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I. Database Systems and the Life Cycle

Introductory Concepts

data—a fact, something upon which an inference is based (information or knowledge has value, data has cost)

data item—smallest named unit of data that has meaning in the real world (examples: last name, address, ssn, political party)

data aggregate (or group) -- a collection of related data items that form a whole concept; a simple group is a fixed collection, e.g. date (month, day, year); a repeating group is a variable length collection, e.g. a set of aliases.

record—group of related data items treated as a unit by an application program (examples: presidents, elections, congresses)

file—collection of records of a single type (examples: president, election)

database—computerized collection of interrelated stored data that serves the needs of multiple users within one or more organizations, i.e. interrelated collections of records of potentially many types. Motivation for databases over files: integration for easy access and update, non-redundancy, multi-access.

database management system (DBMS) -- a generalized software system for manipulating databases. Includes logical view (schema, sub-schema), physical view (access methods, clustering), data manipulation language, data definition language, utilities - security, recovery, integrity, etc.

database administrator (DBA) -- person or group responsible for the effective use of database technology in an organization or enterprise. Motivation: control over all phases of the lifecycle.

Objectives of Database Management

1. Data availability—make an integrated collection of data available to a wide variety of users
   * at reasonable cost—performance in query update, eliminate or control data redundancy
   * in meaningful format—data definition language, data dictionary
   * easy access—query language (4GL, SQL, forms, windows, menus); embedded SQL, etc.; utilities for editing, report generation, sorting

2. Data integrity— insure correctness and validity
   * checkpoint/restart/recovery
   * concurrency control and multi-user updates
   * accounting, audit trail (financial, legal)

3. Privacy (the goal) and security (the means)
   * schema/sub-schema, passwords

4. Management control—DBA: lifecycle control, training, maintenance
5. Data independence (a relative term) -- avoids reprogramming of applications, allows easier conversion and reorganization

* physical data independence—program unaffected by changes in the storage structure or access methods

* logical data independence—program unaffected by changes in the schema

* Social Security Administration example (1980ís)
  - changed benefit checks from $999.99 to $9999.99 format
  - had to change 600 application programs
  - 20,000 work hours needed to make the changes (10 work years)

* Student registration system—cannot go to a 4-digit or hexadecimal course numbering system because of difficulty changing programs

*Y2K (year 2000) problem—many systems store 2-digit years (e.g. ‘02-Oct-98’) in their programs and databases, that give incorrect results when used in date arithmetic (especially subtraction), so that ‘00’ is still interpreted as 1900 rather than 2000. Fixing this problem requires many hours of reprogramming and database alterations for many companies and government agencies.

Relational Database Lifecycle

1. Requirements formulation and analysis
   * natural data relationships (process-independent)
   * usage requirements (process-dependent)
   * hardware/software platform (OS, DBMS)
   * performance and integrity constraints
   * result: requirements specification document, data dictionary entries

2. Logical database design
   2.1 ER modeling (conceptual design)
   2.2 View integration of multiple ER models
   2.3 Transformation of the ER model to SQL tables
   2.4 Normalization of SQL tables (up to 3NF or BCNF)
   *result: global database schema, transformed to table definitions

3. Physical database design
   * index selection (access methods)
   * clustering

4. Database distribution (if needed for data distributed over a network)
   * data fragmentation, allocation, replication

5. Database implementation, monitoring, and modification
Database Life Cycle

**Step I  Information Requirements (reality)**

![Diagram showing entities: Products, Salespersons, Customers, Orders]

**Step II  Logical design**

**Step II.a  ER modeling (conceptual)**

![ER diagram with entities: customer, orders, product, served-by, sold-by, salesperson]

**Step II.b  View integration**

![Integration of retail salesperson's and customer's views]

Integration of retail salesperson's and customer's views
Step II.c Transformation of the ER diagram to SQL tables

Customer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cust-no</th>
<th>cust-name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

create table customer
(cust_no integer,
cust_name char(15),
cust_addr char(30),
sales_name char(15),
prod_no integer,
primary key (cust_no),
foreign key (sales_name)
references salesperson,
foreign key (prod_no)
references product);

Product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>prod-no</th>
<th>prod-name</th>
<th>qty-in-stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Salesperson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sales-name</th>
<th>addr</th>
<th>dept</th>
<th>job-level</th>
<th>vacation-days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Order

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>order-no</th>
<th>sales-name</th>
<th>cust-no</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Order-product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>order-no</th>
<th>prod-no</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Step II.d Normalization of SQL tables

(3NF, BCNF, 4NF, 5NF)

Decomposition of tables and removal of update anomalies.

Salesperson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sales-name</th>
<th>addr</th>
<th>dept</th>
<th>job-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Sales-vacations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>job-level</th>
<th>vacation-days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Step III Physical Design (including denormalization)

Customer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cust-no</th>
<th>cust-name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Customer/refined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cust-no</th>
<th>cust-name</th>
<th>sales-name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Order

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>order-no</th>
<th>sales-name</th>
<th>cust-no</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Physical design parameters:
Indexing, access methods, clustering
Step IV Data distribution

T1, T2, T3 are transactions (the figure shows all sites where they are initiated)

Decisions: fragmentation, replication, allocation
Objectives: min. response time, min. communication cost, max. availability

S1 = Ann Arbor, S2 = Detroit, S3 = Chicago, S4 = Boston, S5 = New York
Characteristics of a Good Database Design Process

* iterative requirements analysis
  - interview top-down
  - use simple models for data flow and data relationships
  - verify model

* stepwise refinement and iterative re-design

* well-defined design review process to reduce development costs review team
  - database designers
  - DBMS software group
  - end users in the application areas when to review
  - after requirements analysis & conceptual design
  - after physical design
  - after implementation (tuning) meeting format
  - short documentation in advance
  - formal presentation
  - criticize product, not person
  - goal is to locate problems, do solutions off line
  - time limit is 1-2 hours
II. Requirements Analysis

**Purpose** - identify the real-world situation in enough detail to be able to define database components. Collect two types of data: natural data (input to the database) and processing data (output from the database).

**Natural data requirements** (what goes into the database)

1. Organizational objectives
   - sell more cars this year
   - move into recreational vehicle market

2. Information system objectives
   - keep track of competitors’ products and prices
   - improve quality and timing of data to management regarding production schedule delays, etc.
   - keep track of vital resources needed to produce and market a product

3. Organizational structure/chart

4. Administrative and operational policies
   - annual review of employees
   - weekly progress reports
   - monthly inventory check
   - trip expense submission

5. Data elements, relationships, constraints, computing environment

**Processing requirements** (what comes out of the database)

1. Existing applications - manual, computerized

2. Perceived new applications
   * quantifies how data is used by applications
   * should be a subset of data identified in the natural relationships (but may not be due to unforeseen applications)
   * problem - many future applications may be unknown
### Data and Process Dictionary Entries for Requirements Analysis in the Database Design Lifecycle

#### Entity Description (possibly in a data dictionary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>customer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference-no</td>
<td>4201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinality</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth rate</td>
<td>100 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synonyms</td>
<td>user, buyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role (or description)</td>
<td>someone who purchases or rents a product made by the company.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security level</td>
<td>0 (customer list is public)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtypes</td>
<td>adults, minors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key attribute(s)</td>
<td>cust-no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-key attribute(s)</td>
<td>cust-name, addr, phone, payment-status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to other entities</td>
<td>salesperson, order, product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used in which applications</td>
<td>billing, advertising</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Attribute description (data elements in a data dictionary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>cust-no</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference-no</td>
<td>4202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of legal values</td>
<td>1 to 999,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synonyms</td>
<td>cno, customer-number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data type</td>
<td>integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>customer id number set by the company.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key or nonkey</td>
<td>key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data</td>
<td>table of allowable id numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used in applications</td>
<td>billing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attribute trigger</td>
<td>/<em>describes actions that occur when a data element is queried or updated</em>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Relationship description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>purchase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference-no</td>
<td>511037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>binary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entities and connectivity</td>
<td>customer(0,n), product(1,n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synonyms</td>
<td>buy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributes (of the relationship)</td>
<td>quantity, order-no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assertions</td>
<td>a customer must have purchased at least one product, but some products may not have been purchased as yet by any customers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Process (application) description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>payroll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference-no</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>bi-weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>noon Fridays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data elements used</td>
<td>emp-name, emp-salary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entities used</td>
<td>employee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data volume (how many entities)</td>
<td>implicit from entity cardinality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Interviews at different levels

Top management - business definition, plan/objectives, future plans
Middle management - functions in operational areas, technical areas, job-titles, job functions
Employees - individual tasks, data needed, data out
Specific end-users of a DBMS - applications and data of interest

Basic rules in interviewing

1. Investigate the business first
2. Agree with the interviewee on format for documentation (ERD, DFD, etc.)
3. Define human tasks and known computer applications
4. Develop and verify the flow diagram(s) and ER diagram(s)
5. Relate applications to data (this helps your programmers)

Example: order entry clerk

Function: Take customer orders and either fill them or make adjustments. Frequency: daily

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Def</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Data Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Create order</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>A, B, E, H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Validate order</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>A, B, G, H, J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Fill out error form</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>A, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Reserve item/price</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>A, D, H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Request alternate items</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>A, E, I, K, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Enter unit price</td>
<td>5925</td>
<td>A, F, J, N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. Entity-Relationship (ER) Modeling

Basic ER Modeling Concepts

Entity - a class of real world objects having common characteristics and properties about which we wish to record information.

