Targeting of GFP to newborn rods by Nrl promoter and temporal expression profiling of flow-sorted photoreceptors
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The Maf-family transcription factor Nrl is a key regulator of photoreceptor differentiation in mammals. Ablation of the Nrl gene in mice leads to functional cones at the expense of rods. We show that a 2.5-kb Nrl promoter segment directs the expression of enhanced GFP specifically to rod photoreceptors and the pineal gland of transgenic mice. GFP is detected shortly after terminal cell division, corresponding to the timing of rod genesis revealed by birthdating studies. In NrlΔ/Δ retinas, the GFP+ photoreceptors express S-opsin, consistent with the transformation of rod precursors into cones. We report the gene profiles of freshly isolated flow-sorted GFP+ photoreceptors from wild-type and NrlΔ/Δ retinas at five distinct developmental stages. Our results provide a framework for establishing regulatory gene networks that lead to mature functional photoreceptors from postmitotic precursors. Differentially expressed rod and cone genes are excellent candidates for retinopathies.
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Evolution of higher-order sensory and behavioral functions in mammals is accompanied by increasingly complex regulation of gene expression (1). As much as 10% of the human genome is presumably dedicated to the control of transcription. Exquisitely timed expression of cell-type-specific genes, together with spatial and quantitative precision, depends on the interaction between transcriptional control machinery and extracellular signals (2, 3). Neuronal heterogeneity and functional diversity result from combinatorial and cooperative actions of regulatory proteins that form complicated yet precise transcriptional networks to generate unique gene expression profiles. A key transcription factor, combined with its cognate regulatory cis-sequence codes, specifies a particular node in the gene regulatory networks that guide differentiation and development (4).

The retina offers an ideal paradigm for investigating regulatory networks underlying neuronal differentiation. The genesis of six types of neurons and Müller glia in the vertebrate retina proceeds in a predictable sequence during development (5). Subsets of multipotent retinal epithelial progenitors exit the cell cycle at specific time points and acquire a particular cell fate under the influence of intrinsic genetic program and extrinsic factors (5–7). Pioneering studies using thymidine labeling and retroviral vectors established the order and birthdates of neurons in developing retina (5, 8–10). The current model of retinal differentiation proposes that a heterogeneous pool of progenitors passes through states of competence, where it can generate a distinct subset of neurons (5). One can predict that, at the molecular level, this competence is acquired by combinatorial action of specific transcriptional regulatory proteins. Genetic ablation studies of transcription factors involved in early murine eye specification are consistent with combinatorial regulation (11–13).

Rod and cone photoreceptors account for 70–80% of all cells in the adult neural retina. In most mammals, rods greatly outnumber cones (95–97% of photoreceptors in mouse and human). Rods are born over a broad developmental window and, in mice, the majority are generated postnatally (5, 9, 14). Depending upon the time of their birth (“early” or “late”), postmitotic rod precursors exhibit variable delays before expressing the photopigment rhodopsin, a definitive marker of mature rods (6, 15–17). The molecular differences between early- and late-born rods and the mechanism(s) underlying the “delay” have not been elucidated. Nrl is a basic motif-leucine zipper transcription factor (18), which is specifically expressed in rod photoreceptors (19, 20) and pinealocytes (unpublished data). It interacts with cone rod homeobox (Crx), photoreceptor-specific orphan nuclear receptor (Nrb2e3), and other proteins to regulate the expression of rod-specific genes (21–26). Missense mutations in the human NRL gene are associated with retinopathies (27, 28). Deletion of Nrl in mice results in a cone-only outer nuclear layer in the retina (29, 30), demonstrating its critical role in determining photoreceptor cell identity (29).

Based on its essential role in rod differentiation, we hypothesized that Nrl is the ideal transcription factor to gain insights into gene expression changes and regulatory networks underlying photoreceptor development. Here, using the Nrl-promoter to express GFP in transgenic mice, we show that Nrl is indeed the earliest rod lineage-specific marker. We directly demonstrate that the cells fated to become rods acquire a cone phenotype in the absence of Nrl, thereby establishing Nrl as the major cell-autonomous regulatory gene for rod differentiation. We also report gene profiles of GFP+ photoreceptors, isolated by FACS, from the wild-type and NrlΔ/Δ retinas at five distinct stages of differentiation. These studies should assist in elucidating regulatory networks that lead to functional photoreceptors from postmitotic precursors.

