were directly assembled on a PCB iteratively optimized for RF performance. Unpackaged test structures with 6.4-mm² footprints and 90-V pull-down voltage, were tested for small-signal performance and high power-handling capability in an air ambient. For small signal, the down-state insertion loss and the up-state isolation were better than 0.2 and 25 dB up to 5 GHz, respectively. Experimental data were in good agreement with results from HFSS. High-power testing was performed for up to 30 W at 3 GHz for both 1- and 20-s on-state times. Heat management was employed through forced air cooling using a commercially available mini-fan placed above the devices. Elongated on-state times lead to an early device failure. Microwelding occurred in the down-state at 18.5 and 7 W for 1- and 20-s on-state times, respectively. No self-actuation was observed in the up-state. It is envisioned that shorter on-state times can potentially provide higher peak power handling.

The lifetime of an unpackaged micro-relay operating in air was 3074 cycles under the hot switching conditions of 1-W RF power. One of the potential contributors degrading device lifetime is the frictional polymerization, which is known to be
caused by the wipe and pure normal contact between Pt group contact metals [28]. Device packaging is essential to potentially eliminate this phenomenon and to elongate the device lifetime.

The test structures evaluated in this study were comparable in size with the packaged RF microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) devices. The power handling was from 4× to 5× higher than that reported for other RF MEMS relays of similar size [16], [29], and [30]. Conversely, on-state resistances were relatively high.

The main goal of this effort has been to investigate the RF power-handling limits of micro-relays with bulk foil contact elements. Based on the performance of the RF micro-relays described in this study, the use of chemically inert and mechanically robust bulk foil alloys such as Pt–Rh appears promising for high-power applications. Future efforts may include the batch-mode mass production of the structure, and the device packaging.
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