Relationship - an association among two or more entities
  * occurrence - instance of a relationship is the collective instances of the related entities
  * degree - number of entities associated in the relationship (binary, ternary, other n-ary)
  * connectivity - one-to-one, one-to-many, many-to-many
  * existence dependency (constraint) - optional/mandatory

Attribute - a characteristic of an entity or relationship
  * Identifier - uniquely determines an instance of an entity
  * Identity dependence - when a portion of an identifier is inherited from another entity
  * Multi-valued - same attribute having many values for one entity
  * Surrogate - system created and controlled unique key (e.g. Oracle’s “create sequence”)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Representation &amp; Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entity</td>
<td>Employee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak entity</td>
<td>Employee-job-history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship</td>
<td>works-in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attribute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identifier (key)</td>
<td>emp-id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>descriptor (nonkey)</td>
<td>emp-name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multivalued descriptor</td>
<td>degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complex attribute</td>
<td>address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>zip-code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ER model constructs using the Chen notation

(a) ER construct comparisons

ER model constructs using the "crow's foot" approach
[Ever86, Knowledgeware]
**Super-class (super-type)/subclass (subtype) relationship**

**Generalization**

* similarities are generalized to a super-class entity, differences are specialized to a subclass entity, called an “ISA” relationship (“specialization” is the inverse relationship)

* disjointness constraint - there is no overlap among subclasses

* completeness constraint - constrains subclasses to be all-inclusive of the super-class or not (total or partial coverage of the superclass)

* special property: hierarchical in nature

* special property: inheritance - subclass inherits the primary key of the super-class, super-class has common nonkey attributes, each subclass has specialized non-key attributes

**Aggregation**

* “part-of” relationship among entities to a higher type aggregate entity (“contains” is the inverse relationship)

* attributes within an entity, data aggregate (mo-day-year)

* entity clustering variation: membership or “is-member-of” relationship
Constraints
Constraints in ER modeling

* role - the function an entity plays in a relationship

* existence constraint (existence dependency) - weak entity

* exclusion constraint - restricts an entity to be related to only of several other entities at a given point in time
  - mandatory/optional
  - specifies lower bound of connectivity of entity instances
  - participating in a relationship as 1 or 0

* uniqueness constraint – one-to-one functional dependency among key attributes in a relationship: binary, ternary, or higher n-ary
A technician uses exactly one notebook for each project. Each notebook belongs to one technician for each project. Note that a technician may still work on many projects and maintain different notebooks for different projects.

(a) one-to-one-to-one ternary relationship

Each employee assigned to a project works at only one location for that project, but can be at different locations for different projects. At a particular location, an employee works on only one project. At a particular location, there can be many employees assigned to a given project.

(b) one-to-one-to-many ternary relationship

Each engineer working on a particular project has exactly one manager, but each manager of a project may manage many engineers, and each manager of an engineer may manage that engineer on many projects.

(c) one-to-many-to-many ternary relationship
Employees can use many skills on any one or many projects, and each project has many employees with various skills. This is a many-to-many-to-many ternary relationship.

Employee \\
-------
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>skill-use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Functional dependencies:
- None
(a) Generalization ("is-a") relationship with supertype and non-disjoint subtypes

(b) Aggregation ("part-of") relationship

(c) Ternary relationship
Schema Integration Methods

Goal in schema integration
- to create a non-redundant unified (global) conceptual schema

(1) completeness - all components must appear in the global schema
(2) minimality - remove redundant concepts in the global schema
(3) understandability - does global schema make sense?

1. Comparing of schemas

* look for correspondence (identity) among entities
* detect possible conflicts

- naming conflicts
  - homonyms - same name for different concepts
  - synonyms - different names for the same concept

- structural conflicts
  - type conflicts - different modeling construct for the same concept (e.g. “order” as an entity, attribute, relationship)

- dependency conflicts - connectivity is different for different views (e.g. job-title vs. job-title-history)
- key conflicts - same concept but different keys are assigned (e.g. ID-no vs. SSN)
- behavioral conflicts - different integrity constraints (e.g. null rules for optional/mandatory: insert/delete rules)

* determine inter-schema properties

- possible new relationships to combine schemas
- possible abstractions on existing entities or create new super-classes (super-types)

2. Conforming of schemas

* resolve conflicts (often user interaction is required)
* conform or align schemas to make compatible for integration

* transform the schema via
  - renaming (homonyms, synonyms, key conflicts)
  - type transformations (type or dependency conflicts)
  - modify assertions (behavioral conflicts)

3. Merging and restructuring

* superimpose entities
* restructure result of superimposition
(a) Original schema 1, focused on reports

(b) Original schema 2, focused on publications
(a) Scheme 2.1, in whichKeyword has changed toTopic-area

(b) Scheme 2.2, in which the attribute dept-name has changed to an attribute and an entity
Entity-Relationship Clustering

Motivation

* conceptual (ER) models are difficult to read and understand for large and complex databases, e.g. 10,000 or more data elements

* there is a need for a tool to abstract the conceptual database schema (e.g. clustering of the ER diagram)

* potential applications
  - end user communication
  - application design team communication
  - documentation of the database conceptual schema (in coordination with the data dictionary)

Clustering Methodology

Given an extended ER diagram for a database.....

Step 1. Define points of grouping within functional areas.

Step 2. Form entity clusters
  * group entities within the same functional area
  * resolve conflicts by combining at a higher functional grouping

Step 3. Form higher entity clusters.

Step 4. Validate the cluster diagram.
  * check for consistency of interfaces.
  * end-users must concur with each level.
(a) ER model before clustering

(b) ER model after clustering
Transformations from ER diagrams to SQL Tables

* **Entity** – directly to a SQL table

* **Many-to-many binary relationship** – directly to a SQL table, taking the 2 primary keys in the 2 entities associated with this relationship as foreign keys in the new table

* **One-to-many binary relationship** – primary key on “one” side entity copied as a foreign key in the “many” side entity’s table

* **Recursive binary relationship** – same rules as other binary relationships

* **Ternary relationship** – directly to a SQL table, taking the 3 primary keys of the 3 entities associated with this relationship as foreign keys in the new table

* **Attribute of an entity** – directly to be an attribute of the table transformed from this entity

* **Generalization super-class (super-type) entity** – directly to a SQL table

* **Generalization subclass (subtype) entity** – directly to a SQL table, but with the primary key of its super-class (super-type) propagated down as a foreign key into its table

* **Mandatory constraint (1 lower bound) on the “one” side of a one-to-many relationship** – the foreign key in the “many” side table associated with the primary key in the “one” side table should be set as “not null” (when the lower bound is 0, nulls are allowed as the default in SQL)
Every report has one abbreviation, and every abbreviation represents exactly one report.

```sql
create table report
    (report_no integer,
     report_name varchar(256),
     primary key(report_no);
create table abbreviation
    (abbr_no char(6),
     report_no integer not null unique,
     primary key(abbr_no),
     foreign key(report_no) references report
    on delete cascade on update cascade);
```

Every department must have a manager, but an employee can be a manager of at most one department.

```sql
create table department
    (dept_no integer,
     dept_name char(20),
     mgr_id char(10) not null unique,
     primary key(dept_no),
     foreign key(mgr_id) references employee
    on delete set default on update cascade);
create table employee
    (emp_id char(10),
     emp_name char(20),
     primary key(emp_id));
```

Some desktop computers are allocated to engineers, but not necessarily to all engineers.

```sql
create table engineer
    (emp_id char(10),
     desktop_no integer,
     primary key(emp_id));
create table desktop
    (desktop_no integer,
     emp_id char(10),
     primary key(desktop_no),
     foreign key(emp_id) references engineer
    on delete set null on update cascade);
```

(a) one-to-one, both entities mandatory

(b) one-to-one, one entity optional, one mandatory

(c) one-to-one, both entities optional
Every employee works in exactly one department, and each department has at least one employee.

```
create table department
    (dept_no integer,
     dept_name char(20),
     primary key (dept_no));
```

```
create table employee
    (emp_id char(10),
     emp_name char(20),
     dept_no integer not null,
     primary key (emp_id),
     foreign key (dept_no) references department
         on delete set default on update cascade);
```

Each department publishes one or more reports. A given report may not necessarily be published by a department.

```
create table department
    (dept_no integer,
     dept_name char(20),
     primary key (dept_no));
```

```
create table report
    (report_no integer,
     dept_no integer,
     primary key (report_no),
     foreign key (dept_no) references department
         on delete set null on update cascade);
```

Every professional association could have none, one, or many engineer members. Each engineer could be a member of none, one, or many professional associations.

```
create table engineer
    (emp_id char(10),
     primary key (emp_id));
```

```
create table prof assoc
    (assoc_name varchar(256),
     primary key (assoc_name));
```

```
create table belongs to
    (emp_id char(10),
     assoc_name varchar(256),
     primary key (emp_id, assoc_name),
     foreign key (emp_id) references engineer
         on delete cascade on update cascade,
     foreign key (assoc_name) references prof assoc
         on delete cascade on update cascade);
```
Any employee is allowed to be married to another employee in this company.

```sql
create table employee
    (emp_id char(10),
     emp_name char(20),
     spouse_id char(10),
     primary key (emp_id),
     foreign key (spouse_id) references employee
          on delete set null on update cascade);
```

Engineers are divided into groups for certain projects. Each group has a leader.

```sql
create table engineer
    (emp_id char(10),
     leader_id char(10) not null,
     primary key (emp_id),
     foreign key (leader_id) references engineer
          on delete set default on update cascade);
```

Each employee has the opportunity to coauthor a report with one or more other employees, or to write the report alone.

```sql
create table employee
    (emp_id char(10),
     emp_name char(20),
     primary key (emp_id));
create table coauthor
    (author_id char(10),
     coauthor_id char(10),
     primary key (author_id, coauthor_id),
     foreign key (author_id) references employee
          on delete cascade on update cascade,
     foreign key (coauthor_id) references employee
          on delete cascade on update cascade);
```
Each employee assigned to a project works at only one location for that project, but can be at a different location for a different project. At a given location, an employee works on only one project. At a particular location there can be many employees assigned to a given project.

```sql
create table employee (emp_id char(10),
    emp_name char(20),
    primary key (emp_id));

create table project (project_name char(20),
    primary key (project_name));

create table location (loc_name char(15),
    primary key (loc_name));

create table assigned_to (emp_id char(10),
    project_name char(20),
    loc_name char(15) not null,
    primary key (emp_id, project_name),
    foreign key (emp_id) references employee
    on delete cascade on update cascade,
    foreign key (project_name) references project
    on delete cascade on update cascade,
    foreign key (loc_name) references location
    on delete cascade on update cascade),
    unique (emp_id, loc_name));
```

