EECS 583 – Class 3 Region Formation, Predicated Execution

University of Michigan

September 14, 2011

Reading Material

Today's class

- » "Trace Selection for Compiling Large C Applications to Microcode", Chang and Hwu, MICRO-21, 1988.
- » "The Superblock: An Effective Technique for VLIW and Superscalar Compilation", Hwu et al., Journal of Supercomputing, 1993
- Material for Monday
 - * "The Program Dependence Graph and Its Use in Optimization",
 - J. Ferrante, K. Ottenstein, and J. Warren, ACM TOPLAS, 1987
 - This is a long paper the part we care about is the control dependence stuff. The PDG is interesting and you should skim it over, but we will not talk about it now
 - » "On Predicated Execution", Park and Schlansker, HPL Technical Report, 1991.

From Last Time: Region Type 1 - Trace

- <u>Trace</u> Linear collection of basic blocks that tend to execute in sequence
 - » "Likely control flow path"
 - » Acyclic (outer backedge ok)
- <u>Side entrance</u> branch into the middle of a trace
- <u>Side exit</u> branch out of the middle of a trace
- Compilation strategy
 - » Compile assuming path occurs 100% of the time
 - » Patch up side entrances and exits afterwards
- Motivated by scheduling (i.e., trace scheduling)



From Last Time: Linearizing a Trace



Issues With Selecting Traces

*	Acyclic		
	» Cannot go past a backedge		
*	Trace length		
	» Longer = better ?	90	
	» Not always !		
*	On-trace / off-trace transitions		
	» Maximize on-trace		
	» Minimize off-trace		
	 Compile assuming on-trace is 100% (ie single BB) 		
	» Penalty for off-trace		
*	Tradeoff (heuristic)		
	» Length		
	» Likelihood remain within the		
	trace		



Trace Selection Algorithm

```
i = 0;
mark all BBs unvisited
while (there are unvisited nodes) do
     seed = unvisited BB with largest execution freq
     trace[i] += seed
     mark seed visited
     current = seed
     /* Grow trace forward */
     <u>while</u> (1) <u>do</u>
        next = best_successor_of(current)
        \underline{if} (next == 0) <u>then</u> break
        trace[i] += next
        mark next visited
        current = next
     endwhile
     /* Grow trace backward analogously */
     i++
endwhile
```

Best Successor/Predecessor

- Node weight vs edge weight
 - » edge more accurate

THRESHOLD

- » controls off-trace probability
- » 60-70% found best
- Notes on this algorithm
 - » BB only allowed in 1 trace
 - » Cumulative probability ignored
 - Min weight for seed to be chose (ie executed 100 times)

best_successor_of(BB) e = control flow edge with highest probability leaving BB if (e is a backedge) then return 0 endif if (probability(e) <= THRESHOLD) then return 0 endif d = destination of eif (d is visited) then return 0 endif return d end procedure

Class Problems



Traces are Nice, But ...

- Treat trace as a big BB
 - » Transform trace ignoring side entrance/exits
 - » Insert fixup code
 - aka bookkeeping
 - » Side entrance fixup is more painful
 - Sometimes not possible so transform not allowed
- Solution
 - » Eliminate side entrances
 - » The <u>superblock</u> is born



Region Type 2 - Superblock

- <u>Superblock</u> Linear collection of basic blocks that tend to execute in sequence in which control flow may only enter at the first BB
 - » "Likely control flow path"
 - » Acyclic (outer backedge ok)
 - » Trace with no side entrances
 - » Side exits still exist
- Superblock formation
 - » 1. Trace selection
 - » 2. Eliminate side entrances



Tail Duplication

- To eliminate all side entrances replicate the "tail" portion of the trace
 - » Identify first side entrance
 - Replicate all BB from the target to the bottom
 - Redirect all side entrances to the duplicated BBs
 - » Copy each BB only once
 - » Max code expansion = 2x-1 where x is the number of BB in the trace
 - » Adjust profile information



Superblock Formation



Issues with Superblocks

- Central tradeoff
 - » Side entrance elimination
 - Compiler complexity
 - Compiler effectiveness
 - » Code size increase
- Apply intelligently
 - Most frequently executed BBs are converted to SBs
 - » Set upper limit on code expansion
 - » 1.0 1.10x are typical code expansion ratios from SB formation



Class Problem



Create the superblocks, trace threshold is 60%

Class Problem Solution – Superblock Formation



An Alternative to Branches: Predicated Execution

- Hardware mechanism that allows operations to be conditionally executed
- Add an additional boolean source operand (predicate)
 - » ADD r1, r2, r3 if p1
 - if (p1 is True), r1 = r2 + r3
 - else if (p1 is False), do nothing (Add treated like a NOP)
 - p1 referred to as the <u>guarding predicate</u>
 - Predicated on True means always executed
 - Omitted predicated also means always executed
- Provides compiler with an alternative to using branches to selectively execute operations
 - » If statements in the source
 - » Realize with branches in the assembly code
 - » Could also realize with conditional instructions
 - » Or use a combination of both

