1018

OSSI Manifold Model for High-Resolution fMRI Joint Reconstruction and Quantification

Shouchang Guo¹, Douglas C. Noll², and Jeffrey A. Fessler¹

¹Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States, ²Biomedical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States

Synopsis

Oscillating Steady-State Imaging (OSSI) is a new fMRI acquisition method that can provide high SNR signals, but does so at the expense of imaging time. We previously used a physics-based regularizer for high-quality, undersampled reconstruction by modeling the oscillating signal with physics parameters. However, the reconstructions were not quantitative, as the key parameter T'_2 for BOLD effects was not studied. In this work, to quantify MRI parameters of physiological importance, we jointly reconstruct the images and the parameters. The proposed manifold model reconstructs high-resolution images from 12-fold undersampled data, while also providing quantitative T'_2 estimates for fMRI.

Introduction

Oscillating Steady-State Imaging (OSSI) is a new fMRI acquisition method that has the potential to provide higher SNR than GRE imaging¹. However, due to the oscillatory nature of the signal, multiple images with short TRs must be acquired and combined for fMRI analysis, potentially compromising the temporal resolution. Instead of imposing low-rankness or sparsity priors that may not suit fMRI data, we introduced a physics-based regularizer that models the generation of OSSI signals for reconstruction from undersampled data. However, the reconstructed images were unitless and were not quantitative in terms of important physiological related parameters², especially for T'_2 that represents intravoxel dephasing due to BOLD effects. In this work, we construct a T'_2 -weighted signal manifold and apply a near-manifold regularizer to jointly optimize OSSI images and quantitative maps. The manifold model enables a 12-fold acquisition acceleration and also provides accurate quantitative estimates for fMRI.

Methods

In OSSI, the transverse magnetization of one isochromat lies on a physics-based manifold that is parameterized as

$$m_0 \Phi(T_1, T_2, T'_2, f_0; t) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_c}$$
 : $m_0 \in \mathbb{C}, T_1, T_2, T'_2, f_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

where m_0 is the signal magnitude, T_1 and T_2 are the tissue parameters, T'_2 represents BOLD effects, f_0 is the off-resonance frequency, and n_c is the number of TRs in an oscillation of OSSI.

We simplify the manifold further because T_1 has primarily a scaling effect that we absorb into m_0 , $t \approx TE$ for the short TR of OSSI, and we set T_2 to a textbook value. Therefore, our proposed approach for joint reconstruction and quantification using a manifold model regularizer becomes

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}, \hat{m_0}, \hat{T'_2}, \hat{f_0} = \operatorname*{arg min}_{\mathbf{X}, m_0, T'_2 f_0} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \beta \sum_{i,j} \|\mathbf{X}[i, j, :] - m_0 \mathbf{\Phi}(T'_2, f_0)\|_2^2,$$

where $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_x \times N_y \times n_c}$ denotes n_c OSSI images to be reconstructed, \mathbf{y} represents sparsely sampled k-space data, and $\mathcal{A}(\cdot)$ is a linear operator consisting of coil sensitivities, NUFFT, and an undersampling function. For each voxel $\mathbf{X}[i,j,:] \in \mathbb{C}^{n_c}$ is a vector of fast-time signal values, and $m_0 \Phi(T'_2, f_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_c}$ is the simplified manifold model. β is the regularization parameter.

We solve the parameter estimation problem with VARPRO³ and a discrete realization of the manifold, which is a T'_2 signal dictionary formed with varying physics parameters. Specifically, we simulate the T'_2 signal for each central frequency f_0 by averaging complex signals from a set of isochromats with different off-resonance frequencies that are Cauchy distributed.

