Case studies

• EECS 482: Introduction to operating systems principles
  – Abstraction
  – Management of shared resources
  – Indirection
  – Concurrency
  – Atomicity
  – Protection
  – Naming
  – Security
  – Reliability
  – Scheduling
  – Fairness
  – Performance

Two case studies

• Virtual machines (e.g., VMware)
  – Software layer below the operating system
• Web browsers (e.g., Google Chrome)
  – Software layer above the operating system
Virtual machines (e.g., VMware)

- Operating systems manage the computer and provide abstractions for application programs.
- But who manages the computer and provides abstractions for the operating system?

What type of management or abstractions does an operating system need?
System structure with virtual machines

application programs application programs

operating system operating system
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hardware

• How does this work?

Operating system virtualizes the computer for applications

• OS could intercept and simulate each instruction
  – E.g., simulate each load/store, translate virtual address to physical address (similar to vm_syslog)
  – Slow
• Instead, OS allows most instructions to run directly on the hardware
  – Requires hardware support (MMU)
• Not all instructions can run directly on the hardware
  – Some instructions are privileged (e.g., I/O instructions)
  – Privileged instructions should trap to the OS. OS can then kill the (usually misbehaving) application.
Hypervisor virtualizes the computer for operating systems

- Hypervisor could do this by intercepting and simulating each instruction
  - E.g., hypervisor wants to partition 2 GB physical memory into two 1 GB partitions
  - OS #2 issues what it thinks is a physical memory address. Hypervisor intercepts and translates by adding 1 GB to address
  - Slow
- Instead, hypervisor lets most instructions pass through and run directly on the hardware
- How should hypervisor handle privileged instructions issued by OS?

OS virtualization vs. hypervisor virtualization

- Compatibility
  - Hypervisor tries to provide backward compatibility for operating systems that normally run directly on hardware
  - OS doesn’t need to support applications that run directly on hardware

- Abstractions
  - Hypervisor is trying to provide the illusion (to operating systems) of running directly on the hardware
  - OS is trying to provide the illusion of running on a different (nicer) computer
    - E.g., threads are nicer than processors
    - E.g., file systems are nicer than disks
Complication #1: x86 is not classically virtualizable

- Run OS in user mode, but make it look (to the OS) like it’s running in kernel mode, directly on the hardware
- All instructions that behave differently in user mode and kernel mode should fault to the hypervisor, so the hypervisor can simulate running directly on the hardware
- Unfortunately, some x86 instructions behave differently in user and kernel mode, but do NOT fault when run in kernel mode
- How to handle this?

Complication #2: need multiple levels of memory translation

- Operating system uses MMU to translate from virtual address to “physical” memory
- Hypervisor would like to use MMU to translate from the OS’s “physical memory” to the real physical memory (sometimes called “machine memory”)
- Would like two levels of translation: virtual -> physical -> machine
- How to provide multiple levels of translation?
Do we need both hypervisors and operating systems?

- Hypervisor does partitioning; OS handles abstractions?

---

Google Chrome

- [http://www.google.com/googlebooks/chrome](http://www.google.com/googlebooks/chrome)
- What’s a web browser?
  - An important application
  - An environment for displaying and running web pages
- A browser hosts web pages, just like an operating system hosts applications
- Important properties for browsers
  - Stability
  - Speed
  - Security
Concurrency

• Early browsers were single threaded
  – Asynchronous ➔ inconvenient
  – Blocking ➔ unsafe
• Using multiple threads allows the browser to be convenient (use blocking interface) and safe (single thread can’t hang the system)
• Multi-threaded versus multi-process
  – What’s the difference?
    – What are the implications of each window having its own address space?

Chrome processes

• Chrome process manager
  – Process manager == operating system
• Process isolation
  – Separate processes ➔ separate threads
  – One thread can block without blocking other threads
  – Can kill one tab without killing other tabs
  – What kind of bugs are they worried about?
    – Do they survive all bugs?
Memory management

• Creating a new process costs more than creating a new thread
  – But Google claims that using processes leads to less memory bloat
• One address space for entire browser
  – Ideally, closing a tab frees all memory for that tab
  – But not all memory is cleaned up when a tab closes → fragmentation
  – Why is this a problem?

• One address space per browser window
  – Destroying entire process guarantees that you free all memory for that process
  – Takes advantage of the fact that windows are relatively independent
• Memory manager == operating system

Security

• Assume browser will be compromised. How to limit damage?
• Sandboxing
  – Principle of least privilege
  – Browser process can do only a few things, e.g., display to screen, respond to user communication
• Google writes browser to work with low privilege. But not all browser code is written by Google.
  – Similarly, operating systems must support third-party software (extensions), e.g., device drivers
  – Plugins run at same privilege level as main Chrome process
  – Main Chrome process is like the operating system
  – Renderer processes are like application processes
• How to protect against bad plugins
  – Rewrite to work with low privilege
  – Separate plugin process from renderer process. Similar to microkernel with multiple server processes
Phishing

- Google Chrome monitors web pages for URLs that are known to be bad
  - Similar to virus scanning

Google Gears

- Browser plugin that provides an API (application programming interface) for web pages
- Similarly, operating systems provide an API (system calls) for application programs
Testing

- Chrome Bot (Google’s autograder)
- Test early; test often
- Test case design
  - Prioritize testing of popular web pages
  - Unit tests (micro test cases)
  - Macro test cases, e.g., random input (fuzz testing)

- Other topics
  - Dynamic code generation for Javascript. Not an issue for operating systems, since they usually don’t virtualize the instruction set (the compiler does that)