EECS598: Prediction and Learning: It's Only a Gam	re Fall 2013
Lecture 12: Online Convex (Optimization
Prof. Ambui Tewari	Scrihe: Monica Eboli

Announcements

• Class by Guest Lecturer Ambuj Tewari

1 Convex Optimization

$$\min_{x \in C} f(x) \tag{1.1}$$

 $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, *C* is a convex set and *f* is a convex function.

C is convex if:
$$x, y \in C \Rightarrow \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in C, \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

f is convex if: $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y), \forall x, y, \lambda \in [0, 1]$

Rockafeller: "Watershed in optimization is not between linearity and non-linearity but it is between convexity and inconvexity".

Seminal work in online convex optimization: Zinkevich (2003) "Online convex prog. and generalized infinitesimal gradient descent".

1.1 Online Convex Optimization (OCO) "protocol"

for t=1 to T

```
learner/player plays x_t \in C
```

nature/adversary reveals f_t (convex)

learner suffers a cost/loss $f_t(x_t)$

END

Remarks:

- "Converging to a solution " does not really make sense in this setting
- Redefine goal to be "achieve low regret"

$$regret = \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(x_t) - \min_{x \in C} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(x)$$
(1.2)

2 Interesting Special Cases of OCO

2.1 Experts Problems

$$C = \Delta^{d} = \{ \vec{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} : p_{j} \ge 0, \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} = 1 \}$$
(2.1)

$$f_t(x) = l_t \cdot x$$
 where $l_t \in [0, 1]^d$ (2.2)

Think of player's move $x_t \in C = \Delta^d$ as a probability distribution over experts. $l_t \in \mathbb{R}$ encodes loss suffered by the experts at time *t*.

 $l_t \cdot x$ = expected loss of the player where he picks expert *j* with probability x_j .

$$regret = \sum_{t=1}^{T} l_t \cdot x_t - \min_{x \in C} \sum_{t=1}^{T} l_t \cdot x = \text{expected loss of player} - \text{loss of best expert in hindsight} \quad (2.3)$$

2.2 Online Classification/Regression using linear prediction and convex loss

for t=1 to T

learner/player receives $z_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$

classifier/predictor encoded by $w_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is used to output $w_t \cdot z_t$

learner receives true value label y_t

learner suffers $l[w_t \cdot z_t; y_t]$ (loss function)

END

Let's say we only want to consider linear predictors $z \to w \cdot t$ such that $||w||_2 \leq W$, where $||w||_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d w_j^2}$.

$$Convex: C = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|w\|_2 \le w \}$$
(2.4)

$$f_t(w) = l(w \cdot z_t, y_t) \tag{2.5}$$

If
$$l(\cdot, y)$$
 is convex $\forall y$ then f_t is convex in w (2.6)

Example of convex losses:

$$l(t,y) = \frac{1}{2}(t-y)^2$$
(regression) (2.7)

$$l(t, y) = max(0, 1 - t \cdot y)$$
("hinge loss") (2.8)

$$t(t,y) = log(1 + e^{-yt})("logistic loss")$$
(2.9)

3 Algorithms for OCO

Idea1: Just "follow the leader"

$$x_t \in \arg\min_{x \in c} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} f_s(x) \tag{3.1}$$

Counter example

$$c = [-1, 1] \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.2}$$

$$f_t(x) = c_t x \tag{3.3}$$

Leader plays $x_1 \in [-1,1]$ $c_1 = -.05$, $x_2 = 1$, $c_2 = 1$, $x_3 = -1$, $c_3 = -1$, $x_4 = 1$, $c_4 = 1$, For the adversary defined by $c_1 = -0.5$ and for t > 1

$$c_t = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ t is even} \\ -1 \text{ t is odd} \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

We will now argue that FTL suffers O(T) regret. Player's loss:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} c_t x_t = c_1 x_1 + 1 + 1 + 1 \dots + 1 = O(T)$$
(3.5)

Best loss in hindsight = $\min_{x \in [-1,1]} \sum c_t x \le \sum c_t 0 = 0 \Rightarrow$ Regret is also O(T)

Good news about FTL: * If f_t 's are not just convex but strongly convex then FTL has $O(\log T)$ regret.

* If we slightly change FTL to include a strongly convex function we can improve the regret bound.

FTRL: Follow the Regularized leader

$$x_t \in \arg\min_{x \in c} \eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} f_s(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|x\|_2^2}{\eta}$$
(3.6)

We will prove a regret guarantee for FTRL assuming:

- There are no constraints i.e., $C = \mathbb{R}^d$
- f_t is a linear function, $f_t(x) = c_t \cdot x$

BTL (Beat the Leader) (illegal algorithm)

$$x_t^{BTL} \in \arg\min_{x \in c} \sum_{s=1}^t f_s(x)$$
(3.7)

Lemma about BTL

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(x^{BTL}) \le \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(x), \forall x \in c$$
(3.8)

Proof by backward induction

$$f_1(x_1^{BTL}) + f_2(x_2^{BTL}) + \dots + f_T(x_T^{BTL}) \le f_1(x) + f_2(x) + \dots + f_T(x)$$
(3.9)

$$f_1(x_{T-1}^{BTL}) + \dots + f_{T-1}(x_{T-1}^{BTL}) \le f_1(x_T^{BTL}) + f_2(x_T^{BTL}) + \dots + f_{T-1}(x_T^{BTL})$$
(3.10)

Counting down T - 2, T - 3, ..., 1 proves the lemma.

 $x_1^{FTRL} \text{ is BTL output on } \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|x\|^2}{\eta}$ $x_2^{FTRL} \text{ is BTL output on } \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|x\|^2}{\eta}, f_1$ $x_3^{FTRL} \text{ is BTL output on } \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|x\|^2}{\eta}, f_1, f_2 \dots$

Using BTL Lemma

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\|x^{FTRL}\|_2^2}{\eta} + \sum f_t(x_{t+1}^{FTRL}) \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|x\|^2}{\eta} + \sum f_t(x)$$
(3.11)

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{t=1}^{T} (f_t(x_t^{FTRL}) - f_t(x)) \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|x\|_2^2}{\eta} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|x_1^{FTRL}\|_2^2}{\eta} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} (f_t(x_t^{FTRL}) - f_t(x_{t+1}^{FTRL}))$$
(3.12)

Because of assumptions $x_t^{FTRL} = -\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} c_s$, and hence $f_t(x_t^{FTRL}) - f_t(x_{t+1}^{FTRL}) = \eta ||c_t||_2^2$

Therefore regret against $x \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|x\|^2}{\eta} + \eta \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|c_t\|_2^2$