



One-Slide Summary

- An automatic memory management system deallocates objects when they are no longer used and reclaims their storage space.
- We must be **conservative** and only free objects that will not be used later.
- Garbage collection scans the heap from a set of roots to find reachable objects. Mark and Sweep and Stop and Copy are two GC algorithms.
- Reference Counting stores the number of pointers to an object with that object and frees it when that count reaches zero.

Lecture Outine

- Why Automatic Memory Management?
- Garbage Collection
- Three Techniques
 - Mark and Sweep
 - Stop and Copy
 - Reference Counting

Why Automatic Memory Management?

- Storage management is still a hard problem in modern programming
- \bullet C and C++ programs have many storage bugs
 - forgetting to free unused memory
 - dereferencing a dangling pointer
 - overwriting parts of a data structure by accident
 and so on... (can be big security problems)
- Storage bugs are hard to find
 - a bug can lead to a visible effect far away in time and program text from the source

Type Safety and Memory Management

- Some storage bugs can be prevented in a strongly typed language
 - e.g., you cannot overrun the array limits
- Can types prevent errors in programs with manual allocation and deallocation of memory?
 - Some fancy type systems (linear types) were designed for this purpose but they complicate programming significantly
- If you want type safety then you must use automatic memory management

Automatic Memory Management

- This is an old problem:
 - Studied since the 1950s for LISP
 - Will you remember PL history for the final?
- There are several well-known techniques for performing completely automatic memory management
- Until recently they were unpopular outside the Lisp family of languages
 - just like type safety used to be unpopular

The Basic Idea

- When an object that takes memory space is created, unused space is automatically allocated
 - In Cool, new objects are created by new X
- After a while there is no more unused space
- Some space is occupied by objects that will never be used again (= dead objects?)
- This space can be freed to be reused later

Dead Again?

- How can we tell whether an object will "never be used again"?
 - In general it is impossible (undecideable) to tell
 - We will have to use a heuristic to find many (not all) objects that will never be used again
- Observation: a program can use only the objects that it can find:
 - let x : A \leftarrow new A in { x \leftarrow y; ... }
 - After x ← y there is no way to access the newly allocated object

Garbage

- An object x is reachable if and only if: - A local variable (or register) contains a pointer
 - to x, or
 - Another reachable object \boldsymbol{y} contains a pointer to \boldsymbol{x}
- You can find all reachable objects by starting from local variables and following all the pointers
- An unreachable object can never by referred to by the program
 - These objects are called garbage

Reachability is an Approximation

• Consider the program:

 $\begin{array}{l} x \leftarrow new \; Ant; \\ y \leftarrow new \; Bat; \\ x \leftarrow y; \end{array}$

if alwaysTrue() then $x \leftarrow$ new Cow else x.eat() fi

- After $x \leftarrow y$ (assuming y becomes dead there)
 - The object Ant is not reachable anymore
 - The object Bat is reachable (through x)
 - Thus Bat is not garbage and is *not* collected
 - But object Bat is never going to be used

Cool Garbage

- At run-time we have two mappings:
 - Environment E maps variable identifiers to locations
 - Store S maps locations to values
- Proposed Cool Garbage Collector
 - for each location $l \in \text{domain}(S)$
 - let can_reach = false
 - for each $(v,l_2) \in E$
 - if l = l₂ then can_reach = true
 - if not can_reach then reclaim_location(l)

Cooler Garbage

- Environment E maps variable identifiers to locations

- Store S maps locations to values
- Proposed Cool Garbage Collector
 - for each location $l \in \text{domain}(\textbf{S})$
 - let can_reach = false
 - for each $(v, l_2) \in E$
 - if l = l₂ then can_reach = true
 - for each $l_3 \in v$ // v is X(..., $a_i = l_i$, ...)
 - $if l = l_3$ then can_reach = true
 - if not can_reach then reclaim_location(l)

Garbage Analysis

- Could we use the proposed Cool Garbage Collector in real life?
- How long would it take?
- How much space would it take?