Results and Discussion

Nrl Promoter Directs EGFP Expression to Rods. A comparison of the human and mouse Nrl promoter sequences identified four
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conserved regions (designated I–IV) (Fig. 1a). The Nrl-L-EGFP construct, which included all four conserved regions (Fig. 1a), was used to generate transgenic mice. Six of the seven transgenic lines that we analyzed demonstrated GFP expression only in the retina (Fig. 1b) and pineal gland (Fig. 1c). In the adult retina, GFP is detected only in the outer nuclear layer, which contains rod and cone photoreceptor nuclei, and in the corresponding inner and outer segments (Fig. 1d and e). Immunostaining with anti-rhodopsin antibody (15) shows complete colocalization with GFP (Fig. 1f–h), whereas no overlap is observed between GFP and the cone-specific markers, peanut agglutinin (31) and cone arrestin (32) (Fig. 1i–n). Hence, as predicted, all GFP-expressing cells are rod photoreceptors.

GFP Expression Corresponds to Rod Genesis in Developing Retina. In rodents, rods are born over an extended developmental period [embryonic day 12 (E12) to postnatal day 10 (P10)] overlapping with the birth of all neuronal subtypes in the retina (Fig. 2) (8, 9, 17). Nrl transcripts are detected by RT-PCR as early as E12 in mouse retina, considerably earlier than rhodopsin, which is expressed postnatally (Fig. 2a). To examine whether Nrl expression corresponds to rod genesis, we investigated GFP expression in developing retinas of the Nrl-L-EGFP mice [referred to as wild-type (wt)-Gfp]. The timing and kinetics of GFP expression in transgenic retinas, as revealed by RT-PCR, were consistent with early detection of Nrl transcripts (Fig. 7, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). GFP-positive cells, although few and scattered, are first observed at E12 (Fig. 2b and b′) and subsequently increase in abundance over time (Fig. 2c–h). The spatial and temporal expression of GFP completely correlates with the timing and central-to-peripheral gradient of rod genesis, as previously indicated by [3H]-thymidine birthdating experiments (Fig. 2) (8, 9). No overlap was observed between GFP and the cell cycle markers Cyclin D1 and Ki67, which are expressed by cycling cells from late G1 to M phase, and phosphohistone H3, which is expressed during M phase (Fig. 3 and Fig. 8, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site).

GFP Expression Is Detected in Rod Precursors Shortly After Terminal Mitosis. To further determine the onset of GFP expression in relation to the cell cycle, we performed short-term BrdUrd pulse–chase experiments in E16 embryos. Whereas GFP was not detected in BrdUrd-positive (S-phase) cells 1 h after the injection, we did observe double-labeled cells in embryos harvested at 4 and 6 h (Fig. 3), and their abundance increased at longer
Regulatory Molecules Associated with Terminal Differentiation.

The number of colabeled cells increased 6 h after BrdUrd injection. VZ, ventricular zone; RPE, retinal pigment epithelium. (Scale bars, 10 μm.)

Enhanced S-Cones in the Nrl−/− Retina Originate from Postmitotic Rod Precursors. The abundant S-cones in Nrl−/− mice are presumed to derive from rods that do not follow their appropriate developmental pathway due to the absence of Nrl (29). To directly evaluate the origin of enhanced S-cones in the Nrl−/− retina, we crossed the wt-Gfp mice with the Nrl−/− mice to generate Nrl−/−EGFP:Nrl−/− mice (referred to as Nrl-ko-Gfp). As shown in Fig. 4, the GFP+ cells (that are rod precursors in the wt retina) are colabeled with S-opsin antibody. Bisbenzimide labels the nuclei. All GFP+ cells express S-opsin. However, ~40% of S-opsin+ cones do not express GFP. This may reflect the loss of GFP during dissociation and immunostaining; decreased GFP expression in the absence of Nrl, which can activate its own promoter in mature rods (unpublished data); and/or contributions from the cohort of normal cones. Thus, GFP+ cells from the wt-Gfp and Nrl-ko-Gfp retina represent pure populations of rods and cones, respectively. (Scale bars, 50 μm (a) and 10 μm (b).)

