## 48

## EECS 487: Interactive Computer Graphics

Lecture 39:

- (B-spline) Subdivision and surfaces


## Subdivision Surfaces

Generate smooth surfaces from a given polygonal mesh (polyhedron) with guaranteed continuity

- can handle meshes of arbitrary topology
- implementation and application is straightforward and intuitive
- analysis of continuity is mathematically involved

Originally extensions of $B$-spline surfaces

- Doo-Sabin scheme produces quadratic $B$-spline surfaces
- Catmull-Clark scheme produces cubic B-spline surfaces
- Loop subdivision generalizes quartic box-spline


## Subdivision Surfaces

How do you render a smooth surface?

- start with a polygonal control mesh
- cut corners to smooth: recursively subdivide into larger and larger number of polygons by adding new vertices/faces
- the limit surface is smooth
- mesh representation must enable efficient implementation of subdivision rules



## Subdivision Concepts

Start with initial, discrete representation - control points, line segments (for curves), polygons (for surfaces)

Repeated application of subdivision rules to make smoother surface

- topological splitting/refinement: how to add vertices
- smoothing/averaging: where to place vertices
- special treatment of extraordinary vertices and surface boundaries

Limit surface (or curve)

- the "mathematical" result after infinite refinements


## Smoothing

A set of scalars $m_{i 1} 1 \leq i \leq n$, applied to a set of $n$ vertices $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ to generate a new vertex $\mathbf{w}$ :

$$
\mathbf{w}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}}
$$



## Ordinary and Extraordinary Vertices

Valence/degree of a vertex: number of edges incident to vertex

- most schemes have an "ideal" valence for which the limit surface converges to a spline surface, except at extraordinary vertices


Extraordinary vertices have different valence than ordinary vertices

- subdividing a mesh does not add nor remove extraordinary vertices
- make up rules for extraordinary vertices to keep the surface "smooth", though at lower degree of continuity



## Interior and Boundary Vertices

Interior vertices:

- for a closed polyhedron all vertices are interior vertices
- an epsilon neighborhood is
homeomorphic to a closed disk


Boundary vertices:

- vertices that make up the "skirt" of a polyhedron
- an edge linking 2 boundary vertices is always shared by one face of the
 polyhedron


## Subdivision Curves

Start with a piecewise linear curve
Chaikin's algorithm (1974):

- refinement: insert new edge vertex midpoint on each edge
- smoothing: average each vertex with the clockwise neighbor



## Chaikin's Curve Subdivision

Let initial vertices on control polygon be $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ superscript, Vertices at refinement level $j$ are $\mathbf{v}_{i}, \overleftarrow{\text { thus } \mathbf{v}_{i}=\mathbf{v}_{i}{ }^{0}, ~}$

1. refinement: insert new midpoint ( $\mathrm{m}_{i}^{j+1}$ ) vertex on each edge
2. smoothing: average each vertex with the clockwise neighbor to create new vertex point ( $\mathbf{v}_{2 i}^{j+1}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v}_{2 i}^{j+1} & =\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}_{i}^{j+1} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}\right) \\
& =\frac{3}{4} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}+\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. averaging mask: (31)
(also written as ( $01 / 21 / 2$ )
for $0 \mathrm{~m}_{i-1}^{\prime+1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}_{i}^{\prime+1}$ )
4. continue on next slide...


## Chaikin's Curve Subdivision

Resulting curve is a uniform quadratic $B$-spline


## Chaikin's Curve Subdivision

4. apply averaging masks: replace each vertex $\mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}$ with 2 vertices using the averaging masks (3 1) and (13):

5. connect all new vertex points to form refined curve

## Doo-Sabin Subdivision

Introduces a new vertex for each face at the midpoint between an old vertex and face centroid


Subdivision rules create a dual of the control net: a new face replaces each face, edge, and vertex of the control net


## Doo-Sabin Subdivision

A generalization of quadratic curve subdivision (Chaikin's algorithm) to surfaces with arbitrary topology