• Are we forgetting anything?

Tracing Reachable Values

- In cool, local variables are easy to find
 - Use the environment mapping E
 - $\ensuremath{\,^\circ}$ and one object may point to other objects, etc.
- The stack is more complex
 - each stack frame (activation record) contains:
 method parameters (other objects)
- If we know the layout of a stack frame we can find the pointers (objects) in it



• Thus we can reuse their storage

Elements of Garbage Collection

- Every garbage collection scheme has the following steps
 - 1. Allocate space as needed for new objects
 - 2. When space runs out:
 - a) Compute what objects might be used again (generally by tracing objects reachable from a set of roots)
 - b) Free space used by objects not found in (a)
- Some strategies perform garbage collection *before* the space actually runs out

Mark and Sweep

- When memory runs out, GC executes two phases
 - the mark phase: traces reachable objects
 - the sweep phase: collects garbage objects
- Every object has an extra bit: the mark bit
 - reserved for memory management
 - initially the mark bit is 0
 - set to 1 for the reachable objects in the mark phase





The Mark Phase

The Sweep Phase

- The sweep phase scans the (entire) heap looking for objects with mark bit 0
 - these objects have not been visited in the mark phase
 - they are garbage
- Any such object is added to the free list
- The objects with a mark bit 1 have their mark bit reset to 0

The Sweep Phase (Cont.)

```
 \begin{array}{l} /* \ size of (p) \ is \ the \ size \ of \ block \ starting \ at \ p \ '/ \\ p \ \leftarrow \ bottom \ of \ heap \ while \ p \ < \ top \ of \ heap \ do \ if \ mark(p) = 1 \ then \ mark(p) \ \leftarrow \ 0 \ else \ add \ block \ p \ (p+sizeof(p)-1) \ to \ freelist \ fi \ p \ \leftarrow \ p \ + \ sizeof(p) \ od \end{array}
```

Mark and Sweep Analysis

- While conceptually simple, this algorithm has a number of tricky details

 this is typical of GC algorithms
- A serious problem with the mark phase
 - it is invoked when we are out of space
 - yet it needs space to construct the todo list
 - the size of the todo list is unbounded so we cannot reserve space for it a priori

Mark and Sweep Details

- The todo list is used as an auxiliary data structure to perform the reachability analysis
- There is a trick that allows the auxiliary data to be stored in the objects themselves
 - **pointer reversal:** when a pointer is followed it is reversed to point to its parent
- Similarly, the free list is stored in the free objects themselves

Mark and Sweep Evaluation

- Space for a new object is allocated from the new list
 - a block large enough is picked
 - an area of the necessary size is allocated from it
 - the left-over is put back in the free list
- Mark and sweep can fragment memory
- Advantage: objects are not moved during GC
 no need to update the pointers to objects
 - works for languages like C and C++



Stop and Copy GC

- Starts when the old space is full
- Copies all reachable objects from old space into new space
 - garbage is left behind
 - after the copy phase the new space uses less space than the old one before the collection
- After the copy the roles of the old and new spaces are reversed and the program resumes





Implementing Stop and Copy

- We need to find all the reachable objects - Just as in mark and sweep
- As we find a reachable object we copy it into the new space
 - And we have to fix ALL pointers pointing to it!
- As we copy an object we store in the old copy a forwarding pointer to the new copy
 - when we later reach an object with a forwarding pointer we know it was already copied
 - How can we identify forwarding pointers?