**Functional dependencies**

- `emp_id, loc_name -> project_name`
- `emp_id, project_name -> loc_name`

(a) one-to-one-to-many ternary relationships
An individual may be either an employee or a customer, or both, or neither.

```sql
create table individual (indiv_id char(10),
                        indiv_name char(20),
                        indiv_addr char(20),
                        primary key (indiv_id));

create table employee (emp_id char(10),
                       job_title char(15),
                       primary key (emp_id),
                       foreign key (emp_id) references individual
                       on delete cascade on update cascade);

create table customer (cust_no char(10),
                       cust_credit char(12),
                       primary key (cust_no),
                       foreign key (cust_no) references individual
                       on delete cascade on update cascade);
IV. Normalization and Normal Forms

First normal form (1NF) to third normal form (3NF) and BCNF

Goals of normalization
1. Integrity
2. Maintainability

Side effects of normalization
* Reduced storage space required (usually, but it could increase)
* Simpler queries (sometimes, but some could be more complex)
* Simpler updates (sometimes, but some could be more complex)

First normal form (1NF) -- a table R is in 1NF iff all underlying domains contain only atomic values, i.e. there are no repeating groups in a row.

functional dependency—given a table R, a set of attributes B is functionally dependent on another set of attributes A if at each instant of time each A value is associated with only one B value. This is denoted by $A \rightarrow B$. A trivial FD is of the form $XY \rightarrow X$ (subset).

super-key -- a set of one or more attributes, which, when taken collectively, allows us to identify uniquely an entity or table.

candidate key—any subset of the attributes of a super-key that is also a super-key, but not reducible.

primary key -- arbitrarily selected from the set of candidate keys, as needed for indexing.

Third normal form (3NF)
A table is in 3NF if, for every nontrivial FD $X \rightarrow A$, either:
1. attribute X is a super-key, or
2. attribute A is a member of a candidate key (prime attribute)

Boyce-Codd normal form (BCNF)
A table is in BCNF if, for every nontrivial FD $X \rightarrow A$,
1. attribute X is a super-key.
### First Normal Form

**TABLE SUPPLIER_PART** (100k rows, 73 bytes/row => 7.3 MB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNUM</th>
<th>SNAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>PNUM</th>
<th>PNAME</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>QTY</th>
<th>SHIPDATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LONDON</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>NUT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10-31-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LONDON</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>BOLT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10-31-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LONDON</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>BOLT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10-31-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LONDON</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>BOLT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10-31-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LONDON</td>
<td>P5</td>
<td>CLAMP</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8-20-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>JONES</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PARIS</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>BOLT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8-11-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>JONES</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PARIS</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>BOLT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8-11-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>BLAKE</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PARIS</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>BOLT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8-11-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>BLAKE</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PARIS</td>
<td>P5</td>
<td>BOLT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8-11-91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Functional dependencies**

- **SNUM --> SNAME, STATUS, CITY**
- **CITY --> STATUS**
- **PNUM --> PNAME, WT**
- **SNUM, PNUM, SHIPDATE --> QTY**

**Attribute sizes (bytes)**

- **SNUM**: 5
- **SNAME**: 20
- **STATUS**: 2
- **CITY**: 10
- **PNUM**: 8
- **PNAME**: 10
- **WT**: 5
- **QTY**: 5
- **SHIPDATE**: 8
- **Total size**: 73

### Third Normal Form

**TABLE PART** (100 rows, 23 bytes/row => 2.3 KB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNUM</th>
<th>PNAME</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>SHIPDATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>NUT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1-4-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>BOLT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2-17-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>WRENCH</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>WRENCH</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>CLAMP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>LEVEL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Functional dependencies**

- **PNUM --> PNAME, WT**

**TABLE SHIPMENT** (100k rows, 26 bytes/row => 2.6 MB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNUM</th>
<th>PNUM</th>
<th>QTY</th>
<th>SHIPDATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1-4-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-17-90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Functional dependency**

- **SNUM, PNUM, SHIPDATE --> QTY**
S1  P3  6  11-5-89
S1  P4  2  6-30-90
S1  P5  1  8-12-91
S1  P6  5  4-21-91
S2  P1  3  5-3-90
S2  P2  4  12-31-90
S3  P3  4  3-25-91
S3  P5  2  3-27-91
S4  P2  2  10-31-89
S4  P4  3  7-14-90
S4  P5  7  8-20-90
S5  P5  5  8-11-91

NOT Third Normal Form

TABLE SUPPLIER (200 rows, 37 bytes/row => 7.4 KB)
SNUM  SNAME  STATUS  CITY  Functional dependencies
S1    SMITH  20    LONDON  SNUM --> SNAME, STATUS, CITY
S2    JONES  10    PARIS   CITY --> STATUS
S3    BLAKE  10    PARIS
S4    CLARK  20    LONDON
S5    ADAMS  30    ATHENS

Decomposition of Table Supplier into two Third Normal Form (3NF) Tables
Third Normal Form

**TABLE SUPPLIER_W/O_STATUS** (200 rows, 35 bytes/row => 7 KB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNUM</th>
<th>SNAME</th>
<th>CITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>LONDON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>JONES</td>
<td>PARIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>BLAKE</td>
<td>PARIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>CLARK</td>
<td>LONDON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>ADAMS</td>
<td>ATHENS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Functional dependency: SNUM --> SNAME, CITY

**TABLE CITY_AND_STATUS** (100 rows, 12 bytes/row => 1.2 KB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LONDON</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARIS</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATHENS</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Functional dependency: CITY --> STATUS
Relational tables predicted by the ER model, with no functional dependencies given, just those implied by the diagram.

**Table 1:** emphistory (jobtitle, startdate, enddate, empid)

**Table 2:** employee (empid, empname, phoneno, officeno, projno,deptno)

**Table 3:** project (projno, projname, startdate, enddate)

**Table 4:** dept (deptno, deptname, mgrid)
Example of Table Design and Normalization (3NF) from a collection of FDs and an ER diagram

Functional dependencies (FDs) given
empid, startdate --> jobtitle, enddate
empid --> empname, phoneno, officeno, projno, deptno
phoneno --> officeno
projno --> projname, startdate, enddate
deptno --> deptname, mgrid
mgrid --> deptno

In general, the FDs can be derived from
1. Explicit assertions given
2. ER diagram (implied by ER constructs)
3. Intuition (your experience with the problem data)

Table 1: empid, startdate --> jobtitle, enddate

This table has a composite key that must be separated from functional dependencies (FDs) that involve any individual component of this key (e.g. empno) on the left side.

Table 2
Let us start with the following set of FDs and then refine them, eliminating transitive dependencies within the same table.

Given: empid --> empname, phoneno, officeno, projno, deptno
phoneno --> officeno

We need to eliminate the redundant right sides of the transitive dependencies (office_no) and put them into other tables. Thus we get:

Table 2a: empid --> empname, phoneno, projno, deptno
Table 2b: phoneno --> officeno

Table 3: projno --> projname, startdate, enddate

Table 4: deptno --> deptname, mgrid
mgrid --> deptno
Functional Dependency Inference rules
(Armstrong’s Axioms)

1. Reflexivity
If Y is a subset of the attributes of X, then X->Y.
X = ABCD, Y = ABC => X->Y
X->X trivial case

2. Augmentation
If X->Y and Z is a subset of table R (i.e. Z is any set of attributes in R), then XZ->YZ.

3. Transitivity
If X->Y and Y->Z then X->Z.

4. Pseudo-transitivity
If X->Y and YW->Z then XW->Z.
(transitivity is a special case of pseudo-transitivity when W is null)

5. Union
If X->Y and X->Z then X->YZ.

6. Decomposition
If X->YZ then X->Y and X->Z.

Superkey Rule 1. Any FD involving all attributes of a table defines a super-key on the LHS of the FD.

Given: any FD containing all attributes in the table R(W,X,Y,Z), i.e. XY -> WZ.
Proof:
(1) XY -> WZ given
(2) XY -> XY by the reflexivity axiom
(3) XY -> XYWZ by the union axiom
(4) XY uniquely determines every attribute in table R, as shown in (3)
(5) XY uniquely defines table R, by the definition of a table as having no duplicate rows
(6) XY is therefore a super-key, by the definition of a super-key.

Super-key Rule 2. Any attribute that functionally determines a super-key of a table, is also a super-key for that table.

Given: Attribute A is a super-key for table R(A,B,C,D,E), and E -> A.
Proof:
(1) Attribute A uniquely defines each row in table R, by the def. of a super-key
(2) A -> ABCDE by the definition of a super-key and a relational table
(3) E -> A given
(4) E -> ABCDE by the transitivity axiom
(5) E is a super-key for table R, by the definition of a super-key.
3NF Synthesis Algorithm (Bernstein)

Basic definitions
- $g e H$ - set of FDs
- $H^+$ - closure of H - set of all FDs derivable from H using all the FD inference rules
- $H'$ - cover of H - any set of FDs from which every FD in $H^+$ can be derived

$H'(\text{non-redundant})$ – non-redundant cover of H, i.e. a cover which contains no proper subset which is also a cover. Can be determined with quadratic complexity $O(n^2)$.

Example
Given a set of FDs $H$, determine a minimal set of tables in 3NF, while preserving all FDs and maintaining only lossless decomposition/joins.

$H$: $\begin{align*}
  AB &\rightarrow C \\
  DM &\rightarrow NP \\
  D &\rightarrow KL \\
  A &\rightarrow DEFG \\
  E &\rightarrow G \\
  F &\rightarrow DJ \\
  G &\rightarrow DI \\
  P &\rightarrow S
\end{align*}$

Step 1: Eliminate any extraneous attributes in the left hand sides of the FDs. We want to reduce the left hand sides of as many FDs as possible. In general:

- $XY\rightarrow Z$ and $X\rightarrow Z \Rightarrow Y$ is extraneous (Reduction Rule 1)
- $XYZ\rightarrow W$ and $X\rightarrow Y \Rightarrow Y$ is extraneous (Reduction Rule 2)

For this example we mix left side reduction with the union and decomposition axioms:

$\begin{align*}
  DM &\rightarrow NP \Rightarrow D &\rightarrow NP \Rightarrow D \rightarrow MN \\
  PQR &\rightarrow ST \Rightarrow PQR &\rightarrow S, PQR &\rightarrow T \Rightarrow PQR &\rightarrow T \\
  PR &\rightarrow S \Rightarrow PR &\rightarrow S. & PR &\rightarrow S
\end{align*}$

Step 2: Find a non-redundant cover $H'$ of H, i.e. eliminate any FD derivable from others in H using the inference rules (most frequently the transitivity axiom).

- $A\rightarrow E\rightarrow G \Rightarrow$ eliminate $A\rightarrow G$ from the cover
- $A\rightarrow F\rightarrow D \Rightarrow$ eliminate $A\rightarrow D$ from the cover

Step 3: Partition $H'$ into tables such that all FDs with the same left side are in one table, thus eliminating any non-fully functional FDs. (Note: creating tables at this point would be a feasible solution for 3NF, but not necessarily minimal.)

$\begin{align*}
  R1: AB &\rightarrow C & R4: G &\rightarrow DI \\
  R2: A &\rightarrow EF & R5: F &\rightarrow DJ \\
  R3: E &\rightarrow G & R6: D &\rightarrow KLMNP \\
  R9: PR &\rightarrow S & R7: L &\rightarrow D \\
  R8: PQR &\rightarrow T
\end{align*}$
**Step 4: Merge equivalent keys**, i.e. merge tables where all FD’s satisfy 3NF.

4.1 Write out the closure of all LHS attributes resulting from Step 3, based on transivities.