Gene Profiling of Purified GFP+ Photoreceptors Reveals Specific Regulatory Molecules Associated with Terminal Differentiation. To elucidate the genes and regulatory networks associated with differentiation of photoreceptors from committed postmitotic precursors, we performed genome-wide expression profiling of GFP+ cells purified from the retinas of wt-Gfp and Nrl-ko-Gfp mice at five distinct developmental time points (E16, P2, P6, P10, and P28) (Figs. 9 and 10, which are published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). Given that rods are born over a relatively long period of retinal development (E13–P10), GFP+ cells from wt-Gfp retinas at any specific time will represent rods at discrete stages of differentiation; nonetheless, profiles from GFP+ cells at E16 and P2 will broadly reflect genes expressed in early- and late-born rods, respectively. The profiles of GFP+ cells purified at P10 and P28 are expected to reveal many genes involved in outer segment formation and phototransduction, respectively. From the GeneChip data, we first generated a bitmap of present/absent calls for all probesets at the five developmental stages from wt-Gfp mice (Fig. 5a); this diagram indicates the proportion of genes found to fit in any one of 32 potential present/absent patterns and includes gene signatures for each time point. Together with a similar bitmap for Nrl-ko-Gfp (not shown), these data reveal expression of ~20,000 transcripts in photoreceptors, consistent with previous retinal transcriptome estimates (35). We then generated independent ranked lists of the top 1,000 genes that are differentially expressed across developmental stages for both wt-Gfp and Nrl-ko-Gfp retinas; each of these genes has a false discovery rate confidence interval (FDR-CI) P value ≤0.15 and true fold change ≥2 in at least one pair of time points. Significantly more genes were differentially expressed over time in these FACS-purified cells.
(Fig. 5b) than were identified in comparable gene profiles of the whole retina (26). Self-organizing map (SOM) clusters were then derived from wt-Gfp and Nrl-ko-Gfp gene profiles, as described (36). Interestingly, similar clusters in the two profiles reveal major differences, which in large part correspond to distinctions between rods and cones (Fig. 5c and d). Predictably, the clusters that include rhodopsin (cluster 4 in wt-Gfp, Fig. 5c) or S-opsin (cluster 5 in Nrl-ko-Gfp, Fig. 5d) exhibit a significant increase in expression at P10 and P28 (Tables 1 and 2, which are published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). Together with a whole retina microarray, serial analysis of gene expression, and in situ hybridization studies (26, 35, 37–39), our gene profiles will facilitate rapid discovery of genetic defects in photoreceptor diseases.

To obtain insights into the delay (16, 17) associated with the expression of phototransduction genes, we compared the gene profiles of E16, P2, and P6 photoreceptors (Table 3, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site); 25 of 34 differentially expressed genes could be validated by real-time PCR (Fig. 11c, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). As predicted, at P6, we observe high expression of genes involved in photoreceptor integrity and function (such as Rho, Pde6b, Rs1h, Rpl1h, Rdh12, and Rpgr). We also detect altered expression of a battery of regulatory factors at P6 when compared to the profiles at E16 or P2. Several of the genes display decreased expression as the differentiation proceeds and are either antifat- tering factors (e.g., Id2) or negative regulators (“the brake genes”) of rod maturation. Likewise, the regulatory genes showing higher expression at P6 (Bteb1 and Jarid2) are candidate coactivators of rod differentiation.

Cluster Analysis of Gene Profiles from the GFP-Tagged wt and Nrl−/− Photoreceptors Identifies Expression Differences Between Rods and Cones. We then compared wt-Gfp and Nrl-ko-Gfp data; heat maps of the top 1,000 differentially expressed genes selected over five developmental stages reveal several expression clusters; two of the clusters shown here reveal the genes whose expression increases (cluster I) or decreases (cluster II) with time in Nrl-ko-Gfp cells (Fig. 6). Although cluster II includes a number of well characterized rod-specific genes (such as Nrl, Nrv2c3, Rho, and Pde6b), cluster I has several genes that are predicted to be involved in cone function. Real-time PCR analysis of 19 differentially expressed genes demonstrated complete to partial concordance with microarray data for 15 genes over five developmental stages in both wt-Gfp and Nrl-ko-Gfp cells (Fig. 11). We cannot, however, rule out that some expression changes in Nrl-ko-Gfp cells are due, at least in part, to structural aberrations or stress response noted in these fate-switched photoreceptors (29, 30, 40). Genes that are differentially expressed during development and between wt-Gfp and Nrl-ko-Gfp photoreceptors are attractive targets for functional studies and as candidates for retinal dystrophies (see Tables 1 and 2).