Implementation of Stop and Copy • We still have the issue of how to implement the traversal without using extra space • The following trick solves the problem: - partition new space in three contiguous regions start alloc scan copied and scanned copied empty copied objects copied objects whose pointer whose pointer fields were NOT fields were followed followed and fixed



















Stop and Copy. Example (6)

- Since scan caught up with alloc we are done
- Swap the role of the spaces and resume the program



The Stop and Copy Algorithm while scan ≠ alloc do let 0 be the object at scan pointer for each pointer p contained in 0 do find 0' that p points to if 0' is without a forwarding pointer *copy 0' to new space (update alloc pointer)* set 1st word of old 0' to point to the new copy change p to point to the new copy of 0' else

set p in O equal to the forwarding pointer fi end for

```
increment scan pointer to the next object od
```

Stop and Copy Details

- As with mark and sweep, we must be able to tell how large an object is when we scan it
 And we must also know where the pointers are inside the object
- We must also copy any objects pointed to by the stack and update pointers in the stack
 This can be an expensive operation

Stop and Copy Evaluation

- Stop and copy is generally believed to be the fastest GC technique
- Allocation is very cheap
- Just increment the heap pointer
- Collection is relatively cheap
- Especially if there is a lot of garbage
- Only touch reachable objects
- But some languages do not allow copying
 - C, C++, ...

Why Doesn't C Allow Copying?

- Garbage collection relies on being able to find all reachable objects
 - And it needs to find all pointers in an object
- In C or C++ it is *impossible* to identify the contents of objects in memory
 - e.g., how can you tell that a sequence of two memory words is a list cell (with data and next fields) or a binary tree node (with a left and right fields)?
 - Thus we *cannot tell* where all the pointers are

Conservative Garbage Collection

- But it is OK to be conservative:
 - If a memory word "looks like" a pointer it is considered to be a pointer
 - it must be aligned (what does this mean?)
 - it must point to a valid address in the data segment
 - All such pointers are followed and we
 - overestimate the reachable objects
- But we still cannot move objects because we cannot update pointers to them
 - What if what we thought to be a pointer is actually an account number?

Reference Counting

- Rather that wait for memory to be exhausted, try to collect an object when there are no more pointers to it
- Store in each object the number of pointers to that object
 - This is the reference count
- *Each assignment operation* has to manipulate the reference count

Implementing Reference Counts

- new returns an object with a reference count of 1
- If x points to an object then let rc(x) refer to the object's reference count
- Every assignment $x \leftarrow y$ must be changed:

 $\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{rc}(y) \leftarrow \operatorname{rc}(y) + 1 \\ \operatorname{rc}(x) \leftarrow \operatorname{rc}(x) - 1 \\ \operatorname{if}(\operatorname{rc}(x) == 0) \text{ then mark x as free} \\ x \leftarrow y \end{array}$

Reference Counting Evaluation

- Advantages:
 - Easy to implement - Collects garbage incrementally without large pauses in the execution • Why would we care about that?
- Disadvantages:
- OK
- Manipulating reference counts at each assignment is very slow
- Cannot collect circular structures

Garbage Collection Evaluation

- Automatic memory management avoids some serious storage bugs
- But it takes away control from the programmer
 - e.g., layout of data in memory
 - e.g., when is memory deallocated
- Most garbage collection implementation stop the execution during collection
 - not acceptable in real-time applications

Garbage Collection Evaluation

- Garbage collection is going to be around for a while
- Researchers are working on advanced garbage collection algorithms:
 - Concurrent: allow the program to run while the collection is happening
 - Generational: do not scan long-lived objects at every collection (infant mortality)
 - Parallel: several collectors working in parallel
 - Real-Time / Incremental: no long pauses

In Real Life

- Python uses Reference Counting
 - Because of "extension modules", they deem it too difficult to determine the root set
 - Has a special separate cycle detector
- Perl does Reference Counting + cycles
- Ruby does Mark and Sweep
- OCaml does (generational) Stop and Copy
- Java does (generational) Stop and Copy

Homework

- WA7 due this Thursday
- For Thursday Read chapter 8.5
- Midterm 2 Thursday April 12 (9 days)
 - Covers Lectures 12 21 and all reading, WA's and PA's done during that time