4.2 Using the closures, find tables that are subsets of other groups and try to merge them. Use Rule 1 and Rule 2 to establish if the merge will result in FDs with super-keys on the LHS. If not, try using the axioms to modify the FDs to fit the definition of super-keys.

4.3 After the subsets are exhausted, look for any overlaps among tables and apply Rules 1 and 2 (and the axioms) again.

In this example, note that R7 (L->D) has a subset of the attributes of R6 (D->KLMNP). Therefore we merge to a single table with FDs D->KLMNP, L->D because it satisfies 3NF: D is a super-key by Rule 1 and L is a super-key by Rule 2.

**Final 3NF (and BCNF) table attributes, FDs, and candidate keys:**

R1: ABC (AB->C with key AB)  
R2: AEF (A->EF with key A)  
R3: EG (E->G with key E)  
R4: DGI (G->DI with key G)  
R5: DFJ (F->DJ with key F)  
R6: DKLMNP (D->KLMNP, L->D, w/keys D, L)  
R7: PQRT (PQR->T with key PQR)  
R8: PRS (PR->S with key PR)

**Step 4a. Check to see whether all tables are also BCNF.** For any table that is not BCNF, add the appropriate partially redundant table to eliminate the delete anomaly.
**Maier's Example using 3NF Synthesis**


R = \{ A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K \}

Functional dependencies (FDs):

1. E \rightarrow A B C D F G H I J K
2. A B C \rightarrow E D F G H I J K
3. A B D \rightarrow E C F G H I J K
4. G \rightarrow H I J
5. C F \rightarrow K
6. D F \rightarrow K

Step 1 - No reduction of determinants necessary.

Step 2 - Find non-redundant cover.

   4. G \rightarrow H I J \Rightarrow eliminate H I J from (1), (2), and (3)
   7. H I \rightarrow J \Rightarrow reduce (4) to G \rightarrow H I, eliminating J from (4)
   5. C F \rightarrow K \Rightarrow eliminate K from (1) and (3)
   6. D F \rightarrow K \Rightarrow eliminate K from (2)
   1. E \rightarrow D F G \Rightarrow eliminate D F G from (2)
   1. E \rightarrow C F G \Rightarrow eliminate C F G from (3)

Step 3 - Partition into groups with the same left side.

G1: E \rightarrow ABCDF
G2: ABC \rightarrow E
G3: ABD \rightarrow E
G4: G \rightarrow HI
G5: CF \rightarrow K
G6: DF \rightarrow K
G7: HI \rightarrow J
G8: IJ \rightarrow H
G9: HJ \rightarrow I

Step 4 - Merge equivalent keys, forming new groups. Construct final set of tables, attributes, FDs, and candidate keys.

R1: ABCDEFG (E \rightarrow ABCDFG, ABC \rightarrow E, ABD \rightarrow E with keys E, ABC, ABD)
R2: GHI (G \rightarrow HI with key G)
R3: CFK (CF \rightarrow K with key CF)
R4: DFK (DF \rightarrow K with key DF)
R5: HIJ (HI \rightarrow J, IJ \rightarrow H, HJ \rightarrow I with keys HI, IJ, HJ)
Example of a 3NF table that is not BCNF, i.e. it has further anomalies:

S = student, C = course, I = instructor

SC -> I For each course, each student is taught by only one instructor. A course may be taught by more than one instructor.

I -> C Each instructor teaches only one course.

This table is 3NF with a candidate key SC:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCI</th>
<th>student</th>
<th>course</th>
<th>instructor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Von Neumann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Journalism</td>
<td>Murrow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niven</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Von Neumann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niven</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Fermi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Einstein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Delete anomaly: If Sutton drops Journalism, then we have no record of Murrow teaching Journalism. How can we decompose this table into BCNF?

**Decomposition 1 (bad).....eliminates the delete anomaly**

SC - no FDs and I -> C (two tables)

Problems - 1. lossy join
2. dependency SC -> I is not preserved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SC</th>
<th>student</th>
<th>course</th>
<th>instructor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Von Neumann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Journalism</td>
<td>Murrow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niven</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Von Neumann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niven</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Fermi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Einstein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------join SC and IC-------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCI’</th>
<th>student</th>
<th>course</th>
<th>instructor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Von Neumann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Journalism</td>
<td>Murrow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niven</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Von Neumann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niven</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Fermi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niven</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Einstein (spurious row)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Fermi (spurious row)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Einstein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Decomposition 2 (better).....eliminates the delete anomaly**

SI (no FD) and I -> C  
Advantages – eliminates the delete anomaly, lossless  
Disadvantage - dependency SC -> I is not preserved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>student</th>
<th>instructor</th>
<th>course</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Von Neumann</td>
<td>Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Murrow</td>
<td>Journalism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niven</td>
<td>Von Neumann</td>
<td>Physics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niven</td>
<td>Fermi</td>
<td>Physics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>Einstein</td>
<td>Dantzig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Dantzig (new)</td>
<td>Math (new)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The new row is allowed in SI using unique(student,instructor) in the create table command, and the join of SI and IC is lossless. However, a join of SI and IC now produces the following two rows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>student</th>
<th>course</th>
<th>instructor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Von Neumann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Dantzig</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

which violates the FD SC -> I.

Oracle, for instance, has no way to automatically check SC->I, although you could write a procedure to do this at the expense of a lot of overhead.

**Decomposition 3 (tradeoff between integrity and performance)**

SC -> I  and  I -> C  (two tables with redundant data)
Problems -extra updates and storage cost
**Fourth Normal Form (4NF)**

**Fourth normal form (4NF)** -- a table R is in 4NF iff it is in BCNF and whenever there exists a nontrivial multi-valued dependency (MVD) in R, say X-->Y, X is a super-key for R.

**Multi-valued dependency (MVD)**

X --> Y holds whenever a valid instance of R(X,Y,Z) contains a pair of rows that contain duplicate values of X, then the instance also contains the pair of rows obtained by interchanging the Y values in the original pair.

**Multi-valued Dependency Inference rules**

(Berri, Fagin, Howard...1977 ACM SIGMOD Proc.)

1. Reflexivity  \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow X \)
2. Augmentation  If \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Y \), then \( XZ \rightarrow\rightarrow Y \).
3. Transitivity  If \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Y \) and \( Y \rightarrow\rightarrow Z \) then \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow (Z-Y) \).
4. Pseudo-transitivity  If \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Y \) and \( YW \rightarrow\rightarrow Z \) then \( XW \rightarrow\rightarrow (Z-YW) \).
   (transitivity is a special case of pseudo-transitivity when \( W \) is null)
5. Union  If \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Y \) and \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Z \) then \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow YZ \).
6. Decomposition  If \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Y \) and \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Z \), then \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Y \) intersect \( Z \) and \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow (Z-Y) \).
7. Complement  If \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Y \) and \( Z=R-X-Y \), then \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Z \).
8. FD => MVD  If \( X \rightarrow Y \), then \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Y \).
9. FD, MVD mix  If \( X \rightarrow\rightarrow Y \) and \( Y \rightarrow Z' \) (where \( Z' \) is contained in \( Z \), and \( Y \) and \( Z \) are disjoint), then \( X \rightarrow Z' \).

**Why is 4NF useful?**

Avoids certain update anomalies/inefficiencies.

1. delete anomaly - two independent facts get tied together unnaturally so there may be bad side effects of certain deletes, e.g. in “skills required” the last record of a skill may be lost if employee is temporarily not working on any projects).

2. update inefficiency - adding a new project in “skills required” requires insertions for many records (rows) that to include all required skills for that new project. Likewise, loss of a project requires many deletes.

3. 4NF maintains smaller pieces of information with less redundancy.
Example of a ternary relationship (many-to-many-to-many) that can be BCNF or 4NF depending on the semantics associated with it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table name</th>
<th>NF</th>
<th>2-way</th>
<th>3-way</th>
<th>Nontrivial MVDs</th>
<th>MVDs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>skill_available</td>
<td>BCNF</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skill_required</td>
<td>BCNF</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skill_in_common</td>
<td>4NF</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Semantics and analysis of each relationship

**skill_required**—an employee must have all the required skills for a project to work on that project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>empno</th>
<th>project</th>
<th>skill</th>
<th>Nontrivial MVDs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>project-&gt;skill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>project-&gt;empno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-way lossless join occurs when skill_required is projected over \{empno, project\} and \{project, skill\}. Projection over \{empno, project\} and \{empno, skill\}, and over \{empno, skill\} and \{project, skill\}, however, are not lossless. 3-way lossless join occurs when skill_required is projected over \{empno, project\}, \{empno, skill\}, \{project, skill\}. 
**skill_in_common**—an employee must apply the intersection of available skills to the skills needed for different projects. In other words if an employee has a certain skill and he or she works on a given project that requires that skill, then he or she must provide that skill for that project (this is less restrictive than skill_required because the employee need not supply all the required skills, but only those in common).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>empno</th>
<th>project</th>
<th>skill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>empno</th>
<th>project</th>
<th>empno</th>
<th>skill</th>
<th>project</th>
<th>skill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This has a 3-way lossless decomposition. There are no 2-way lossless decompositions and no MVDs, thus the table is in 4NF.
V. Access Methods

Types of Queries

Query type 1: access all records of a given type
“Increase everyone’s salary by 10%”
access method: sequential processing

Query type 2: access at most one record
“Find the address of John Smith, whose id number is 333-44-5555”
access methods: hashing, B + tree index

Query type 3: access a subset of records of a given type
“Find all employees who have C programming experience and over three years with the company”
access method: secondary indexing (Oracle uses B+trees for this)

Sequential Access Methods

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{lra} &= n & \text{logical record accesses} \\
\text{sba} &= \text{ceil}(n/bf) & \text{sequential block accesses} \\
\text{rba} &= 0 & \text{random block accesses} \\
\text{iotime} &= \text{sba} \times \text{Tsba} + \text{rba} \times \text{Trba} & \text{seconds}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \text{Tsba} \) is the average disk i/o service time for a sequential block and \( \text{Trba} \) is the average disk i/o service time for a random block access

Disk service time in a dedicated environment
sequential block access:

\[
\text{Tsba} = \frac{\text{rot}}{2} + \frac{\text{bks}}{\text{tr}}
\]

where \( \text{rot} \) is the disk rotation time (for a full rotation), \( \text{bks} \) is the block size in bytes (\( bf \times \text{record size} \)), and \( \text{tr} \) is the disk transfer rate in bytes per second.

\[
