Solutions to Math 416 Homework Assignment 1

September 20, 2004

1 Problem 2.1-3

Linear search pseudocode:

LINEAR-SEARCH(A,v) for($i \leftarrow 1$; $i \le length[A]$; i + +){ if(A[i] == v) return(i); } return(NIL);

Loop invariant:

At the start of a loop iteration, v is not among $A[1], \ldots, A[i-1]$.

(That is, if $1 \leq j$ and j < i, then $v \neq A[j]$.)

Initialization: There is no j with $1 \le j < 1 = i$, so the the statement holds.

Maintenance: Suppose the statement is true at a particular iteration k. We consider two cases. If A[i] == v, then the code will return i and there is no next (k + 1)'st iteration. If $A[i] \neq v$, then, using the loop invariant, we know v is not among $A[1], \ldots, A[i_k]$, where i_k is the value of i during the k'th iteration. At the start of the next iteration, we have v is not among $A[1], \ldots, A[i_k] = A[i_{k+1} - 1]$, so the loop invariant holds.

Termination: The loop may terminate for two reasons. If it terminates early, then it returns i with A[i] == v, which is correct. Otherwise, the code returns NIL. In that case, by the loop invariant, v is not among $A[1], \ldots, A[length[A]]$, so the NIL output is correct.

2 Problem 2.3-5

Binary search pseudocode:

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{BINARY-SEARCH}(A, \mathbf{v}) \ //A \ \text{indexed from 0 to } \text{length}[A] - 1 \\ \textbf{if } \text{length}[A] = 0 \\ \textbf{return}( \ \text{NIL}); \\ m \leftarrow [\text{length}[A] \ / 2]; \\ \textbf{if } (v > A[m]) \\ \textbf{return BINARY-SEARCH}(A[0..m-1], v); \\ \textbf{if } (v == A[m]) \\ \textbf{return}(m); \\ // \ \textbf{if } (v < A[m]) \\ \textbf{return}(m); \\ \text{return BINARY-SEARCH}(A[m+1..\text{length}[A]], v); \ // \ \text{indices get relabeled to start from zero} \end{array}
```

If T(n) is the worst-case cost of the algorithm on input sequences of length n, then, for some c,

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + c, & n > 0; \\ c, & n = 0. \end{cases}$$

This is because, for n > 0, a call on an array of length n results in one recursive call on an array of length at most n/2 and, by induction, one can show that T is monotonically increasing.

We now show by induction that $T(n) \leq c(\lg(n) + 2)$ for n > 0. (We avoid $\lg(0)$.)

First, $T(0) \leq c$. It follows that $T(1) \leq T(0) + c \leq 2c = c(\lg(n) + 2)$.

Suppose that, for all m > 1 and all n < m, we have $T(n) \le c(\lg(n) + 2)$. Now consider T(m). Note that m/2 < m, so this is covered inductively. We have $T(m) \le T(m/2) + c \le c(\lg(m/2) + 2) + c = c(\lg(m) + 1) + c = c(\lg(m) + 2)$.

Informally, we are allowed to assume that a binary tree of height h has 2^{h} leaves and $2^{h+1} - 1$ nodes. Assuming that the original string has $2^{h+1} - 1$ elements, we can consider a binary tree of height h, whose nodes are associated with input elements, and whose in-order traversal enumerates the elements in sorted order. Then binary search follows a path from root downwards to some node (not necessarily a leaf). Thus the worst-case time cost is the height of the tree. As long as the degree of the tree is at least 2 and at most O(1), the height of the tree is $O(\log(n))$ and we don't need to be any more careful about how the tree branches.

3 Problem 2-3

a. The asymptotic running time is $\Theta(n)$, since there are n loop iterations and each takes some constant amount of time.

b. Naive polynomial pseudocode:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{NAIVE-POLY}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n; x) \\ y \leftarrow 0 \\ \textbf{for}(\ i \leftarrow 0; \ i \le n; \ i + +) \{ \\ z \leftarrow 1 \\ \textbf{for}(\ j \leftarrow 0; \ j < i; \ j + +) \\ z *= x; \\ y += a_i \cdot z; \\ \} \\ // \ y \text{ is set to the output} \end{array}$$

Note we are evaluating the polynomial from lowest degree to highest degree. For some constant, the runtime is $\sum_{0 \le i \le n} \sum_{0 \le j \le i} c$. This is

$$\sum_{0 \le i \le n} \sum_{0 \le j < i} c = \sum_{0 \le i \le n} ci$$
$$= c \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$
$$= \Theta(n^2),$$

which we can informally see from looking at the loops. (E.g., consider the runtime for the last n/2 iterations of the outer loop. There, $i \ge n/2$ so the inner loop executes at least n/2 times for each iteration of the outer loop, so the inner loop iterates at least $(n/2)^2$ times altogether. On the other hand, i and j are at most n, so the inner loop iterates at most n^2 times.)

c. Initialization. At the start, i = n, so n - (i + 1) = -1. The sum $\sum_{k=0}^{-1}$ is the empty sum, zero, which equals y.

Maintenance. Suppose the invariant is true at the start of some loop. During that loop, y gets

$$a_{i} + x \cdot y = a_{i} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-(i+1)} a_{k+i+1} x^{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=-1}^{n-(i+1)} a_{k+i+1} x^{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{k'=0}^{n-i} a_{k'+i} x^{k'}, \text{ for } k' = k+1$$

$$= \sum_{k'=0}^{n-((i-1)+1)} a_{k'+(i-1)+1} x^{k'}$$

$$= \sum_{k'=0}^{n-(i'+1)} a_{k'+i'+1} x^{k'},$$

where i' = i - 1 is the value of *i* at the start of the next iteration. Termination. At termination, we have $y = \sum_{k=0}^{n-(i+1)} a_{k+i+1} x^k$ for i = -1; *i.e.*, $y = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k$.