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Abstract

A high speed one-cycle, 32-bit multiply, 64-bit accumulate unit is presented in Complementary GaAs (CGaAs™) technology. A tree of 4:2 compressors is used to collect the partial products and a carry select adder is used to determine the final result. Radix-4 Booth encoding is utilized to reduce the partial product tree size. Differential cascode voltage switch logic (DCVSL) is used on critical paths. A description of CGaAs technology, including its inherent radiation hardiness, is provided as a background to the discussion. Finally, a study of some of the implications of designing in CGaAs is presented, including logic styles, circuit issues, design methodology, and their effect on performance.

1. Introduction

The emerging demand for satellite communications is moving the market for radiation hardened devices out of military applications and into the mainstream. Both of these markets have need for micro- and digital-signal processors which are capable of surviving a hostile radiation environment. In addition, the satellite communications market also requires low power operation.

Motorola’s CGaAs technology satisfies these requirements. CGaAs offers inherent radiation hardiness, and its high electron mobility provides good device transconductance and enables high speed operation at low voltages.

The newest generation of Motorola’s CGaAs has drawn gate lengths of 0.5µm and thresholds of 0.35V. The process uses refractory metal gates and self aligned source/drain implants. Ohmic contacts to the source/drain areas are formed using a refractory metal, which provides high temperature stability and the opportunity to use standard aluminum metallization for interconnect. Three levels of NiCu metallization are currently available, and a fourth level of metal is currently under development [1, 2].

The University of Michigan’s PUMA research project seeks to exploit these CGaAs characteristics in a high-speed, low-power design of the PowerPC microprocessor architecture. Several technology-proving designs are also underway. We chose to design the high speed multiply accumulate unit (MAC) reported in this paper because of the importance of multiplication in microprocessor and DSP systems.

2. Technology

CGaAs is a complementary GaAs technology which provides enhancement mode n-channel and p-channel heterostructure insulated-gate field effect transistors (HFETs) on the same substrate. A fully complementary (CMOS-like) logic style, having much lower power dissipation compared to other GaAs logic families, can be implemented in CGaAs. The rail-to-rail signal swings provide better noise margins than those of direct-coupled FET logic, the most common GaAs logic family.

2.1. Device Structure

CGaAs devices are created in epitaxial layers deposited by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). A semi-insulating GaAs substrate is used for starting material.

A cross section of a pair of nHFET and pHFET devices appears in Figure 1. Heterojunctions help confine the carriers to a conducting channel formed in the InGaAs layer, where they have high mobilities because there is no impurity scattering. The large bandgap AlGaAs layer provides gate isolation, and the top GaAs layer is used as a cap to prevent surface oxidation of the AlGaAs.

In most MESFET technologies, the gate is formed by a Schottky diode junction. In CGaAs, the large bandgap of the AlGaAs layer between the TiWN gate metal and the channel increases the n-gate diode turn-on voltage (where I_d=1µA/µm²) to 1.75V, and the p-gate diode turn on voltage to -2V, with V_ds = 0V [1, 3]. Gate leakage
Figure 1. Cross section CGaAs devices

increases with higher gate voltages, larger gate areas, and higher drain-source voltage (due to drain-induced barrier lowering). This leakage must be addressed in the design phase.

The threshold voltage of the devices is set by the conduction and valence band discontinuities of the AlGaAs/lnGaAs, by a silicon delta doping layer placed just below the channel area, and by a p-channel implant [1]. Earlier versions of CGaAs (without the channel implant) set the thresholds at 0.55V. The new version is being optimized for a 0.35V threshold which will provide improved speed and the possibility of using unipolar transmission gates (n-pass gates). The earlier high-threshold devices resulted in an unacceptable voltage drop across an n-transistor pass-gate when passing a logic one.

Ohmic contact between the first metal layer and the source/drain areas is provided by a refractory metal which allows subsequent high temperature processing. CGaAs provides a conventional three-level AlCu metallization with stacked vias. A fourth metal level is in development but was not used in this design. The metal feature size and spacing are coarser than those of a typical CMOS technology of comparable minimum feature size [4].

Isolation of the devices is provided by an oxygen implant that extends into the semi-insulating substrate. This technique separates the n and p active regions. An implant is used to avoid the mesa etch step common in GaAs technologies, and it results in better surface planarity. Unlike CMOS, the substrate is semi-insulating, so wells and well contacts are not necessary, and latchup is not possible.

2.2. Process Characteristics

Circuits designed in CGaAs have several important features. The low threshold voltages, full power rail transitions and high transconductance at low supply voltages make low voltage performance good. These lower supply voltages reduce both dynamic power dissipation and power lost through the gate. The MAC is designed to operate at 1.3V, which is slightly lower than the technology’s power supply rating of 1.5V. This moderates performance somewhat but provides a significant reduction in gate leakage.

The gate layer has a lower resistance than its CMOS polysilicon counterpart, but it is in direct contact with the semiconductor surface, and there is a leakage current even in oxygen implanted field areas. Therefore, one must route as little in the Schottky gate metal layer as possible.

Radiation hardness of the process is excellent. It is immune to total dose radiation effects because it does not use SiO2 as a gate or field insulator. In a CMOS process, SiO2 accumulates charge due to radiation, which causes the leakage between transistors and a shift in the threshold voltages. Recently, the single event upset (SEU) soft error rate was improved by the addition of a Low Temperature GaAs (LTG) layer to reduce charge collection from ionizing radiation (see Figure 1). The LTG layer also improves subthreshold characteristics and provides better isolation between devices. Optimization of the new structure is ongoing.