## Cubic B-spline Curve Subdivision

1. For each edge compute a new edge point using the averaging mask (11): $\mathbf{e}_{2 i}^{j+1}=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}$
2. Compute new vertex points using the mask (16 1): $\mathbf{v}_{2 i+1}^{j+1}=\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}+\frac{6}{8} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}+\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{v}_{i+2}^{j}$
3. Connect the new edge- and vertex points; resulting curve is a uniform cubic B -spline


## Doo-Sabin Subdivision

For regular quad meshes, resulting surface is a biquadratic $B$-spline surface


## Cubic B-spline Curve Subdivision

Vertex points are midpoint between
(midpoints between
(old vertices and new edge points))
$\mathbf{v}_{2 i+1}^{j+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_{2 i}^{j+1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}\right)$
$+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_{2 i+1}^{j+1}\right)$
$=\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{e}_{2 i}^{j+1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}+\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{e}_{2 i+1}^{j+1}$
$=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}$
$+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{i+2}^{j}\right)$


$$
=\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}+\frac{6}{8} \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^{j}+\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{v}_{i+2}^{j}
$$

averaging mask (161) of only old vertices, or also given as ( $1 / 41 / 21 / 4$ )

## Subdivision $\rightarrow$ New Control Points


[Hart]

Uniform B-spline Patch Subdivision

$$
\mathbf{s}(u, v)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & u & u^{2} & u^{3}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{B}_{S} \mathbf{P} B_{S}^{T}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & v & v^{2} & v^{3}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

orthographic top-down view
3D perspective view

## Subdivision Reparameterized

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{s}_{11}(u, v)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & u & u^{2} & u^{3}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{B}_{S} \mathbb{P}_{11} \mathbf{B}_{S}^{T}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & v & v^{2} & v^{3}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
\mathbb{P}_{11}=\mathbf{H}_{S 1} \mathbf{P H}_{S 1}^{T}
\end{array}
$$

orthographic top-down view
3D perspective view


## Limit of Subdivision

Control mesh approaches surface

New Control Points

in this parametric view these knot points are collocated; the 3D control points are not

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}_{11}=\mathbf{H}_{S 1} \mathbf{P H}_{S 1}^{T} \\
& \mathbf{P}_{12}=\mathbf{H}_{S 1} \mathbf{P H}_{S 2}^{T} \\
& \mathbf{P}_{22}=\mathbf{H}_{S 2} \mathbf{P H}_{S 2}^{T} \\
& \mathbf{P}_{21}=\mathbf{H}_{S 2} \mathbf{P H}_{S 1}^{T} \\
& \mathbf{H}_{S 1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{8} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{8}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{H}_{S 2}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{8} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{8} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## New Control Points



## Subdivision $\rightarrow$ New Control Points

Subdivision as a matrix $\mathbf{H}_{S}$ of weights $w$ :

- $\mathbf{H}_{S}$ is very sparse
power, not
- limit surface: $\mathbf{P}^{\infty}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathbf{H}_{S}\right)^{j} \overleftarrow{\mathbf{P}} \quad$ superscript
$\mathbf{P}^{j+1}=\mathbf{H}_{S} \mathbf{P}^{j} \quad$ •allows for analysis: curvature, limit surface
$\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{j+1}\right] \quad \bullet$ not for implementation!
$\left[\begin{array}{cccc}w_{00} & w_{01} & \cdots & 0 \\ w_{10} & w_{11} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & w_{n k}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{p}_{0}^{j} \\ \mathbf{p}_{1}^{j} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{p}_{k}^{j}\end{array}\right.$
$\mathbf{H}_{S}: 25 \times 16$ subdivision matrix
$\mathbf{P}^{j}$ : vector of coarse control points, length 16
$\mathbf{P}^{j+1}$ : vector of coarse control points, length 25


## New Control Points

Face point:

$$
\mathbf{f}^{j+1}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{1}^{j+1}+\mathrm{m}_{2}^{j+1}}{2}=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{1}^{j}+\mathbf{v}_{2}^{j}+\mathbf{v}_{3}^{j}+\mathbf{v}_{4}^{j}}{4}
$$

Midpoint:

$$
\mathrm{m}_{1}^{j+1}=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{1}^{j}+\mathbf{v}_{2}^{j}}{2}
$$