\text{Trba} = \text{seek(avg)} + \frac{\text{rot}}{2} + \frac{\text{bks}}{\text{tr}}
\]

where \( \text{seek(avg)} \) is the average seek time over the extent of the file on disk

Disk service time in a shared environment

\[
\text{Tsba} = \text{Trba} = \text{seek(avg)} + \frac{\text{rot}}{2} + \frac{\text{bks}}{\text{tr}}
\]

where \( \text{seek(avg)} \) is the average disk seek time over the extent of the entire disk.
Batch processing of \( k \) sequentially stored records

read the transaction file:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{lra} &= k & \text{where } k &= \text{number of transaction records} \\
\text{sba} &= \text{ceil}(k/\text{tfbf}) & \text{where } \text{tfbf} &= \text{the transaction file blocking factor}
\end{align*}
\]

read the master file:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{lra} &= n \\
\text{sba} &= \text{ceil}(n/\text{bf}) & \text{where } \text{bf} &= \text{the master file blocking factor}
\end{align*}
\]

write a new master file:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{lra} &= n + \text{adds} - \text{deletes} \\
\text{sba} &= \text{ceil}((n+\text{adds}-\text{deletes})/\text{bf})
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \text{adds} \) is the number of records added or inserted, and \( \text{deletes} \) is the number of records deleted.
Random Access Methods

Hashing

Basic mechanism – transformation of a primary key directly to a physical address, called a bucket (or indirectly via a logical address)

Collisions – handled by variations of chained overflow techniques

random access to a hashed file
\[ lra = 1 + \text{overflow(avg)} \]
\[ rba = 1 + \text{overflow(avg)} \]

insertion into a hashed file
\[ lra = 1 + \text{overflow(avg)} + \text{rewrite} \]
\[ rba = 1 + \text{overflow(avg)} \]
rba=1 for the rewrite
Extendible Hashing
* number of buckets grow or contracts
* bucket splits when it becomes full
* collisions are resolved immediately, no long overflow chains
* primary key transformed to an entry in the Bucket Address Table (BAT), typically in RAM
* BAT has pointers to disk buckets that hold the actual data
* Retrieve a single record = 1 rba (access the bucket in one step)
* Cost (service time) of I/O for updates, inserts, and deletes is the same as for B+-trees

![Diagram of extendible hashing]

Figure 6.5 Extendible hashing table for example in Figure 6.3
B-trees and B+-trees

B-tree index basic characteristics

* each node contains p pointers and p-1 records
* each pointer at level i is for a data and pointer block at level i+1
* i=1 denotes the root level (single node or block)
* can be inefficient for searching because of the overhead in each search level

(a) B-tree with embedded records at each node

(b) B-tree with key-data pointer pairs in each node

(tree pointer)
(data pointer)
**B+-tree index basic characteristics**

* eliminates data pointers from all nodes except the leaf nodes
* each non-leaf index node has p pointers and p-1 key values
* each pointer at level i is for an index block (of key/pointer pairs) at level i+1
* each leaf index has a key value/pointer pair to point to the actual data block (and record) containing that primary key value
* leaf index nodes can be logically connected via pointers for ordered sequence search
* hybrid method for efficient random access and sequential search

---

**Example: B+-tree**

To determine the order of a B+-tree, let us assume that the database has 500,000 records of 200 bytes each, the search key is 15 bytes, the tree and data pointers are 5 bytes, and the index node (and data block size) is 1024 bytes. For this configuration we have non-leaf index node size = 1024 bytes = p*5 + (p-1)*15 bytes

\[
p = \text{floor}((1024+15)/20) = \text{floor}(51.95) = 51
\]

number of search key values in the leaf nodes = floor ((1024-5)/(15+5)) = 50

\[
h = \text{height of the B+-tree (number of index levels, including the leaf index nodes)}
\]

\[
n = \text{number of records in the database (or file); all must be pointed at from the next to last level, h-1}
\]

\[
p^{h-1}(p-1) > n
\]

\[
(h-1)\log p + \log(p-1) > \log n
\]

\[
(h-1)\log p > \log n-\log(p-1)
\]

\[
h > 1 + (\log n-\log(p-1)) / \log p
\]

\[
h > 1 + (\log 500,000-\log 50)/\log 51 = 3.34, \ h=4 \ (\text{nearest higher integer})
\]

A good approximation can be made by assuming that the leaf index nodes are implemented with p pointers and p key values:

\[
p^h > n
\]

\[
h \log p > \log n
\]

\[
h > \log n/\log p
\]

In this case, the result above becomes \( h > 3.35 \) or \( h = 4 \).
**B+-tree performance**

read a single record (B+-tree) = h+1 rba

update a single record (B+-tree) = search cost + rewrite data block
= (h+1) rba + 1 rba

general update cost for insertion (B+-tree)
= search cost (i.e., h+1 reads) + simple rewrite of data block and leaf index node pointing to the data block (i.e., 2 rewrites) + nos*(write of new split index node) + rewrite of the index node pointer to the new index node) + nosb*(write of new split data block)
= (h+1) rba + 2 rba + nos*(2 rba) + nosb*(1 rba)

where nos is the number of index split node operations required and nosb is the number of data split block operations required.

general update cost for deletion (B+-tree)
= search cost (i.e., h+1 reads) + simple rewrite of data block and leaf index node pointing to the data block (i.e., 2 rewrites) + noc*(rewrite of the node pointer to the remaining node)
= (h+1) rba + 2 rba + noc*(1 rba)

where noc is the number of consolidations of index nodes required.

As an example, consider the insertion of a node (with key value 77) to the B+-tree shown in Fig. 6.6. This insertion requires a search (query) phase and an insertion phase with one split node. The total insertion cost for height 3 is

insertion cost = (3 + 1) rba search cost + (2 rba) rewrite cost + 1 split *(2 rba rewrite cost) = 8 rba
(a) $B^+$-tree before the insertion of record with key value 77

(b) $B^+$-tree after the insertion and split block operation
Secondary Indexes

Basic characteristics of secondary indexes
* based on Boolean search criteria (AND, OR, NOT) of attributes that are not the primary key

* attribute type index is level 1 (usually in RAM)
* attribute value index is level 2 (usually in RAM)
* accession list is level 3 (ordered list of pointers to blocks containing records with the given attribute value)
* one accession list per attribute value; pointers have block address and record offset typically
* accession lists can be merged to satisfy the intersection (AND) of records that satisfy more than one condition

Boolean query cost (secondary index)

= search attribute type index + search attribute value index
  + search and merge m accession lists + access t target records

= (0 + 0 + sum of m accession list accesses) rba + t rba

= (sum of m accession list cost) rba + t rba
  where m is the number of accession lists to be merged and t is the number of target records to be accessed after the merge operation.

accession list cost (for accession list j) = ceil(pj/bfac) rba
  where pj is the number of pointer entries in the jth accession list and bfac is the blocking factor for all accession lists

bfac = block_size/pointer_size

* assume all accesses to the accession list are random due to dynamic re-allocation of disk blocks

• use the 1% rule
  (any variable affecting the result by less than 1% is ignored)
Example: Mail Order Business
Assume we have a file of 10,000,000 records of mail order customers for a large commercial business. Customer records have attributes for customer name, customer number, street address, city, state, zip code, phone number, employer, job title, credit rating, date of last purchase, and total amount of purchases. Assume that the record size is 250 bytes; block size is 5000 bytes (bf=20); and pointer size, including record offset, is 5 bytes (bfac=1000). The query to be analyzed is “Find all customers whose job title is ‘engineer’, city is ‘chicago’, and total amount of purchases is greater than $1,000.” For each AND condition we have the following hit rates, that is, records that satisfy each condition:
- job title is ‘engineer’: 84,000 records
- city is ‘chicago’: 210,000 records
- total amount of purchases > $1000: 350,000 records

total number of target records that satisfy all three conditions = 750

query cost (inverted file)
= merge of 3 accession lists + access 750 target records

= [ceil(n1/bfac) + ceil(n2/bfac) + ceil(n3/bfac)] sba + 750 rba
= (84+210+350) sba + 750 rba
= 644 sba + 750 rba

If we assume Tsba is 10 milliseconds and Trba is 25 milliseconds, we obtain
query iotime (secondary index)
= 644 sba*10 ms + 750 rba*25 ms
= 25190 ms
= 25.19 sec (much more efficient than sequential scan, see below)

query iotime (sequential scan)
= ceil(n/bf) sba*Tsba
= ceil(10,000,000/20)*10 ms
= 5,000,000 ms
= 5000 sec
Secondary Indexes using B+-trees

* used by Oracle and many others for non-unique indexes
* index nodes contain key/pointer pairs in the same way as a primary key index using a B+-tree
* key at each level, leaf and non-leaf, is the concatenation of attributes used in the query, e.g. jobtitle, city, total_purchases (as attributes of consumer)
* leaf node pointers are to the blocks containing records with the given combination of attribute values indicated in the concatenated keys
* analysis of queries and updates for this type of index proceeds in the same way as a primary key (unique) index, keeping in mind that the key formats are different in the two cases

query iotime (B+tree secondary index) = rba*Trba
= [h + ceil(t/bfac) – 1 + t] * Trba

where h is the height of the B+tree index, bfac is the blocking factor for the accession list (i.e. the number of pointer/key pairs in the leaf nodes in the B+tree), and t is the number of target records in the table that satisfies all the conditions in the query.
Denormalization

* motivation – poor performance by normalized databases

* search for potential denormalizations that avoid or minimize delete anomalies

To illustrate the effect of denormalization, let us assume that the table review is associated with the tables employee and manager as the table that follows shows. The extension of the review table, review-ext, is shown as a means of reducing the number of joins required in the query shown below. This extension results in a real denormalization, that is,

\[ \text{review}_\text{no} \rightarrow \text{emp}_\text{id} \rightarrow \text{emp}_\text{name}, \text{emp}_\text{address} \]

with the side effects of add and update anomalies. However, the delete anomaly cannot occur because the original data is redundant in the extended schema.

Original Tables and Process (Query)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Primary Key</th>
<th>Nonkeys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>employee</td>
<td>emp_id</td>
<td>emp_name, emp_address, mgr_id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manager</td>
<td>mgr_id</td>
<td>emp_name, emp_address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>review</td>
<td>review_no</td>
<td>emp_id, mgr_id</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Query:
For a given review number, display the employee name and address.

select e.emp_name, e.emp_addr
from employee as e, review as r
where r.review_no = ‘xxxx’
and e.emp_id = r.emp_id;

Extended table review_ext is not in 3NF

create table review_ext as
select r.review_no, e.emp_id, e.emp_name, e.emp_addr, e.mgr_id
from employee as e, review as r
where e.emp_id = r.emp_id;

total cost = \([\text{iotime(q)} + \text{iotime(u)}]\)*\text{cost(q)} + \text{volume(s)}*\text{cost(s)}\
where
\[\text{cost(q)} = \text{unit cost per I/O second for query or update processes}\]
\[\text{cost(s)} = \text{unit cost per byte for stored data}\]
\[\text{iotime(q)} = \text{I/O service time (sec) for query processes}\]
\[\text{iotime(u)} = \text{I/O service time (sec) for update processes}\]
\[\text{volume(s)} = \text{total volume in bytes for stored data}\]
Table Denormalization Algorithm

1. Select the dominant processes based on such criteria as high frequency of execution, high volume of data accessed, response time constraints, or explicit high priority.

2. Define join tables, when appropriate, for the dominant processes.

3. Evaluate total cost for storage, query, and update for the database schema, with and without the extended table, and determine which configuration minimizes total cost.

4. Consider also the possibility of denormalization due to a join table and its side effects. If a join table schema appears to have lower storage and processing cost and insignificant side effects, then consider using that schema for physical design in addition to the original candidate table schema. Otherwise use only the original schema.
Join Strategies

1. nested loop: complexity $O(mn)$
2. merge-join: complexity $O(n \log_2 n)$
3. indexed join: complexity $O(n)$
4. hash-join: complexity $O(n)$

where $m$ and $n$ are the rows of the two tables to be joined

Assume

* $\text{assigned\_to}$ table has 50,000 rows
* $\text{project}$ table has 250 rows
* let the blocking factors for the $\text{assigned\_to}$ and $\text{project}$ tables be 100 and 50, respectively, and the block size is equal for the two tables.
the common join column is project\_name.

High Selectivity Joins

