# EECS 583 – Class 6 Dataflow Analysis

University of Michigan

September 26, 2011

#### Announcements & Reading Material

#### Today's class

- *Compilers: Principles, Techniques, and Tools,* A. Aho, R. Sethi, and J. Ullman, Addison-Wesley, 1988.
   (Sections: 10.5, 10.6, 10.9, 10.10)
- Material for Wednesday
  - *Compilers: Principles, Techniques, and Tools,* A. Aho, R. Sethi, and J. Ullman, Addison-Wesley, 1988,
     9.9, 10.2, 10.3, 10.7

## Live Variable (Liveness) Analysis

- Defn: For each point p in a program and each variable y, determine whether y can be used before being redefined starting at p
- Algorithm sketch
  - » For each BB, y is live if it is used before defined in the BB or it is live leaving the block
  - Backward dataflow analysis as propagation occurs from uses upwards to defs
- ♦ 4 sets
  - $\Rightarrow$  GEN = set of external variables consumed in the BB
  - » KILL = set of external variable uses killed by the BB
    - equivalent to set of variables defined by the BB
  - » IN = set of variables that are live at the entry point of a BB
  - » OUT = set of variables that are live at the exit point of a BB

## Computing GEN/KILL Sets For Each BB

```
for each basic block in the procedure, X, do
  \operatorname{GEN}(\mathbf{X}) = 0
  KILL(X) = 0
  for each operation in reverse sequential order in X, op, do
     for each destination operand of op, dest, do
        GEN(X) = dest
        KILL(X) += dest
     endfor
     for each source operand of op, src, do
        GEN(X) += src
        KILL(X) = src
     endfor
  endfor
endfor
```

## Compute IN/OUT Sets for all BBs

```
initialize IN(X) to 0 for all basic blocks X
change = 1
while (change) do
  change = 0
  for each basic block in procedure, X, do
     old_IN = IN(X)
     OUT(X) = Union(IN(Y)) for all successors Y of X
     IN(X) = GEN(X) + (OUT(X) - KILL(X))
     \underline{if}(old_IN != IN(X)) \underline{then}
       change = 1
     endif
  endfor
endfor
```

#### Example – Liveness Computation



OUT = Union(IN(succs)) IN = GEN + (OUT - KILL)

#### Class Problem



Compute liveness Calculate GEN/KILL for each BB Calculate IN/OUT for each BB

## Reaching Definition Analysis (rdefs)

- A <u>definition</u> of a variable x is an <u>operation</u> that assigns, or may assign, a value to x
- A definition d <u>reaches</u> a point p if there is a path from the point immediately following d to p such that d is not "killed" along that path
- ✤ A definition of a variable is <u>killed</u> between 2 points when there is another definition of that variable along the path
  - » r1 = r2 + r3 kills previous definitions of r1
- Liveness vs Reaching defs
  - » Liveness → variables (e.g., virtual registers), don't care about specific users
  - » Reaching defs  $\rightarrow$  operations, each def is different
  - Forward dataflow analysis as propagation occurs from defs downwards (liveness was backward analysis)

#### Compute Rdef GEN/KILL Sets for each BB

```
GEN = set of definitions created by an operation
```

```
KILL = set of definitions destroyed by an operation
```

```
- Assume each operation only has 1 destination for simplicity so just keep track of "ops"..
```

#### Compute Rdef IN/OUT Sets for all BBs

```
IN = set of definitions reaching the entry of BB
OUT = set of definitions leaving BB
       initialize IN(X) = 0 for all basic blocks X
       initialize OUT(X) = GEN(X) for all basic blocks X
       change = 1
       while (change) do
         change = 0
          for each basic block in procedure, X, do
            old_OUT = OUT(X)
            IN(X) = Union(OUT(Y)) for all predecessors Y of X
            OUT(X) = GEN(X) + (IN(X) - KILL(X))
            if (old_OUT != OUT(X)) then
              change = 1
            endif
          endfor
       endfor
```

#### Example Rdef Calculation



IN = Union(OUT(preds)) OUT = GEN + (IN - KILL)

#### Class Problem



Compute reaching defs Calculate GEN/KILL for each BB Calculate IN/OUT for each BB

- Convenient way to access/use reaching defs info
- Def-Use chains
  - » Given a def, what are all the possible consumers of the operand produced
  - » Maybe consumer
- Use-Def chains
  - » Given a use, what are all the possible producers of the operand consumed
  - » Maybe producer

#### Example – DU/UD Chains



#### Generalizing Dataflow Analysis

- Transfer function
  - » How information is changed by "something" (BB)
  - > OUT = GEN + (IN KILL) /\* forward analysis \*/
  - » IN = GEN + (OUT KILL) /\* backward analysis \*/
- Meet function
  - » How information from multiple paths is combined
  - » IN = Union(OUT(predecessors)) /\* forward analysis \*/
  - » OUT = Union(IN(successors)) /\* backward analysis \*/
- Generalized dataflow algorithm
  - » while (change)
    - change = false
    - for each BB
      - apply meet function
      - apply transfer functions
      - if any changes  $\rightarrow$  change = true