Edge point:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Vertex Points } \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{W}_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{2}+\mathrm{v}_{0}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{v}_{0}+\mathrm{m}_{4}}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~m}_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~m}_{4} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{w}_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{w}_{0}+\mathrm{e}_{2}\right)\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\mathbf{f}_{1}+\mathbf{f}_{2}+\mathrm{v}_{0}+\mathrm{v}_{1}}{4}+\frac{\mathbf{f}_{3}+\mathbf{f}_{4}+\mathrm{v}_{0}+\mathrm{v}_{2}}{4}+2 \mathbf{w}_{1}\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\mathbf{f}_{1}+\mathbf{f}_{2}+\mathbf{f}_{3}+\mathbf{f}_{4}}{4}+\frac{2 \mathrm{v}_{0}+\mathrm{v}_{1}+\mathrm{v}_{2}}{4}+\frac{2}{4}\left(2 \mathrm{v}_{0}+\mathrm{m}_{2}+\mathrm{m}_{4}\right)\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{16}\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}+\mathbf{f}_{2}+\mathbf{f}_{3}+\mathbf{f}_{4}+2\left(\frac{\mathrm{v}_{0}+\mathrm{v}_{1}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{v}_{0}+\mathrm{v}_{2}}{2}\right)+4 \mathrm{v}_{0}+2 \mathrm{~m}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~m}_{4}\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{16}\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}+\mathbf{f}_{2}+\mathbf{f}_{3}+\mathbf{f}_{4}+2 \mathrm{~m}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~m}_{3}+2 \mathrm{~m}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~m}_{4}+4 \mathrm{v}_{0}\right) \\
& \mathbf{v}^{j+1}=\frac{\mathbf{v}^{j}+\frac{1}{n}\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}^{j+1}+\mathbf{f}_{2}^{j+1}+\mathbf{f}_{3}^{j+1}+\mathbf{f}_{4}^{j+1}\right)+\frac{2}{n}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1}^{j+1}+\mathrm{m}_{2}^{j+1}+\mathrm{m}_{3}^{j+1}+\mathrm{m}_{4}^{j+1}\right)}{n} \\
&=\frac{2 \mathbf{v}^{j}+\frac{1}{n}\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}^{j+1}+\mathbf{f}_{2}^{j+1}+\mathbf{f}_{3}^{j+1}+\mathbf{f}_{4}^{j+1}\right)+\frac{1}{n}\left(\mathbf{v}_{1}^{j}+\mathbf{v}_{2}^{j}+\mathbf{v}_{3}^{j}+\mathbf{v}_{4}^{j}\right)}{n} \\
& \text { [o'Brien, Hart] }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Catmull-Clark Subdivision (1978)

Subdivision level $j+1$ :

- face point, $m$ \# of face vertices

$$
\mathbf{f}^{j+1}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}
$$

- edge point

$$
\mathrm{e}^{j+1}=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{1}^{j}+\mathbf{v}_{2}^{j}+\mathbf{f}_{1}^{j+1}+\mathbf{f}_{2}^{j+1}}{4}
$$

$\rightarrow$ moved vertex point

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v}^{j+1} & =\frac{(n-3)}{n} \mathbf{v}^{j}+\frac{2}{n} \overline{\mathrm{~m}^{j+1}}+\frac{1}{n} \overline{\mathbf{f}^{j+1}} \\
& =\frac{(n-2)}{n} \mathbf{v}^{j}+\frac{1}{n} \overline{\mathbf{v}^{j}}+\frac{1}{n} \overline{\mathbf{f}^{j+1}}, n=4
\end{aligned}
$$


$\overline{\mathrm{m}}$ : average of adjacent vertices $\overline{\mathbf{f}}$ : average of adjacent face points $n$ : vertex valence

## Catmull-Clark Subdivision Rules

Subdivision level $j+1$ :