```sql
select p.project_name, p.project_leader, a.emp_id
from project as p, assigned_to as a
where p.project_name = a.project_name;
```

**Nested Loop Case 1:** $\text{assigned\_to}$ is the outer loop table.

join cost = scan $\text{assigned\_to}$ once, scan $\text{project}$ $n$ times
= $50,000/100 + 50,000*250/50$
= $500 + 250,000$
= 250,500 sequential block accesses (sba)

If a sequential block access requires an average of 10 ms, the total time required is 2505 seconds.

**Nested Loop Case 2:** $\text{project}$ is the outer loop table.

join cost = scan $\text{project}$ once, scan $\text{assigned\_to}$ $m$ times
= $250/50 + 250*50,000/100$
= $5 + 125,000$
= 125,005 sequential block accesses (or 1250 seconds)

Note that this strategy does not take advantage of row order for these tables

**Merge-Join Case 1:** Both $\text{project}$ and $\text{assigned\_to}$ are already ordered by $\text{project\_name}$.

join cost = merge time (to scan both tables)
= $50,000/100 + 250/50$
= 505 sequential block accesses (or 5.05 seconds)

**Merge-Join Case 2:** Only $\text{project}$ is ordered by $\text{project\_name}$.

join cost = sort time for $\text{assigned\_to}$ + merge time (to scan both sorted tables)
= $(50,000*\log_2 50,000)/100 + 50,000/100 + 250/50$
= $(50,000*16)/100 + 500 + 5$
= 8505 sequential block accesses (or 85.05 seconds)
Merge-Join Case 3: Neither project nor assigned_to are ordered by project_name.

Join cost = sort time for both tables + merge time for both tables
= \( \frac{50,000 \log_2 50,000}{100} + \frac{250 \log_2 250}{50} + \frac{50,000}{100} + \frac{250}{50} \)
= 8000 + 40 + 500 + 5
= 8545 sequential block accesses (or 85.45 seconds)

We see that the sort phase of the merge-join strategy is the costliest component, but it still significantly improves performance compared to the nested loop strategy.

Low Selectivity Joins

Let \( ntr = 100 \) qualifying rows for the foreign key table (assigned_to) and let \( ntr = 1 \) row for the primary key table (project) in the example below. Assume \( h = 2 \) for the unique index to project, \( Tsba = 10 \text{ ms} \), and \( Trba = 40 \text{ ms} \).

select p.project_name, p.project_leader, a.emp_id
from project as p, assigned_to as a
where p.project_name = a.project_name
and p.project_name = 'financial analysis';

Indexed join Case 1: Scan foreign key table once and index to the primary key
Join cost = scan the entire foreign key table (assigned_to) + index to the primary key table (project) qualifying row
= \( \frac{50,000}{100} + (h+1) rba \)
= 500 sba + 3 rba (or 5.12 seconds)

For the next case, assume the nonunique index height, \( hn = 3 \), index blocking factor \( bfac = 500 \), with \( ntr = 100 \) target foreign key rows as given above.

Indexed join Case 2: Index to both the primary key table and the foreign key
Join cost = index to the primary key table + index to the foreign key table
= \( (h+1) rba + [hn + ceil(ntr/bfac) - 1 + ntr] rba \)
= 3 rba + \( [3 + 0 + 100] rba \)
= 106 rba (or 4.24 seconds)

Indexed join Case 3: Nonunique indexes required for both tables due to join on two nonkeys.
Join cost = index to the first table + index to the second table
= \([h1 + ceil(ntr1/bfac1) -1 + ntr1] rba + [h2 + ceil(ntr2/bfac2) -1 + ntr2] rba \)

Hash join Case 1:
Join cost = scan first table (assigned_to) + scan second table (project) + access qualifying rows in the two tables
= \( \frac{50,000}{100} sba + \frac{250}{50} sba + 100 rba + 1 rba \)
= 505 sba + 101 rba (or 9.09 seconds)

In the hash join strategy, the table scans may only have to be done infrequently as long as the hash file in RAM remains intact for a series of queries, so in Case 1 above, the incremental cost for the given query requires only 101 rba or 4.04 seconds.
VI. Database Distribution Strategies

Overview of Distributed Databases

**Distributed database** - a collection of multiple, logically interrelated databases distributed over a computer network [OzVa91].

**Distributed Database Management System (DDBMS)** - a software system that permits the management of a distributed database and makes the distribution transparent to the users. If heterogeneous, it may allow transparent simultaneous access to data on multiple dissimilar systems.

**Advantages**

1. Improves performance, e.g. it saves communication costs and reduces query delays by providing data at the sites where it is most frequently accessed.

2. Improves the reliability and availability of a system by providing alternate sites from where the information can be accessed.

3. Increases the capacity of a system by increasing the number of sites where the data can be located.

4. Allows users to exercise control over their own data while allowing others to share some of the data from other sites.

5. Helps solve more complex database problems.

**Disadvantages**

1. Increases the complexity of the system and introduces several technical as well as management challenges especially when geographical and organizational boundaries are crossed.

2. Makes central control more difficult and raises several security issues because a data item stored at a remote site can be always accessed by the users at the remote site.

3. Makes performance evaluation difficult because a process running at one node may impact the entire network.
Requirements of a Generalized DDBMS: Date’s 12 Rules

Rule 1. Local Autonomy. Local data is locally owned and managed, even when it is accessible by a remote site. Security, integrity, and storage remain under control of the local system. Local users should not be hampered when their system is part of a distributed system.

Rule 2. No Central Site. There must be no central point of failure or bottleneck. Therefore the following must be distributed: dictionary management, query processing, concurrency control, and recovery control.

Rule 3. Continuous Operation. The system should not require a shutdown to add or remove a node from the network. User applications should not have to change when a new network is added, provided they do not need information from the added node.

Rule 4. Location Independence (or Transparency). A common global user view of the database should be supported so that users need not know where the data is located. This allows data to be moved for performance considerations or in response to storage constraints without affecting the user applications.

Rule 5. Fragmentation Independence (or Transparency). This allows tables to be split among several sites, transparent to user applications. For example, we can store New York employee records at the New York site and Boston employees at the Boston site, but allow the user to refer to the separated data as EMPLOYEES, independent of their locations.

Rule 6. Replication Independence (or Transparency). This allows several copies of a table (or portions thereof) to reside at different nodes. Query performance can be improved since applications can work with a local copy instead of a remote one. Update performance, however, may be degraded due to the additional copies. Availability can improve.

Rule 7. Distributed Query Processing. No central site should perform optimization; but the submitting site, which receives the query from the user, should decide the overall strategy. Other participants perform optimization at their own levels.

Rule 8. Distributed Transaction Processing. The system should process a transaction across multiple databases exactly as if all of the data were local. Each node should be capable of acting as a coordinator for distributed updates, and as a participant in other transactions. Concurrency control must occur at the local level (Rule 2), but there must also be cooperation between individual systems to ensure that a “global deadlock” does not occur.

Rule 9. Hardware Independence. The concept of a single database system must be presented regardless of the underlying hardware used to implement the individual systems.

Rule 10. Operating System Independence. The concept of a single database system must be presented regardless of the underlying operating systems used.

Rule 11. Network Independence. The distributed system must be capable of communicating over a wide variety of networks, often different ones in the same configuration. Standard network protocols must be adhered to.

Rule 12. DBMS Independence (Heterogeneity). The distributed system should be able to be made up of individual sites running different database management systems.
What are the basic issues in the design and implementation of distributed database systems?

* Data Distribution Strategies
  - Fragmentation
  - Data allocation
  - Replication
  - Network data directory distribution

* Query Processing and Optimization

* Distribution Transparency
  - location, fragmentation, replication, update

* Integrity
  - Transaction management
  - Concurrency control
  - Recovery and availability
  - Integrity constraint checking

* Privacy and Security
  - Database administrators

* Data Manipulation Languages
  - SQL is the standard
  - Forms coming into common use
Modified Life Cycle for Data Distribution

IV. Data distribution (allocation). Create a data allocation schema that indicates where each copy of each table is to be stored. The allocation schema defines at which site(s) a table is located. A one-to-one mapping in the allocation schema results in non-redundancy, while a one-to-many mapping defines a redundant distributed database.

Fragmentation.
Fragmentation is the process of taking subsets of rows and/or columns of tables as the smallest unit of data to be sent across the network. Unfortunately, very few commercial systems have implemented this feature, but we include a brief discussion for historical reasons. We could define a fragmentation schema of the database based on dominant applications’ “select” predicates (set of conditions for retrieval specified in a select statement).

Horizontal fragmentation partitions the rows of a global fragment into subsets. A fragment \( r_1 \) is a selection on the global fragment \( r \) using a predicate \( P_i \), its qualification. The reconstruction of \( r \) is obtained by taking the union of all fragments.

Vertical fragmentation subdivides the attributes of the global fragment into groups. The simplest form of vertical fragmentation is decomposition. A unique row-id may be included in each fragment to guarantee that the reconstruction through a join operation is possible.

Mixed fragmentation is the result of the successive application of both fragmentation techniques.

Rules for Fragmentation

1. Fragments are formed by the select predicates associated with dominant database transactions. The predicates specify attribute values used in the conjunctive (AND) and disjunctive (OR) form of select commands, and rows (records) containing the same values form fragments.

2. Fragments must be disjoint and their union must become the whole fragment. Overlapping fragments are too difficult to analyze and implement.

3. The largest fragment is the whole table. The smallest table is a single record. Fragments should be designed to maintain a balance between these extremes.
Data Distribution

Data distribution defines the constraints under which data allocation strategies may operate. They are determined by the system architecture and the available network database management software. The four basic data distribution approaches are:

* **Centralized**
  In the centralized database approach, all the data are located at a single site. The implementation of this approach is simple. However, the size of the database is limited by the availability of the secondary storage at the central site. Furthermore, the database may become unavailable from any of the remote sites when communication failures occur, and the database system fails totally when the central site fails.

* **Partitioned**
  In this approach, the database is partitioned by tables, and each table is assigned to a particular site. This strategy is particularly appropriate where either local secondary storage is limited compared to the database size, the reliability of the centralized database is not sufficient, or operating efficiencies can be gained through the exploitation of the locality of references in database accesses.

* **Replicated**
  The replicated data distribution strategy allocates a complete copy of the database to each site in the network. This completely redundant distributed data strategy is particularly appropriate when reliability is critical, the database is small, and update inefficiency can be tolerated.

* **Hybrid**
  The hybrid data distribution strategy partitions the database into critical and non-critical tables. Non-critical tables need only be stored once, while critical tables are duplicated as desired to meet the required level of reliability.
**Distributed Database Requirements**

**Database Description**

1. Conceptual schema (ER diagram)
2. Transactions: functions and data accessed

**Configuration Information**

1. Sources of data—where data can be located.
2. Sinks of data—where user transactions can be initiated and data transferred.
3. Transaction rate (frequency) and volume (data flow).
4. Processing capability at each site—CPU and I/O capability (speed).
5. Security—data ownership (who can update) and access authorization (who can query) for each transaction.
6. Recovery—estimated frequency and volume of backup operations.