#### Beyond Liveness or Upward Exposed Uses

- Upward exposed defs
  - IN = GEN + (OUT KILL)
  - » OUT = Union(IN(successors))
  - » Walk ops reverse order
    - GEN += dest; KILL += dest
- Downward exposed uses
  - » IN = Union(OUT(predecessors))
  - $\rightarrow$  OUT = GEN + (IN-KILL)
  - » Walk ops forward order
    - GEN += src; KILL -= src;
    - GEN -= dest; KILL += dest;
- Downward exposed defs
  - » IN = Union(OUT(predecessors))
  - $\rightarrow$  OUT = GEN + (IN-KILL)
  - » Walk ops forward order
    - GEN += dest; KILL += dest;

#### What About All Path Problems?

- Up to this point
  - » Any path problems (maybe relations)
    - Definition reaches along some path
    - Some sequence of branches in which def reaches
    - Lots of defs of the same variable may reach a point
  - » Use of <u>Union operator</u> in meet function
- All-path: Definition guaranteed to reach
  - » Regardless of sequence of branches taken, def reaches
  - » Can always count on this
  - » Only 1 def can be guaranteed to reach
  - » Availability (as opposed to reaching)
    - Available definitions
    - Available expressions (could also have reaching expressions, but not that useful)

#### Reaching vs Available Definitions



## Available Definition Analysis (Adefs)

- A definition d is <u>available</u> at a point p if along <u>all</u> paths from d to p, d is not killed
- Remember, a definition of a variable is <u>killed</u> between 2 points when there is another definition of that variable along the path
  - » r1 = r2 + r3 kills previous definitions of r1
- Algorithm
  - » Forward dataflow analysis as propagation occurs from defs downwards
  - » Use the Intersect function as the meet operator to guarantee the all-path requirement
  - » GEN/KILL/IN/OUT similar to reaching defs
    - Initialization of IN/OUT is the tricky part

#### Compute GEN/KILL Sets for each BB (Adefs)

Exactly the same as reaching defs !!!

```
for each basic block in the procedure, X, do

GEN(X) = 0

KILL(X) = 0

for each operation in sequential order in X, op, do

for each destination operand of op, dest, do

G = op

K = {all ops which define dest - op}

GEN(X) = G + (GEN(X) - K)

KILL(X) = K + (KILL(X) - G)

endfor

endfor

endfor
```

#### Compute IN/OUT Sets for all BBs (Adefs)

```
U = universal set of all operations in the Procedure
IN(0) = 0
OUT(0) = GEN(0)
for each basic block in procedure, W, (W = 0), do
  IN(W) = 0
  OUT(W) = U - KILL(W)
change = 1
while (change) do
  change = 0
  for each basic block in procedure, X, do
    old_OUT = OUT(X)
    IN(X) = Intersect(OUT(Y)) for all predecessors Y of X
    OUT(X) = GEN(X) + (IN(X) - KILL(X))
    if (old_OUT != OUT(X)) then
      change = 1
    endif
  endfor
endfor
```

## Available Expression Analysis (Aexprs)

- ✤ An <u>expression</u> is a RHS of an operation
  - » r2 = r3 + r4, r3 + r4 is an expression
- An expression e is <u>available</u> at a point p if along <u>all</u> paths from e to p, e is not killed
- An expression is <u>killed</u> between 2 points when one of its source operands are redefined
  - » r1 = r2 + r3 kills all expressions involving r1
- Algorithm
  - » Forward dataflow analysis as propagation occurs from defs downwards
  - » Use the Intersect function as the meet operator to guarantee the all-path requirement
  - » Looks exactly like adefs, except GEN/KILL/IN/OUT are the RHS's of operations rather than the LHS's

## Computation of Aexpr GEN/KILL Sets

```
We can also formulate the GEN/KILL slightly differently so you do not need to break up instructions like "r2 = r2 + 1".
```

```
for each basic block in the procedure, X, do
   \operatorname{GEN}(\mathbf{X}) = 0
   KILL(X) = 0
   for each operation in sequential order in X, op, do
      \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{0}
      for each destination operand of op, dest, do
         K += \{all ops which use dest\}
     endfor
      if (op not in K)
           G = op
      else
           \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{0}
      GEN(X) = G + (GEN(X) - K)
      KILL(X) = K + (KILL(X) - G)
   endfor
endfor
```

#### Class Problem - Aexprs Calculation



## Some Things to Think About

- Liveness and rdefs are basically the same thing
  - » All dataflow is basically the same with a few parameters
    - Meaning of gen/kill src vs dest, variable vs operation
    - Backward / Forward
    - All paths / some paths (must/may)
      - So far, we have looked at may analysis algorithms
      - How do you adjust to do must algorithms?
- Dataflow can be slow
  - » How to implement it efficiently?
    - Forward analysis DFS order
    - Backward analysis PostDFS order
  - » How to represent the info?
- Predicates
  - » Throw a monkey wrench into this stuff
  - » So, how are predicates handled?