Energy and Bandwidth Efficiency in Wireless Networks

> Changhun Bae Wayne Stark University of Michigan

Outline

- Introduction/Background
- Device/Physical Layer/Network Layer Models
- Performance Measure
- Numerical Results



Energy-Bandwidth Efficiency

- Shannon showed there is a fundamental tradeoff between energy efficiency and bandwidth efficiency for reliable communications
- R: Data rate (bits/second)
- P: Power (Joules/sec)
- N_0 : Noise power spectral density (Watts/Hz)
- W: Bandwidth (Hz)

$$R < W \log_2(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W})$$

Shannon's Result

$$E_b = P/R$$

 $R/W < \log_2(1 + \frac{E_b}{N_0}\frac{R}{W})$

$$\frac{E_b}{N_0} > \frac{2^{R/W} - 1}{R/W}$$

R/W:Bandwidth efficiency (bits/second/Hz) E_b/N_0 :Received energy per informationbit-to-noise power spectral density ratio(dB)

Shannon's Result for Binary Input (BPSK)

•When the signal alphabet is restricted to binary the capacity changes.

$$R/W < 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(y-\beta)^2/2} \log_2(1 + e^{-2y\beta}) dy$$

$$\beta = \frac{E_b}{N_0} \frac{R}{W}$$

Energy-Bandwidth efficiency tradeoff



Energy-Bandwidth Efficiency Tradeoff



Shannon's Assumption

- Linear amplifier (Ideal, 100% efficient)
- Point-to-point link
- No receiver processing energy
- Infinite delay

Relaxed Assumptions

- Nonlinear amplifier (energy efficiency dependent of drive level)
- Multihop network (take into account propagation)
- Receiver processing energy

Power Amplifier





Power Amplifier

- Power amplifier is most energy efficient when driven into saturation (large output power).
- Power amplifier is least energy efficient at low input drive levels (low output power)

Propagation Characteristics



 $P_r = P_t \left(\frac{\lambda_c}{4\pi d}\right)^2 4G_t G_r \sin^2($

 $\frac{P_t G_t G_r h_t^2 h_r^2}{d_e^4}$

Propagation Characteristics

Amount of energy necessary to go a distance d_e increases as d_e⁴.



Performance Measure

Without Spatial Reuse

 Energy Efficiency
 Bandwidth Efficiency
 Transport Efficiency

Goal

 We want to find the relation between energy consumption and bandwidth efficiency for a network taking into account amplifier characteristics, propagation characteristics, receiver processing energy.



 We want to optimize over amplifier drive level and the distance between nodes in routing packets from the source to the destination.

An Illustrative Example:



RX Processing Energy

- Assume a fixed power consumption of receiver (P_{rp}) .
- Lower rate codes => receiver is on for a longer period of time for a given number of information bits.
- Large number of hops => large amount of receiver energy consumption.

System Model

- Assumptions
- Power Amplifier Model
- Signal Attenuation Model

Power Amplifier Model

- Linear at low input power levels.
- Saturation at high power levels
- Constant amount of
 power turned into heat
- P_h=35mW, P_{sat}=75mW,
- ρ=50 (17 dB), P₁=1.5mW



Power Amplifier Model

Radiated Power

$$f_o(P_{in}) = \begin{cases} \rho P_{in}, & 0 \le P_{in} \le P_1 \\ P_{sat}, & P_1 < P_{in} \le P_{max} \end{cases}$$

Consumed Power

$$f_c(P_{in}) = f_o(P_{in}) + P_h$$

Propagation Model

Inverse power law

$$P_r = \beta \frac{P_o}{d^{\eta}}$$

For numerical results β =1, η =4.

Energy Consumption

- Encoder K information bits mapped into N coded bits, rate R=K/N.
- k hops between transmitter and receiver
- E_p energy per coded bit

$$E_t = k(E_{tx,b} + E_{rcvr,b})$$
$$= \frac{kN(f_c(P_{in})T_s + E_p)}{K}$$

Bandwidth Efficiency

- B_{eff}= expected number of correctly decoded (end-to-end) bits per channel use.
- $P_s(R,E_b/N_0)$ = probability of packet success per hop which depends on the code rate *R* and the received SNR.

$$B_{\text{eff}} = R[P_s(R, \frac{E_b}{N_0})]^k$$

Physical Layer Models

Threshold Model

$$P_s(R, \frac{E_b}{N_0}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \frac{E_b}{N_0} < \Gamma \\ 1, & \frac{E_b}{N_0} > \Gamma \end{cases}$$

- Coded Model
- Uncoded Model

•
$$P_e = 1 - P_s$$

Physical Layer Model (AWGN)



Transport Efficiency

- Often it is desirable to have a single measure of a network performance.
- A measure of performance capturing energy use and bandwidth efficiency is the transport efficiency.

$$\mu(k, R, P_{in}) = \frac{B_{eff}}{E_t}$$

- Transport efficiency is the bits/second/Hz possible per unit energy.
- The transport efficiency depends on the number of hops, the code rate and the operation of the amplifier.

Energy-Bandwidth Efficiency (Single Hop)

 E_p =.25µJ/symbol @50Ksymbols per second corresponds to 125 mWatts receiver processing power



AWGN Capacity Model, D = 2000(m), number of hops=1

Energy-Bandwidth Efficiency (multi-hop)



Transport Efficiency vs. Distance





Conclusion Without Spatial Reuse

 Transport efficiency decreases only inverse linear with distance for any power propagation law, amplifier characteristic, coding/modulation technique.

$$\max_{k,P_{in},R}\mu(k,R,P_{in})=rac{\delta}{d_e}$$

- The constant depends on the coding, the propagation model, the amplifier model.
- Same results holds for any functional dependence of error probability on SNR, any amplifier model, propagation characteristics.





Spatial Reuse

• *L*=minimum hop separation for concurrent transmissions.

• Ω =number of simultaneous transmissions.

$$\Omega pprox rac{k}{L}$$

• Accounting for interference from two other transmissions with L=3 yields

$$SINR = \frac{\beta P_{out} T_s d^{-\eta}}{N_0 + \beta P_{out} T_s [(2d)^{-\eta} + (4d)^{-\eta}]}$$

Energy-Bandwidth Efficiency



Numerical Result



Transport Efficiency vs. Distance



Optimization Parameters with Spatial Reuse



Comparison of Optimum Number of Hops



Conclusions

- The tradeoff between energy and bandwidth efficiency for wireless networks has been quantified incorporating amplifier model inefficiency, propagation and network routing.
- Results indicate relatively short distances, high rate coding are desirable.
- Analysis technique easily applicable to fading and other modulation techniques as well as to specific codes.
- Results might change (lower code rates) if time/frequency selective fading is included but the fundamental relationship with distance does not change.

Conclusions

- There are many extensions necessary

 Include MAC layer
 - Include spatial distribution of nodes as opposed to infinite density of node
 - Include mobility (energy to update routing path)
 - Find practical ways to achieve performance limits.