7. Integrity — referential integrity, concurrency control, journaling, overhead, etc.

**Constraints**

1. Network topology: Ethernet, token ring, ATM
2. Processing capability needed at each site.
3. Channel (link) transmission capacity.
4. Availability—related to mean-time-between-failures (MTBF) and mean-time-to-repair (MTTR).

**Objective Functions**

1. Response time as a function of transaction size.
2. Total system cost—communications, local I/O, cpu time, disk space.
The General Data Allocation Problem

**Given**
1. The application system specifications
   - A database global schema.
   - A set of user transactions and their frequencies.
   - Security, i.e. data ownership (who can update) and access authorization (who can query) for each transaction.
   - Recovery, estimated frequency and volume of backup operations.
2. The distributed system configuration and software:
   - The network topology, network channel capacities, and network control mechanism.
   - The site locations and their processing capacity (CPU and I/O processing).
   - Sources of data (where data can be located), and sinks of data (where user transactions can be initiated and data transferred).
   - The transaction processing options and synchronization algorithms.
   - The unit costs for data storage, local site processing, and communications.

**Find**

the allocation of programs and database tables to sites which minimizes C, the total cost:
\[
C = C_{\text{comm}} + C_{\text{proc}} + C_{\text{stor}}
\]

where:
- \(C_{\text{comm}}\) = communications cost for message and data.
- \(C_{\text{proc}}\) = site processing cost (CPU and I/O).
- \(C_{\text{stor}}\) = storage cost for data and programs at sites.

**subject to** possible additional constraints on:

* Transaction response time which is the sum of communication delays, local processing, and all resource queuing delays.

* Transaction availability which is the percentage of time the transaction executes with all components available.
The Non-Redundant “Best Fit” Method

A general rule for data allocation states that data should be placed as close as possible to where it will be used, and then load balancing should be considered to find a global optimization of system performance.

The non-redundant “best fit” method determines the single most likely site to allocate a table based on maximum benefit, where benefit is interpreted to mean total query and update references. In particular, place table $R_i$ at the site $s^*$ where the number of local query and update references by all the user transactions are maximized.

Example

System Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Local Query(Update)</th>
<th>Remote Query(Update)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>300 KBytes</td>
<td>100 ms (150 ms)</td>
<td>500 ms (600 ms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>500 KBytes</td>
<td>150 ms (200 ms)</td>
<td>650 ms (700 ms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>1.0 Mbytes</td>
<td>200 ms (250 ms)</td>
<td>1000 ms (1100 ms)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

User transactions are described in terms of their frequency of occurrence, which tables they access, and whether the accesses are reads or writes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transact</th>
<th>Site(s)</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Table Accesses (Reads,Writes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>S1,S4,S5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R1 (3 reads, 1 write), R2 (2 reads)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>S2,S4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R1 (2 reads), R3 (3 reads, 1 write)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>S3,S5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R2 (3 reads, 1 write), R3 (2 reads)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Security: User transactions T1,T2,T3 can either query or update (no restrictions).

Sources of data: All sites S1 - S5.

Sinks of data (possible locations of transactions): All sites S1 - S5.
Local Reference Computations

Our goal is to compute the number of local references to each table residing at each site, one by one. The site that maximizes the local references to a given table is chosen as the site where that table should reside.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Trans. T1(freq)</th>
<th>T2(freq)</th>
<th>T3(freq)</th>
<th>Total local refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>3 read, 1 write(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 read(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>3 read, 1 write(1)</td>
<td>2 read(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (max.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>3 read, 1 write(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>2 read(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 read, 1 write(3)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>2 read(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>2 read(1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 read, 1 write(3)</td>
<td>14 (max.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 read, 1 write(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (max.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 read(3)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 read, 1 write(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (max.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 read(3)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local references for each table at each of five possible sites.

Allocation Decision

Allocate R1 at site S4.
Allocate R2 at site S5.
Allocate R3 at either site S2 or S4.

Additional information is needed to choose this allocation. For instance, if maximum availability of data is a major consideration, then choose site S2 because site S4 already has table R1 allocated to it and putting R3 there as well would decrease the potential availability of data should site S4 crash.

Advantages
- simple algorithm

Disadvantages
- number of local references may not accurately characterize time or cost (reads and writes given equal weights)
- no insights regarding replication
Relations (tables): R1, R2, R3
Sites: S1, S2, S3, S4, S5
Transactions: T1, T2, T3
The Redundant “All Beneficial Sites” Method

This method can be used for either the redundant or non-redundant case. It selects all sites for a table allocation where the benefit is greater than the cost for one additional copy of that table. You are assumed to start with zero copies.

The benefit for table R at site S is measured by the difference in elapsed time to do a remote query to table R from site S (i.e. no replicated copy available locally) and a local query to table R at site S (i.e. replicated copy available locally). Total benefit for table R at site S is the weighted sum of benefit for each query times the frequency of queries.

The cost for table R at site S is the total elapsed time for all the local updates of table R, plus the total elapsed time for all the remote updates for the given table at that site. Total cost for table R at site S is weighted sum of cost for each update transaction times the frequency of update transactions.

Example Cost/Benefit Computations for “All Beneficial Sites”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Remote updates (local updates)</th>
<th>No. of writes<em>freq</em>time</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>T1 from S4 and S5 (T1 from S1)</td>
<td>2<em>1</em>600 ms +(1<em>1</em>150)</td>
<td>1350 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1 from S1, S4, S5</td>
<td>3<em>1</em>600 ms</td>
<td>1800 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>T1 from S1, S4, S5</td>
<td>3<em>1</em>600 ms</td>
<td>1800 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>T1 from S1 and S5 (T1 from S4)</td>
<td>2<em>1</em>600 ms +(1<em>1</em>150)</td>
<td>1350 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>T1 from S1 and S4 (T1 from S5)</td>
<td>2<em>2</em>600 ms +(1<em>1</em>150)</td>
<td>1350 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>T3 from S3 and S5</td>
<td>2<em>3</em>700 ms</td>
<td>4200 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>T3 from S5 (T3 from S3)</td>
<td>1<em>3</em>700 ms +(1<em>3</em>200)</td>
<td>2700 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>T3 from S3 and S5</td>
<td>2<em>3</em>700 ms</td>
<td>4200 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>T3 from S3 (T3 from S5)</td>
<td>1<em>3</em>700 ms +(1<em>3</em>200)</td>
<td>2700 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>T3 from S3 and S5</td>
<td>2<em>3</em>700 ms</td>
<td>4200 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>T3 from S3 and S5</td>
<td>2<em>3</em>700 ms</td>
<td>4200 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>T3 from S5 (T3 from S3)</td>
<td>1<em>3</em>700 ms +(1<em>3</em>200)</td>
<td>2700 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>T3 from S3 and S5</td>
<td>2<em>3</em>700 ms</td>
<td>4200 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>T3 from S3 (T3 from S5)</td>
<td>1<em>3</em>700 ms +(1<em>3</em>200)</td>
<td>2700 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>T2 from S2 and S4</td>
<td>2<em>2</em>1100</td>
<td>4400 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>T2 from S4 (T2 from S2)</td>
<td>1<em>2</em>1100 ms +(1<em>2</em>250)</td>
<td>2700 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>T2 from S2 and S4</td>
<td>2<em>2</em>1100 ms</td>
<td>4400 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>T2 from S2 (T2 from S4)</td>
<td>1<em>2</em>1100 ms +(1<em>2</em>250)</td>
<td>2700 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>T2 from S2 and S4</td>
<td>2<em>2</em>1100 ms</td>
<td>4400 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Query (read) sources</th>
<th>No. of reads<em>freq</em>(remote-local time)</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>T1 at S1</td>
<td>3<em>1</em>(500 - 100)</td>
<td>1200 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>T2 at S2</td>
<td>2<em>2</em>(500 - 100)</td>
<td>1600 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>T1 and T2 at S4</td>
<td>(3<em>1 + 2</em>2)*(500 - 100)</td>
<td>2800 ms**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>T1 at S5</td>
<td>3<em>1</em>(500 - 100)</td>
<td>1200 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**sites where benefit > cost**

Cost and benefit for each table located at five possible sites.

### Advantages
- simple algorithm
- can be applied to either redundant or non-redundant case
- reads and writes given appropriate weights

### Disadvantages
- global averages of query and update time may not be realistic
- network topology and protocols not taken into account

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R2</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>T1 at S1</th>
<th>2<em>1</em>(650 - 150)</th>
<th>1000 ms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>T3 at S3</td>
<td>3<em>3</em>(650 - 150)</td>
<td>4500 ms**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>T1 at S4</td>
<td>2<em>1</em>(650 - 150)</td>
<td>1000 ms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>T1 and T3 at S5</td>
<td>(2<em>1 + 3</em>3)*(650 - 150)</td>
<td>5500 ms**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R3</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>T2 at S2</td>
<td>3<em>2</em>(1000 - 200)</td>
<td>4800 ms**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>T3 at S3</td>
<td>2<em>3</em>(1000 - 200)</td>
<td>4800 ms**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>T2 at S4</td>
<td>3<em>2</em>(1000 - 200)</td>
<td>4800 ms**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>T3 at S5</td>
<td>2<em>3</em>(1000 - 200)</td>
<td>4800 ms**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VII. Data Warehousing, OLAP, and Data Mining

Data warehouse – a large repository of historical data that can be integrated for decision support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OLTP</th>
<th>Data Warehouse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transaction oriented</td>
<td>Subject oriented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thousands of users</td>
<td>Few users (typically under 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small (MB up to several GB)</td>
<td>Large (100s of GB up to several TB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current data</td>
<td>Historical data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized data (many tables, few columns per table)</td>
<td>Denormalized data (few tables, many columns per table)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous updates</td>
<td>Batch updates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple to complex queries</td>
<td>Usually very complex queries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.1 Comparison between OLTP and Data Warehouse databases
Core Requirements for Data Warehousing

1. DWs are organized around subject areas.

2. DWs should have some integration capability.

3. The data is considered to be nonvolatile and should be mass loaded.

4. Data tends to exist at multiple levels of granularity.

5. The DW should be flexible enough to meet changing requirements rapidly.