- face point: for each face, add a new vertex at its centroid that is the average of the surrounding $m$ vertices:

$$
\mathbf{f}^{j+1}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}
$$

- edge point: for each edge, add a new edge point which is the average of the 2 vertices and the 2 face points adjacent to the edge:

$$
\mathrm{e}^{j+1}=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{1}^{j}+\mathrm{v}_{2}^{j}+\mathbf{f}_{1}^{j+1}+\mathbf{f}_{2}^{j+1}}{4}
$$

- moved vertex point: vertex moved to the weighted average between the original position, the $n$ midpoints (not edge) points and the $n$ face points surrounding the vertex ( $n$ : vertex valence, $=4$ ): $\quad \mathbf{v}^{j+1}=\frac{n-2}{n} \mathbf{v}^{j}+\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{j}+\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{f}_{i}^{j+1}$


## Catmull-Clark Subdivision

Works with arbitrary polygonal mesh: after $1^{\text {st }}$ round of subdivision,

- all faces are quads
- the number of extraordinary points remains constant
- distances between them remain constant: as faces become smaller, there are more faces between them



## Catmull-Clark Subdivision

Subdivision rules are chosen to improve continuity
Smoothness of limit surface:

- $C^{2}$ almost everywhere
- $C^{1}$ at extraordinary vertices
- strictly generalize uniform tensor-product bicubic B-splines: works with existing tools for tensor-product B-splines
- generalization of cubic B-splines subdivision to irregular patch:

[CatmullClark]


## Catmull-Clark Subdivision

Any mesh can be subdivided

- cut holes, create unusual topology, stitch pieces together
- no matter how complicated the mesh, it will lead to a smooth surface!

Extensions: localized subdivision rules

- creases: NURBS requires use of trim curves; for subdivision, just modify the subdivision mask
- edge preservation: hard edges
- adaptive subdivision


## Catmull-Clark Subdivision

Relationship to control mesh:

- does not interpolate control mesh
- within convex hull

Subdivision rules creates a primal (not dual) of the control net

Quads are often better than triangles to represent real objects that are often symmetric, e.g., tube-like surfaces: arms, legs, fingers


## Edge Preservation

To get sharpness and creases, define new subdivision rules for "creased" edges and vertices

- crease: a smooth curve with continuity $G^{0}$ on the surface (2 sharp edges)
- corner: a vertex where $\geq 3$ sharp edges meet
- dart: a vertex where a crease ends and smoothly blends into the surface (1 sharp edge)

compared to:



## Sharp Edges

$j=0 \quad j=4$
Idea: edges with a sharpness $j$ are subdivided using sharp rules for the first $j$ iterations, and then smoothly, as usual, to the limit surface

- tag edges as sharp or not sharp: newly created edges are assigned a sharpness of $j-1$
- edges with $j=0$ are not sharp
- edges with $j>0$ are sharp

During subdivision, if an edge is not-sharp use normal smooth subdivision rules; if an edge is sharp, use sharp subdivision rules

Approximating subdivision algorithm can be made interpolating

## Sharp Rules

Subdivision level $j+1$ :

- face point unchanged
- edge point

$$
\mathbf{e}^{j+1}=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{1}^{j}+\mathbf{v}_{2}^{j}}{2}
$$

$\rightarrow$ moved vertex

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \substack{\text { \# of adjacent } \\
\text { sharp edges } \\
\text { corner: } \\
>2 \\
\mathbf{v}^{j+1}=\mathbf{v}^{j} \\
\text { crease: } \\
\left(\left(\mathrm{v}, \mathbf{v}_{a}\right) \text { and }\left(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{v}_{b}\right)\right)}
\end{aligned} \mathbf{v}^{j+1}=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{a}^{j}+6 \mathbf{v}^{j}+\mathbf{v}_{b}^{j}}{8}
$$

dart: 1 unchanged
a

Compare non-sharp rules:
$\mathbf{f}^{j+1}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{v}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{e}^{j+1}=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{1}^{j}+\mathrm{v}_{2}^{j}+\mathbf{f}_{1}^{j+1}+\mathbf{f}_{2}^{j+1}}{4}$
$\mathrm{v}^{j+1}=\frac{n-2}{n} \mathrm{v}^{j}+\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{v}_{i}+\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{f}_{i}^{+1}$