With the immunity of CGaAs to latchup, a semi-insulating GaAs substrate, and the added LTG layer, CGaAs devices are suitable for radiation intensive environments [2].

3. Multiplier Architecture

Although CGaAs is the technology chosen to implement the MAC, the high-level architecture is essentially independent of the technology. The overall design goals for the multiply accumulate chip (MAC) included high speed, low area, and low power. The main focus was to explore the design space and to determine techniques and circuit topologies for digital CGaAs designs.

With these objectives, the MAC was designed as a one-cycle, two's complement multiply-accumulate unit. The MAC is composed of two subunits, a 32-bit multiplier and a 64-bit adder (see Figure 2). Latches on the inputs, outputs and internal registers are included in a global scan chain for testing purposes.

The 32-bit, two's complement multiplier tree is implemented using 4:2 compressors. A 4:2 compressor operates on four partial product bits and compresses these to two result bits. A tree layout based of 4:2 compressors has more regular cell placement and simpler routing than a 3:2 (full-adder) implementation [5].

A full tree of 4:2 compressors takes five levels to produce the two 64-bit sum and carry results presented to the final adder. The utilization of Radix-4 Booth encoding eliminates one level of compressors and reduces area [6].
4. Circuit Implementation

CGaAs provides a wide variety of logic families in which we could have designed the MAC unit, including full complementary, dynamic, passgate, source-coupled, and unipolar circuits. Each of these represents different points in the performance, size, power and noise immunity space [7]. The MAC was designed using primarily dynamic Differential Cascode Voltage Switch Logic (DCVSL). An example XOR gate appears in Figure 3. The gate is a standard DCVSL dual-rail domino gate with “keeper” pHFET devices to maintain voltages on the dynamic nodes. HSPICE simulations show that this “keeper” device not only allows the clock to be stopped, making the design pseudo-static, but also helps to prevent charge sharing in the evaluation logic from incorrectly influencing the output.

![Figure 3. Dynamic DCVSL XOR gate with “keeper” transistors](image)

The DCVSL style circuits allow higher performance than a fully complementary design because pHFET devices are 3 to 4 times faster than the corresponding pHFET devices. The gates are efficient for speed and power. The XOR gate of Figure 3 has an evaluation delay of 428ps at 189µW for a power delay product of 81µW. While the presence of dynamic nodes might increase the SEU sensitivity of the design, the result is still expected to be much less radiation sensitive than a CMOS circuit. Evaluation of the MAC for radiation hardness will add to our understanding of dynamic CGaAs circuits.

The MAC uses full complementary circuits to perform Radix-4 Booth encoding [9, 11, 12]. The delay of these static circuits is hidden within the pre-charge time of the dynamic circuits. Once all dynamic nodes in the circuit have been pre-charged, they evaluate in domino fashion.

CGaAs also improves performance and reduces the size of the logic for Booth encoding over DCFL designs. Booth encoding is far more efficient in a technology that provides small fast multiplexors. DCFL designs must
implement the coding logic in NOR-NOR configurations which are larger [8].

4.1. General Design Considerations

Among the more obvious differences between CGaAs and CMOS is that CGaAs has no wells. This allows p- and n-channel transistor drains to be abutted, prevents latchup, and eliminates the need for well contacts.

Another difference in CGaAs is the Schottky layer. While the Schottky layer in CGaAs can be used for local routing, it leaks to the substrate, so its use as an interconnect layer should be limited especially when used with dynamic circuits. Dynamic circuits rely on the storage of charge on their internal nodes. The use of small “keeper” transistors helps maintain the charge on the dynamic nodes.

In addition, the n-transistors in CGaAs have a transconductance about 4 times better than the p-transistors. Due to the better transconductance, circuits should be designed primarily in the n-transistors. This design style lends itself very well to dynamic circuits where most of the logic is in the n-network. Complementary logic designed in CGaAs should be designed primarily of NAND structures, thus avoiding large p-stacks.

4.2. Physical Design

Although many process and circuit techniques of CGaAs may be different than in CMOS, the methodologies behind designing chips are very similar. The MAC is modeled using Verilog. Both behavioral and structural models of the MAC were simulated and verified for proper functionality by applying targeted and random test vectors.

In conjunction with the development of the MAC models, a standard cell library was created. The standard cells were custom designed using Mentor Graphics ICStation. Each standard cell was simulated and verified using HSPICE.

With a standard cell library in place, the MAC core was designed using Cascade Design Automation’s EPOCH compiler. EPOCH was used for both standard cell placement and routing. The core was manually placed and routed to the padframe. Figure 4 shows a preliminary layout of the MAC unit. Further analysis on clock buffering and power dissipation needs to be completed. The MAC unit will be fabricated using Motorola’s CGaAs process once the design is completed and a final layout is obtained.

The final design (without clock buffering) contains 60,904 transistors. HSPICE-estimated power dissipation for the core is 239mW. The simulated critical path through the design is 13.7ns, yielding a clock speed of 73MHz in single cycle mode or about 140MHz when operated in pipelined mode.

5. Conclusion

The MAC described in this paper demonstrates several of the characteristics of CGaAs technology in a high speed design. Careful attention to layout and circuit details is necessary to avoid difficulties that can be caused by the differences between CGaAs and CMOS. However, CGaAs technology provides a high performance, low power, radiation hard alternative for digital designs.
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