6. The DW should have a capability for rewriting history, that is, allowing “what-if” analysis.

7. A usable DW user interface should be selected.

8. Data should be either centralized or distributed physically.
Data Warehouse Life Cycle

I. Requirements analysis and specification

1.1 Analyze the end-user requirements and develop a requirements specification. This step follows the practice used by conventional relational databases (see Chapter 1).

1.2 Define the DW architecture and do some initial capacity planning for servers and tools. Integrate the servers, storage elements, and client tools.

1.3 Use enterprise data modeling

II. Logical database design

Design the enterprise DW schema and views.

Star schema is the most often used format — good performance, ease of use

Fact table (one) – very large table containing numeric and/or non numeric attributes, including the primary keys from the dimension tables; similar to intersection tables between entities with many-to-many relationships

Dimension tables (several) - smaller tables containing mostly non numeric attributes; similar to relational tables based on entities

Snowflake schema – similar to star schema, except dimension tables are normalized

Fact table family (constellation) – multiple fact tables interact with dimension tables
Figure 9.4  Star schema for the "order" data warehouse
III. Physical database design

3.1 Indexing (access methods)

join indexes – used to map dimension tables to the fact table efficiently

bit map indexes – used for low selectivity queries

3.2 View materialization – associated with aggregation of data by one or more dimensions such as time or location

3.3 Partitioning – horizontal or vertical subsets of tables to enhance performance
**reg-name bit maps**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>northwest</th>
<th></th>
<th>farwest</th>
<th></th>
<th>southwest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**sales-id bit maps**

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>412</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>415</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**reg-name = 'southwest' AND sales-id = 412**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>412</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>southwest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**intersection bit map**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESULT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 9.7 Bit maps and query processing*

**IV. Data distribution**

Define data placement, partitioning, and replication.

**V. Database implementation, monitoring, and modification**

5.1 Connect the data sources using gateways, ODBC drivers, etc.

5.2 Design and implement scripts for data extraction, cleaning, transformation, load, and refresh.
5.3 Populate the repository with the schema and view definitions, scripts, and other metadata.

5.4 Design and implement end-user applications. Rollout the DW and applications.
On-Line Analytical Processing (OLAP)

Common Features of Multidimensional Databases (MDD)
1. Dimensions — perspectives or entities about the real world
2. Hypercubes — basic structure for multidimensional databases
3. Hierarchies — certain dimensions are hierarchical in nature
4. Formulas — derived data values can be defined by formulas (sum, average, etc.)
5. Links – links are needed to connect hypercubes and their data sources

OLAP Logical Design
Step 1 – Analyze the end-user requirements and environment
Step 2 – Define cubes, dimensions, hierarchies, and links (high level)
Step 3 – Define dimension members (low level)
Step 4 – Define aggregations and other formulas (derived data)

Aggregation Issues
1. Which data to aggregate
2. How to store aggregate data
3. When to pre-aggregate derived data
   - Pre-aggregate nothing
   - Pre-aggregate nothing, but save the materialized view (dynamic)
   - Pre-aggregate everything (static)
   - Pre-aggregate selectively, based on known statistics
Example of aggregation: 3-dimensional problem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Time-period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levels</td>
<td>all-products</td>
<td>world-wide</td>
<td>10-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>product-type</td>
<td>country</td>
<td>5-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>state</td>
<td>3-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>product-name</td>
<td>city</td>
<td>year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>quarter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>store</td>
<td>month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of aggregate levels: 2 4 7

Potential variables: quantity sold, quota, gross revenue
Potential further dimensions: customer, salesperson, type-of-sale

Subset of the 3-dimensional problem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Time-period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levels</td>
<td>product-type</td>
<td>state</td>
<td>month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>product-name</td>
<td>store</td>
<td>day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of aggregate levels: 1 1 1

Statistics on aggregates and hierarchies of aggregates

Number of dimensions = d = 3
Number of possible views = 2d - 1 = 7

1. product
2. region
3. time-period
4. product, region
5. product, time-period
6. region, time-period
7. product, region, time-period

Number of aggregate levels for dimension i = n_i

Number of one-way aggregates

= \sum_{i=1,d} n_i = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3 for the subset
= \sum_{i=1,d} n_i = 2 + 4 + 7 = 13 for the full set
Number of two-way aggregates
\[ = \sum_{i=1,d-1} \sum_{j>i,d} n_i n_j = 1*1 + 1*1 + 1*1 = 3 \text{ for the subset} \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1,d-1} \sum_{j>i,d} n_i n_j = 2*4 + 2*7 + 4*7 = 50 \text{ for the full set} \]

Number of three-way aggregates
\[ = \sum_{i=1,d-2} \sum_{j>i,d-1} \sum_{k>j,d} n_i n_j n_k = 1*1*1 = 1 \text{ for the subset} \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1,d-2} \sum_{j>i,d-1} \sum_{k>j,d} n_i n_j n_k = 2*4*7 = 56 \text{ for the full set} \]

Number of d-way aggregates (in general)
\[ = n_1 n_2 n_3 \ldots \ldots \ldots n_d \]

Total number of aggregates
\[ = 3 + 3 + 1 = 7 \text{ for the subset} \]
\[ = 12 + 50 + 56 = 118 \text{ for the full set} \]

Subset configuration

One-way aggregates
- Product-type totals by store by day
- State totals by product-name by day
- Monthly totals by product-name by store

Two-way aggregates
- Product-type totals by state totals by day
- Product-type totals by month totals by store
- State totals by monthly totals by product-name

Three-way aggregates
- Product-type totals by monthly totals by state totals
### Number of Records in Aggregates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Time-period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levels</td>
<td>all-products = 1</td>
<td>world-wide = 1</td>
<td>10-year = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>product-type = 210</td>
<td>country = 100</td>
<td>5-year = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>product-name = 4,050</td>
<td>state = 4,500</td>
<td>3-year = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>city = 15,000</td>
<td>year = 1*10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>store = 25,000</td>
<td>quarter = 4*10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>month = 12*10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>week = 52*10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>day = 365*10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of records (rows) in each 1-way aggregate = individual cell = \( n_{r_{ij}} \)
for level \( i \) and dimension \( j \)

Number of records (rows) in each 2-way aggregate = \( n_{r_{ij}} n_{r_{km}} \)
for levels \( i,k \) and dimensions \( j,m \)

Number of records (rows) in each \( d \)-way aggregate = \( n_{r_{i1}} n_{r_{k2}} \ldots n_{r_{nd}} \)
for levels \( i,k,\ldots,n \) and dimensions \( 1,2,\ldots,d \)

#### Subset configuration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full set configuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smallest 3-way</td>
<td>1<em>1</em>1 = 1 record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregate fact table</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210<em>4500</em>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= 113,400,000 records</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest 3-way</td>
<td>210<em>15000</em>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggregate fact table</td>
<td>1,638,000,000 records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210<em>4500</em>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= 113,400,000 records</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fact records in the data warehouse (including nulls)</td>
<td>4050<em>25000</em>3650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= 369,562,500,000</td>
<td>4050<em>25000</em>3650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= 369,562,500,000</td>
<td>= 369,562,500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 9.3 Sales-summary as an example of aggregation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time-period</th>
<th>region</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 1998</td>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Ford-Mustang</td>
<td>quantity-sold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 1998</td>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>Chrysler-Eagle</td>
<td>total-revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1998</td>
<td>North-central</td>
<td>GM-Camero</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1998</td>
<td>South-central</td>
<td>Toyota-Camry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1998</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1998</td>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st-qtr 1998</td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd-qtr 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd-qtr 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>year 1997</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>year 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Linear sequence of sample members from each of four dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region: Southwest</th>
<th>Quantity Sold</th>
<th>Total Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 1998</td>
<td>Ford-Mustang</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chrysler-Eagle</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GM-Camero</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toyota-Camry</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 1998</td>
<td>Ford-Mustang</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chrysler-Eagle</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GM-Camero</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toyota-Camry</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) 2-dimensional layout of four dimensions of data

Figure 9.9 Display of multidimensional sales data
Report for January 1998

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Southwest</th>
<th>Northwest</th>
<th>Total of regions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ford-Mustang</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysler-Berlitz</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-Camaro</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyota-Camry</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of products</td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>2462</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Pure operations computed the same in any order (sums)

Report for January 1998, Southwest region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Quote</th>
<th>Quantity-sold</th>
<th>Quantity-sold/quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ford-Mustang</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>1.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysler-Berlitz</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>0.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-Camaro</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>1.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyota-Camry</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Total               | 1200  | 1222          | Ratio of sums = 1.016
                                                                 Sum of ratios = 4.017

(b) Mixed sums and ratios give inconsistent results

Figure 9.10  Examples of mixing formulas for derived data values
Data Mining

Definition – data mining is the activity of sifting through large files and databases to discover useful, nonobvious, and often unexpected trends and relationships

The Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD) Process

1. Data selection and cleaning
2. Data transformation and reduction
3. Data mining
4. Interpretation and evaluation
5. Taking action on the discovery

Data Mining Methods

1. Predictive modeling
2. Database segmentation
3. Data summarization and link analysis
4. Dependency analysis or modeling
5. Change and deviation analysis
6. Optimization searching
Figure 9.11  The KDD Process
Figure 10.1 Advanced Database Architecture