EECS 583 – Class 8 Classic Optimization

University of Michigan

October 3, 2011

Announcements & Reading Material

- Homework 2
 - » Extend LLVM LICM optimization to perform speculative LICM
 - » Due Friday, Nov 21, midnight (3 wks!)
 - » This homework is significantly harder than HW 1
 - » Best time on performance benchmarks wins prize
- Today's class
 - *Compilers: Principles, Techniques, and Tools,* A. Aho, R. Sethi, and J. Ullman, Addison-Wesley, 1988,
 9.9, 10.2, 10.3, 10.7
- Material for Wednesday
 - » "Compiler Code Transformations for Superscalar-Based High-Performance Systems," Scott Mahlke, William Chen, John Gyllenhaal, Wen-mei Hwu, Pohua Chang, and Tokuzo Kiyohara, *Proceedings of Supercomputing '92*, Nov. 1992, pp. 808-817

From Last Time: Improved Class Problem

Rename the variables so this code is in SSA form





From Last Time: Improved Class Problem (2)



From Last Time: Improved Class Problem (3)



Step 3: Insert Phi Nodes

Step 4: Rename variables \rightarrow Do in class

- Make the code run faster on the target processor
 - » My (Scott's) favorite topic !!
 - » Other objectives: Power, code size
- Classes of optimization
 - » <u>1. Classical</u> (machine independent)
 - Reducing operation count (redundancy elimination)
 - Simplifying operations
 - Generally good for any kind of machine
 - » 2. Machine specific
 - Peephole optimizations
 - Take advantage of specialized hardware features
 - » 3. Parallelism enhancing
 - Increasing parallelism (ILP or TLP)
 - Possibly increase instructions

A Tour Through the Classical Optimizations

- For this class Go over concepts of a small subset of the optimizations
 - » What it is, why its useful
 - » When can it be applied (set of conditions that must be satisfied)
 - » How it works
 - » Give you the flavor but don't want to beat you over the head
- Challenges
 - » Register pressure?
 - » Parallelism verses operation count

Dead Code Elimination

- Remove any operation who's result is never consumed
- Rules
 - » X can be deleted
 - no stores or branches
 - » DU chain empty or dest register not live
- This misses some dead code!!
 - » Especially in loops
 - » Critical operation
 - store or branch operation
 - » Any operation that does not directly or indirectly feed a critical operation is dead
 - » Trace UD chains backwards from critical operations
 - » Any op not visited is dead



Constant Propagation

- Forward propagation of moves of the form
 - » rx = L (where L is a literal)
 - » Maximally propagate
 - » Assume no instruction encoding restrictions
- When is it legal?
 - » SRC: Literal is a hard coded constant, so never a problem
 - » DEST: Must be available
 - Guaranteed to reach
 - May reach not good enough



Local Constant Propagation

 Consider 2 ops, X and Y in a BB, X is before Y

*

» 1. X is a move	r1 - 5
» 2. src1(X) is a literal	$r^{2} = c^{2} \mathbf{x}^{2}$
» 3. Y consumes dest(X)	$r_{12} - r_{13}$
 A. There is no definition of dest(X) between X and Y 	$r_{13} = 7$ $r_{4} = r_{4} + r_{1}$ $r_{1} = r_{1} + r_{2}$
 S. No danger betw X and Y When dest(X) is a Macro 	r1 = r1 + r2 r1 = r1 + 1
reg, BRL destroys the value	r3 = 12 r8 = r1 - r2
Note, ignore operation format issues, so all operations can	r9 = r3 + r5 r3 = r2 + 1
have literals in either operand position	$r_{10} = r_3 - r_1$

Global Constant Propagation

- Consider 2 ops, X and Y in different BBs
 - » 1. X is a move
 - » 2. src1(X) is a literal
 - » 3. Y consumes dest(X)
 - » 4. X is in a_in(BB(Y))
 - » 5. Dest(x) is not modified between the top of BB(Y) and Y
 - » 6. No danger betw X and Y
 - When dest(X) is a Macro reg, BRL destroys the value



