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Classification using Intersection Kernel Support Vector Machines is Efficient

• For Non-Linear **Histogram Intersection Kernels**
  – Can drastically reduce computational cost
  – Naively $O(nm)$
  – Provide exact solution in $O(n \log m)$
  – Provide approximate solution on $O(n)$ (*same as linear SVM*)

• Up to **2000x** faster on data set with large number of support vectors

$$n = \text{dimension feature vectors}$$

$$m = \text{support vectors}$$
Review of Support Vector Machines

- Binary Case

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Input space} & \quad x \in X \\
\text{Output space} & \quad y \in Y = \{-1,+1\} \\
\text{Training Set} & \quad S = \{(x_1,y_1),\ldots,(x_i,y_i),\ldots\}
\end{align*}
\]

Representing a Hyperplane

\[
\begin{align*}
f(x) &= \langle w, x \rangle + b \\
h(x) &= \text{sign}(f(x))
\end{align*}
\]
Review of Support Vector Machines

- \( x_i \) positive: \( x_i \cdot w + b \geq 0 \)
- \( x_i \) negative: \( x_i \cdot w + b < 0 \)

Which hyperplane is best?

Savarese, EECS 442: Lecture 20
Review of Support Vector Machines

\( \mathbf{x}_i \) positive \((y_i = 1)\):  \( \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b \geq 1 \)

\( \mathbf{x}_i \) negative \((y_i = -1)\):  \( \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b \leq -1 \)

For support vectors, \( \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b = \pm 1 \)

Distance between point and hyperplane:

\[
\frac{|\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b|}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}
\]

Therefore, the margin is \( \frac{2}{\|\mathbf{w}\|} \)

Savarese, EECS 442: Lecture 20 (via S. Lazebnik)
Review of Support Vector Machines

1. Maximize margin $\frac{2}{\|w\|}$
2. Correctly classify all training data:

   $\mathbf{x}_i$ positive ($y_i = 1$): $\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b \geq 1$
   $\mathbf{x}_i$ negative ($y_i = -1$): $\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b \leq -1$

- **Quadratic optimization problem:** $w = \text{linear combination of training data}$

- Minimize $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$

Subject to $y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1$

Minimized over $alpha$ and $b$
Review of Support Vector Machines

• Now we have $w$ in decision function

$$f(x) = \langle w, x \rangle + b$$

$$h(x) = \text{sign}(f(x))$$

• Expand

$$w = \sum \alpha_i y_i x_i$$

$$f(x) = \langle w, x \rangle + b = \sum \alpha_i y_i \langle x_i, x \rangle + b$$
Review of Support Vector Machines

- Non-linear

- Problem: don’t know $\phi(x)$
Review of Support Vector Machines

• Mercer's Theorem

\[ K(x_i, x_j) = \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle \]

Inner product in higher dimensional space

• If K positive definite

\[ \int K(x, z) f(x) f(z) \, dx \, dz \geq 0 \]
\[ \forall f \in L^2 \]

• We don’t need to know \( \phi(x) \) only that \( K \) is pos. def.

\[ f(x) = \sum \alpha_i y_i \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x) \rangle + b \]

\[ K(x_i, x_j) \]
Histogram Intersection Kernel

• Two histograms, each with $n$ bins.

\[ k_{HI}(h_a, h_b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(h_a(i), h_b(i)) \]

• In "Beyond Bags of Features: Spatial Pyramid Matching for Recognizing Natural Scene Categories"
  – pyramid match kernel is a weighted sum of histogram intersections
Speeding Up Histogram Intersection Kernel SVM

• Classification function

\[ h(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \alpha_l y_l k(x, x_l) + b \]

\[ = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \alpha_l y_l \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(x(i), x_l(i)) \right) + b \]

• Naïve implementation \( O(nm) \)
Speeding Up Histogram Intersection
Kernel SVM

• Exact solution in $O(n \log m)$

$$h(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \alpha_l y_l \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(x(i), x_l(i)) \right) + b$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{m} \alpha_l y_l \min(x(i), x_l(i)) \right) + b$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i(x(i)) + b$$

$$h_i(s) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \alpha_l y_l \min(s, x_l(i))$$
Speeding Up Histogram Intersection
Kernel SVM

• Exact solution in $O(n \log m)$

Consider the functions $h_i(s)$ for a fixed value of $i$. Let $\bar{x}_l(i)$ denote the sorted values of $x_l(i)$ in increasing order with corresponding $\alpha$’s and labels as $\bar{\alpha}_l$ and $\bar{y}_l$. If $s < \bar{x}_1(i)$ then $h_i(s) = 0$, otherwise let $r$ be the largest integer such that $\bar{x}_r(i) \leq s$. Then we have,

$$h_i(s) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \bar{\alpha}_l \bar{y}_l \min(s, \bar{x}_l(i))$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq l \leq r} \bar{\alpha}_l \bar{y}_l \bar{x}_l(i) + s \sum_{r < l \leq m} \bar{\alpha}_l \bar{y}_l$$

$$= A_i(r) + sB_i(r)$$

Piecewise linear
Speeding Up Histogram Intersection
Kernel SVM

• Exact solution in $O(n \log m)$

$$h_i(s) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \tilde{\alpha}_l \bar{y}_l \min(s, \bar{x}_l(i))$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq l \leq r} \tilde{\alpha}_l \bar{y}_l \bar{x}_l(i) + s \sum_{r < l \leq m} \tilde{\alpha}_l \bar{y}_l$$

$$= A_i(r) + sB_i(r)$$

• $A$ and $B$ not dependent on data, can pre-compute.
• Computation $O(n \log m)$, memory $O(nm)$
Speeding Up Histogram Intersection
Kernel SVM

- Approximate solution in $O(n)$
Speeding Up Histogram Intersection Kernel SVM

• Approximate solution in $O(n)$
• Assume piecewise **Linear** or **Constant**
• Sample $h_i(s)$ and pre-compute in lookup table.
• 30-50 samples enough to prevent loss of accuracy
• With large number of support vectors (5000) this provides a huge savings in memory
Speeding Up Histogram Intersection
Kernel SVM

• Generalizes to any Kernel of the form

\[ K_{GHI}^f(x, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(f(x(i)), f(z(i))) \]
Experimental Results

- Pedestrian detection
- Setup, using multi-level oriented Histogram of Gradients as feature descriptor

Figure 2. The three stage pipeline of the feature computation process. (1) The input grayscale image of size $64 \times 128$ is convolved with oriented filters ($\sigma = 1$) in 8 directions, to obtain oriented energy responses. (2) The responses are then $L_1$ normalized over all directions in each non-overlapping $16 \times 16$ blocks independently to obtain normalized responses. (3) Multilevel features are then extracted by constructing histograms of oriented gradients by summing up the normalized response in each cell. The diagram depicts progressively smaller cell sizes from $64 \times 64$ to $8 \times 8$. 
Experimental Results

- INRIA pedestrian dataset
Experimental Results

- INRIA pedestrian dataset
Experimental Results

- Speed over, INRIA pedestrian, Daimler Chrysler pedestrian and Caltech 101 object datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Model parameters</th>
<th>SVM kernel type</th>
<th>fast IKSVMs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#SVs</td>
<td>#features</td>
<td>linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INRIA Ped</td>
<td>3363</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>0.07±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Ped</td>
<td>5474±395</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>0.03±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caltech 101</td>
<td>175±46</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>0.07±0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Runtime in second:
- $O(n)$
- $O(nm)$
- $O(n \log m)$
- $O(n)$
- $O(n)$