Predicated Execution Example

a = b + c
if (a > 0)
e = f + g
else
e = f / g
h = i - j

BB1	add a, b, c
BB1	bgt a, 0, L1
BB3	div e, f, g
BB3	jump L2
BB2	L1: add e, f, g
BB4	L2: sub h, i, j



Traditional branching code

	BB1	add a, b, c if T		
	BB1	p2 = a > 0 if T	BB1	
$p2 \rightarrow BB2$	BB1	p3 = a <= 0 if T	BB2	
$p_3 \rightarrow BB_3$	BB3	div e, f, g if p3	BB3	
	BB2	add e, f, g if p2	BB4	
	BB4	sub h, i, j if T		

Predicated code

What About Nested If-then-else's?



Traditional branching code

Nested If-then-else's – No Problem

a = b + c	BB1	add a, b, c if T	
if (a > 0)	BB1	p2 = a > 0 if T	RR1
if (a > 25)	BB1	$p^{3} = a \le 0$ if T	BB2
e = f + g	BB3	div e, f, g if p3	BB3
else	BB3	p5 = a > 25 if p2	BB4
e = t * g	BB3	p6 = a <= 25 if p2	BB5
else	BB6	mpy e, f, g if p6	BB6
e = f / g	BB5	add e, f, g if p5	220
h = 1 - j	BB4	sub h, i, j if T	

Predicated code

What do we assume to make this work ?? if p2 is False, both p5 and p6 are False So, predicate setting instruction should set result to False if guarding predicate is false!!!

Benefits/Costs of Predicated Execution



Benefits:

- No branches, no mispredicts

- Can freely reorder independent operations in the predicated block

- Overlap BB2 with BB5 and BB6

Costs (execute all paths) -worst case schedule length -worst case resources required

Compare-to-Predicate Operations (CMPPs)

- How do we compute predicates
 - » Compare registers/literals like a branch would do
 - » Efficiency, code size, nested conditionals, etc
- 2 targets for computing taken/fall-through conditions with
 1 operation

p1, p2 = CMPP.cond.D1a.D2a (r1, r2) if p3

p1 = first destination predicate p2 = second destination predicate cond = compare condition (ie EQ, LT, GE, ...) D1a = action specifier for first destination D2a = action specifier for second destination (r1,r2) = data inputs to be compared (ie r1 < r2) p3 = guarding predicate

CMPP Action Specifiers

Guarding predicate	Compare Result	UN	UC	ON	OC	AN	AC
0	0	0	0	_	_	_	_
0	1	0	Ô				_
1	0	0 0	1	_	1	0	_
1	1	1	0	1	-	-	0

UN/UC = Unconditional normal/complement This is what we used in the earlier examples guard = 0, both outputs are 0 guard = 1, UN = Compare result, UC = opposite ON/OC = OR-type normal/complement AN/AC = AND-type normal/complement

$$p1 = (r1 < r2) | (!(r3 < r4)) | (r5 < r5)$$

Wired-OR into p1

Generating predicated code for some source code requires OR-type predicates p1 = 1 p1 = cmpp_AN (r1 < r2) if T p1 = cmpp_AC (r3 < r4) if T p1 = cmpp_AN (r5 < r6) if T

p1 = (r1 < r2) & (!(r3 < r4)) & (r5 < r5)

Wired-AND into p1

Talk about these later – used for control height reduction

Use of OR-type Predicates

a = b + c if (a > 0 & & b > 0) e = f + g else e = f / g h = i - j	Tra	BB1 BB5 BB2 BB2 BB3 BB4 dition	add a, b, c ble a, 0, L1 ble b, 0, L1 add e, f, g jump L2 L1: div e, f, g L2: sub h, i, j	BB5 BB2	BB1 BB4	B3
$p2 \rightarrow BB2$ $p3 \rightarrow BB3$ $p5 \rightarrow BB5$	BB1 BB5 BB3 BB2 BB4 P1	add a, p3, p5 p3, p2 div e, add e, sub h, redica	b, c if T 5 = cmpp.ON.UC a <= 0 2 = cmpp.ON.UC b <= 0 f, g if p3 f, g if p2 i, j if T ated code	if T if p5	BB1 BB5 BB2 BB3 BB4	

Class Problem

- a. Draw the CFG
- b. Predicate the code removing all branches

Next Class: If-conversion

- Algorithm for generating predicated code
 - » Automate what we've been doing by hand
 - » Handle arbitrary complex graphs
 - But, acyclic subgraph only!!
 - Need a branch to get you back to the top of a loop