All the data were acquired on a 3T GE MR750 scanner with a 32-channel Nova Medical head coil. OSSI TR = 15 ms, $n_c = 10$ TRs per signal oscillation, spiralout TE = 2.7 ms, and flip angle = 10°. The spatial resolution = $1.3 \times 1.3 \times 2.5$ mm³ for a 220 mm FOV, and the temporal resolution = 150 ms (after 2-norm combination of every n_c OSSI images). We collected resolution phantom data with GRE imaging at varying TEs and estimated corresponding MRI parameters to validate the potential of using OSSI sequence and the proposed T'_2 manifold for quantification. For human data, the acceleration factor = 12 for both retrospective and prospective undersampling, and the sampling trajectory was a single-shot variable-density spiral with randomized rotations between frames. The functional task was a left/right reversing-checkerboard visual stimulus for 200 s (20 s L/20 s R \times 5 cycles).

Results

For one simulated voxel with functionals changes, T'_2 manifold results in more accurate parameter estimations compared to T_2 manifold (Figure 1). The resolution phantom qualifications demonstrate that OSSI manifold can be used for quantifying parameters and provides estimations comparable to multi-echo GRE imaging (Figure 2). The comparison of quantitative results from mostly sampled data and retrospectively undersampled data show that the proposed model almost fully recovers high-resolution structures and quantitative properties of the images from 8% of fully sampled k-space (Figure 3). The proposed manifold model jointly reconstructs high-resolution fMRI images and parameter maps with prospectively undersampled data (R = 12). The functional signals and the high SNR advantage of OSSI are well preserved (Figure 4).

Conclusion

We present a T'_2 manifold to accurately model OSSI fMRI signals. Together with a near-manifold regularization for undersampled reconstruction, we are able to jointly optimize the high-resolution images and important fMRI parameters such as T'_2 with a factor of 12 acquisition acceleration.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the support of NIH Grants R01EB023618 and U01EB026977.

References

1. Shouchang Guo and Douglas C. Noll, "High SNR Functional MRI Using Oscillating Steady State Imaging". Joint Annual Meeting ISMRM-ESMRMB, Paris 2018, In Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med.

2. Olafsson, Valur T., Douglas C. Noll, and Jeffrey A. Fessler. "Fast Joint Reconstruction of Dynamic R_2^* and Field Maps in Functional MRI." IEEE transactions on medical imaging 27.9 (2008): 1177-1188.

3. Golub, Gene, and Victor Pereyra. "Separable nonlinear least squares: the variable projection method and its applications." Inverse problems 19.2 (2003): R1.





Figure 1. Estimated signal and parameters (blue curves) in comparison to ground-truth signal and physics parameters (overlaid red curves) demonstrating the importance of the proposed T'_2 manifold over a T_2 manifold for quantifying fMRI parameters. The functional signal of one voxel is simulated with T'_2 induced signal changes, respiration, field drifts, and Gaussian random noise.



Figure 2. Parameter maps reconstructed using OSSI sequence and the proposed T'_2 manifold in comparison to estimations from gradient-echo imaging with multiple TEs. The m_0^{\wedge} estimates are on arbitrary scales. The resolution phantom has a uniform $R_2 \approx 10$ Hz, and OSSI R'_2 and GRE R'_2^{\wedge} demonstrates similar contrasts with an offset. We map the field map estimates to [-33.3, 33.3] Hz range as OSSI frequency responses are periodic with 1/TR = 66.7 Hz. Parameter estimations at regions with little or no signal are masked out.



Figure 3. OSSI images and parameter maps reconstructed from a factor of 12 retrospectively undersampled data (Proposed) are comparable to estimations from data that are nearly fully sampled (Mostly Sampled). The near-manifold regularization effectively recovers the high-resolution structures and quantitative properties of the data.



Figure 4. Functional activations and quantitative estimations from prospectively undersampled data using the T'_2 manifold. The activation threshold = 0.4. Compared to conjugate-gradient SENSE with an edge-preserving regularizer, the proposed reconstruction provides high SNR time courses and enables quantification of physiological parameters for every fMRI time point.

Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med. 28 (2020) 1018