



Instructor with Comments Report

2017-11-30 - 2017-12-13 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Weimer, Westley R

EECS 590 001

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*			School/College		
	5	4	3	2	1	Your	University Wide			75%	50%	25%	
	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA	Median	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	5	5	1	0	0	0	4.40	3.70	4.17	4.63	4.29	4.57	4.75
891 As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier).	1	2	4	4	0	0	2.88	2.80	3.10	3.40			
1631 This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.	8	2	1	0	0	0	4.81	4.10	4.42	4.73			
1632 My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.	9	1	0	1	0	0	4.89	3.75	4.17	4.63			
1633 I knew what was expected of me in this course. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever).	5	6	0	0	0	0	4.42	4.00	4.36	4.68			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for class meetings. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	10	1	0	0	0	0	4.95	4.53	4.81	4.93			
199 The instructor explained material clearly. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	9	2	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.25	4.67	4.88			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	10	1	0	0	0	0	4.95	4.70	4.87	4.95			
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	8	2	1	0	0	0	4.81	3.88	4.30	4.70	4.20	4.53	4.75
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	9	2	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.33	4.75	4.90	4.50	4.71	4.83
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	8	2	1	0	0	0	4.81	4.00	4.38	4.70	4.29	4.60	4.76
61 Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course.	6	3	1	0	0	1	4.67	4.00	4.25	4.53			
140 I deepened my interest in the subject matter of this course.	9	1	0	1	0	0	4.89	3.88	4.25	4.63			
201 The instructor gave clear explanations.	8	3	0	0	0	0	4.81	4.22	4.64	4.83			
203 The instructor stressed important points in lectures/discussions.	8	3	0	0	0	0	4.81	4.33	4.70	4.88			
207 The instructor appeared to have a thorough knowledge of the subject.	10	1	0	0	0	0	4.95	4.67	4.86	4.94			
216 The instructor acknowledged all questions insofar as possible.	9	1	0	1	0	0	4.89	4.45	4.75	4.88			
218 The instructor encouraged constructive criticism.	8	3	0	0	0	0	4.81	4.33	4.69	4.83			
228 The instructor followed an outline closely.	7	2	0	0	0	0	4.86	4.15	4.55	4.75			
229 The instructor used class time well.	9	2	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.23	4.65	4.83			
232 Work requirements and grading system were clear from the beginning.	6	4	1	0	0	0	4.58	4.00	4.33	4.67			
239 The amount of work required was appropriate for the credit received.	5	5	1	0	0	0	4.40	3.89	4.17	4.50			
240 The amount of material covered in the course was reasonable.	8	3	0	0	0	0	4.81	4.00	4.25	4.58			
318 Writing assignments seemed carefully chosen.	6	3	1	1	0	0	4.58	3.80	4.13	4.56			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	1	3	2	1	1	3	3.50	3.19	3.93	4.38			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	2	1	0	0	0	8	4.75	4.08	4.35	4.67			
365 Grades were assigned fairly and impartially.	5	5	1	0	0	0	4.40	4.00	4.33	4.67			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	4	6	1	0	0	0	4.25	4.00	4.42	4.70			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1



Instructor with Comments Report

2017-11-30 - 2017-12-13 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Weimer, Westley R

EECS 590 001

NA

Student 2

Excellent; the lectures explained the material very clearly and kept the class's attention.

Student 3

NA

Student 4

NA

Student 5

NA

Student 6

NA

Student 7

NA

Student 8

Wes is one of the best teachers I've ever had. He covers complex material in very approachable ways - using plain English, clear examples, and sometimes metaphors to make difficult subjects easier to understand. He also provides motivating examples of real-world uses for many topics, which makes us want to learn them more.

Student 9

NA

Student 10

This is absolutely a great course. Wes is a great teacher. He is also so knowledgeable and he answers all the questions really well. I learned a lot from this course.

Student 11

Written Comments

911 Please comment on the quality of the course as a whole.

Student 1

NA

Student 2

NA

Student 3

NA

Student 4

NA



Instructor with Comments Report

2017-11-30 - 2017-12-13 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Weimer, Westley R

EECS 590 001

Student 5

NA

Student 6

NA

Student 7

NA

Student 8

NA

Student 9

NA

Student 10

Very high quality. Recommend it to other students for sure. This is not an easy class. The materials may look difficult at first if you have never taken a computer theory class. But Wes is very good at delivering knowledge to beginners.

Student 11

Written Comments

931 Please give any other comments on this course as a whole.

Student 1

NA

Student 2

I would have enjoyed oral project presentations.

Student 3

NA

Student 4

NA

Student 5

NA

Student 6

NA

Student 7

OCaml = :(



Instructor with Comments Report

2017-11-30 - 2017-12-13 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Weimer, Westley R

EECS 590 001

Student 8

It would be really helpful if 590 had a GSI, like most other classes. Regular office hours with a GSI helps us learn the material better, keep good study habits, and makes it easier to form study groups with other students.

Student 9

NA

Student 10

The best class I have taken so far.

Student 11

Written Comments

1098 Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why?

Student 1

NA

Student 2

Mathematical Logic and Model Theory (other university)

Student 3

NA

Student 4

NA

Student 5

NA

Student 6

NA

Student 7

NA

Student 8

I'm glad I took 490 before. Many of the PL concepts are quite difficult for me, but it was easier the second time around.

Student 9

NA

Student 10

NA

Student 11



Instructor with Comments Report

2017-11-30 - 2017-12-13 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Weimer, Westley R

EECS 590 001

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2017 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on graduate level students in College of Engineering.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.