Constant Folding

- Simplify 1 operation based on values of src operands
 - » Constant propagation creates opportunities for this
- All constant operands
 - » Evaluate the op, replace with a move
 - $r1 = 3 * 4 \rightarrow r1 = 12$
 - $r1 = 3 / 0 \rightarrow ???$ Don't evaluate excepting ops !, what about floating-point?
 - » Evaluate conditional branch, replace with BRU or noop
 - if (1 < 2) goto BB2 \rightarrow BRU BB2
 - if (1 > 2) goto BB2 \rightarrow convert to a noop
- Algebraic identities
 - » $r1 = r2 + 0, r2 0, r2 | 0, r2 \land 0, r2 << 0, r2 >> 0$
 - r1 = r2
 - » r1 = 0 * r2, 0 / r2, 0 & r2
 - r1 = 0

»
$$r1 = r2 * 1, r2 / 1$$

• r1 = r2

Class Problem



Forward Copy Propagation

- Forward propagation of the RHS of moves
 - » r1 = r2
 - » ...
 - » r4 = r1 + 1 → r4 = r2 + 1
- Benefits
 - » Reduce chain of dependences
 - » Eliminate the move
- Rules (ops X and Y)
 - » X is a move
 - » src1(X) is a register
 - » Y consumes dest(X)
 - » X.dest is an available def at Y
 - » X.src1 is an available expr at Y



CSE – Common Subexpression Elimination

- Eliminate recomputation of an expression by reusing the previous result
 - » r1 = r2 * r3

$$\rightarrow$$
 r100 = r1

» ...

»
$$r4 = r2 * r3$$
 → $r4 = r100$

Benefits

>>

- » Reduce work
- » Moves can get copy propagated
- Rules (ops X and Y)
 - » X and Y have the same opcode
 - » $\operatorname{src}(X) = \operatorname{src}(Y)$, for all srcs
 - » expr(X) is available at Y
 - » if X is a load, then there is no store that may write to address(X) along any path between X and Y



if op is a load, call it redundant load elimination rather than CSE

Class Problem



Optimize this applying 1. dead code elimination 2. forward copy propagation 3. CSE

Loop Optimizations – Optimize Where Programs Spend Their Time



Loop Invariant Code Motion (LICM)

- Move operations whose source operands do not change within the loop to the loop preheader
 - » Execute them only 1x per invocation of the loop
 - » Be careful with memory operations!
 - » Be careful with ops not executed every iteration



LICM (2)

- Rules
 - » X can be moved
 - » src(X) not modified in loop body
 - » X is the only op to modify dest(X)
 - » for all uses of dest(X), X is in the available defs set
 - » for all exit BB, if dest(X) is live on the exit edge, X is in the available defs set on the edge
 - » if X not executed on every iteration, then X must provably not cause exceptions
 - » if X is a load or store, then there are no writes to address(X) in loop



Homework 2 – Speculative LICM



Cannot perform LICM on load, because there may be an alias with the store

Memory profile says that these rarely alias

Speculative LICM:

- 1) Remove infrequent dependence between loads and stores
- 2) Perform LICM on load
- 3) Perform LICM on any consumers of the load that become invariant
- 4) Check that an alias occurred at run-time
- 5) Insert fix-up code to restore correct execution



Global Variable Migration

- Assign a global variable temporarily to a register for the duration of the loop
 - » Load in preheader
 - » Store at exit points
- Rules
 - » X is a load or store
 - » address(X) not modified in the loop
 - » if X not executed on every iteration, then X must provably not cause an exception
 - All memory ops in loop whose address can equal address(X) must always have the same address as X



Induction Variable Strength Reduction

- Create basic induction variables from derived induction variables
- Induction variable
 - » BIV (i++)
 - 0,1,2,3,4,...
 - » DIV (j = i * 4)
 - 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, ...
 - DIV can be converted into a BIV that is incremented by 4
- Issues
 - » Initial and increment vals
 - » Where to place increments



Induction Variable Strength Reduction (2)

- Rules
 - » X is a *, <<, + or operation
 - » src1(X) is a basic ind var
 - » src2(X) is invariant
 - » No other ops modify dest(X)
 - » dest(X) != src(X) for all srcs
 - » dest(X) is a register
- Transformation
 - » Insert the following into the preheader
 - $new_reg = RHS(X)$
 - » If opcode(X) is not add/sub, insert to the bottom of the preheader
 - new_inc = inc(src1(X)) opcode(X) src2(X)
 - » else
 - new_inc = inc(src1(X))
 - Insert the following at each update of src1(X)
 - new_reg += new_inc
 - » Change X → dest(X) = new_reg

Class Problem