## Subdivision Surfaces

Scheme classification by:

- interpolating or approximating
- mesh type: quads, triangles, hex, ..., combination
- subdivision by face split (primal) or vertex split (dual)
- B-spline order of limit surface
- smoothness


Algorithms:

| Doo-Sabin | '78 | approximate | $C^{1}$ | quad | dual |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Catmull-Clark | '78 | approximate | $C^{2}$ | quad | primal |
| Loop | '87 | approximate | $C^{2}$ | triad | primal |
| DLG midpoint | '87 | approximate | $C^{2}$ | quad | dual |
| Butterfly (mod) | '90, '96 | interpolate | $C^{1}$ | triad | primal |
| Kobbelt | '96 | interpolate | $C^{1}$ | quad | primal |
| $\sqrt{3}$ | , 00 | approximate | $C^{2}$ | triad | dual |

## Loop Subdivision

Named after Charles Loop
Start with a triangular mesh
Resulting surface is a generalization of three-direction quartic box-spline

Subdivision rules:


- refinement: break edges at midpoint, for both faces
- smoothing: different averaging masks for new ("odd") and old ("even") vertices



## Loop Subdivision Masks

New ("odd") vertices are placed based on weighted average of old vertices on both faces

Old ("even") vertices are moved based on surrounding neighbors
Odd mask:
Even mask:


## Loop Subdivision Masks

For ordinary vertices inside mesh:


For extraordinary vertices and boundaries:


## Loop Subdivision

## Loop Subdivision

Approximating subdivision

- does not interpolate the control mesh
- within convex hull
- in the limit a smooth surface
- $C^{2}$ almost everywhere
- $C^{1}$ at extraordinary vertices (valence $\neq 6$ )



## $\sqrt{ } 3$ Subdivision Scheme

Starts with a triangle mesh
Number of faces triples per iteration

- slower growth rate

Gives finer control over polygon count

- better for adaptive subdivision



## Balanced Subdivision

Crack avoidance: replace incompatible coarse triangles with triangle fan


Balanced subdivision: neighboring subdivision levels must not differ by more than one


## Adaptive Subdivision

Not all regions of a model must be subdivided to the same resolution - may be due to limited triangle budget

Stop subdivision at different levels across the surface, depending on:

- local surface curvature
- projected screen size of triangles
- view dependence
- distance from viewer
- silhouettes
- in view frustum
- careful to avoid "cracks"!


10,072 triangles


228,654 triangles

## Subdivision Surfaces

Characteristics and advantages:

- one surface, not a patchwork (collection of patches)
- no seams, can deform/animate geometry without cracks
- guaranteed continuity (smooth at boundaries)
- arbitrary control mesh, not limited to quads
- can make surfaces with arbitrary topology or connectivity
- simple, only need subdivision rule
- adaptive subdivision: areas of surface with
higher curvature can be more finely subdivided
- multiresolution: LoD, scalable
- local support: only look at nearby vertices
- numerical stability, well-behaved meshes
- affine invariance
- efficient rendering


## Subdivision Surfaces

Disadvantages:

- non-intuitive specification: it's a procedural definition
- non-parametric, not implicit: hard to parameterize
- no global $(u, v)$ parameters
- hard to compute intersections
- tricky at special vertices (those with more or less than 6 neighbors in a triangular mesh)


## Parametric vs. Subdivision Surfaces

Parametric B-splines

- smooth
- must be tessellated
- sampling issues
- triangle size issue
- cracking concern
- have uniform resolution
- detail must be global
- require regular grid
- complex topology hard
- no corners, holes
- trimming hard
- stitching hard
- creases and sharp edges hard
- $(u, v)$ parameterization
- but not controllable

Subdivision

- limit surfaces are smooth
- gives meshes
- subdivide as needed
- always connected
- get as many poly as you need
- put details where needed
- detail is multiresolution
- works with arbitrary mesh
- any topology can be handled
- easy to make corners, holes
- trimming easy
- stitching easy
- creases and sharp edges easy
- $(u, v)$ parameterization
- by subdivision of points
- controllable