Conclusion

In this report, we provide a direct demonstration of the temporal and spatial genetic identity of a differentiating neuron (rod photoreceptor) in mammals. We show that Nrl (as accurately recapitulated by the GFP reporter) is the earliest specific marker of postmitotic rod precursors. The functionality of Nrl promoter in the developing Nrl−/− retina (similar to that in wt retina) suggests the availability of signaling factors for rod determina- tion, yet the GFP-tagged rod precursors acquire the identity of S-cones when Nrl is absent. This implies the existence of pool(s)
of progenitor cells with competence to become either a rod or a cone (i.e., binary cell fate choice) (29) at an earlier step in retinal development. We propose that, during early stages of development, postmitotic precursor cells are not completely committed to a specific photoreceptor fate (i.e., are plastic) and transcriptional regulators, such as Nrl (29) or Trh2 (41), instruct these cells to produce rods or M-cones, respectively. It is possible that S-cones represent the “default” state or require another as-yet-unknown activator for differentiation. These results are consistent with evolutionary data suggesting that rods are derived from an ancestral cone(s) (42–44).

We have applied genome-wide profiling to investigate expression dynamics of specific neurons developing within a single lineage over time, from commitment to maturation, using purified cell populations. Our data provide a comprehensive view of genetic determinants that specify rod and cone morphology and function. We expect that wt-Gfp transgenic mice will be valuable for investigating progenitor plasticity, determining the precise role of individual genes that specify rod and cone morphology and function, and evaluating cellular treatment paradigms for retinal and macular degeneration.

Materials and Methods

Comparison of 5′-Upstream Sequences of the Human and Mouse Nrl Genes. We isolated and sequenced a mouse Nrl genomic clone from a 129 × 1/SvJ-derived Lambda Fix II genomic library (Stratagene). Genomic sequences 3 kb upstream of the human NRL (AL136295) and mouse Nrl transcription start sites (AY526079) were compared by BLAST2 (www.ebi.ac.uk/blast2) using TRIzol (Invitrogen) and treated with RNase-free DNase I.

Plasmid Constructs and Generation of Transgenic Mice. A 2.5-kb upstream segment of the mouse Nrl gene (from −2408 to +115) was cloned into the pEGFP1 vector (Clontech) (Nrl-L-EGFP construct; Fig. 1a). The 3.5-kb insert from Nrl-L-EGFP, excluding the vector backbone, was injected into fertilized (C57BL/6 × SJL) F2 mouse oocytes, which were implanted into pseudopregnant females (University of Michigan transgenic core facility). Transgenic founder mice and their progeny were identified by PCR, and transgene copy number was estimated by Southern blot analysis of tail DNA using an EGFP gene probe. The founders were bred to C57BL/6 mice to generate F1 progeny. A mouse line with three copies of the transgene was used for subsequent studies.

Immunoblotting and Immunostaining. The detailed methods have been described (19, 29). For immunoblot analysis, the primary antibodies were rabbit anti-GFP pAb (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or mouse anti-GFP mAb (Covance Research Products, Columbia, VA). For immunofluorescence, we used 10-μm retinal cryosections or retinal cells isolated with papain dissociation system (Worthington). Primary antibodies were: GFP, rabbit pAb (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY) or rabbit pAb conjugated to Alexa Fluor-488 (Molecular Probes); rhodopsin, mouse mAb (Rho4D2, kind gift of R. Molday, University of British Columbia, Vancouver); cone arrestin, rabbit pAb (kind gift of C. Craft, University of Southern California, Los Angeles); phosphohistone H3, rabbit pAb (Upstate Biotechnology); Cyclin D1, mouse mAb (Zymed); Ki67, mouse mAb (DAKO); BrdUrd, rat mAb (Harlan Sera-Lab, Loughborough, U.K.). Texas red-conjugated peanut agglutinin lectin (PNA) was obtained from Vector Laboratories. Fluorescent detection was performed by using Alexa Fluor-488 or -546 (Molecular Probes) and FITC or Texas red (Jackson Immuno-Research)-conjugated secondary antibodies. Sections were visualized under a conventional fluorescent microscope and digitized.

BrdUrd Staining. Pregnant females were given single i.p. injection of BrdUrd (Sigma, 0.1 mg/g body weight) on E16. Embryos were dissected 1, 4, 6, or 12 h after injection, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and cryosectioned. Immunostaining was performed sequentially to detect GFP and then BrdUrd. After GFP immunostaining with primary and secondary reagents, sections were washed in PBTx (PBS + 0.1% Triton X-100) and incubated in 2.4 M HCl/PBTx for 75 min. Sections were then washed and immunostained for BrdUrd.

RNA Preparation and Real-Time PCR. Total RNA was extracted by using TRIzol (Invitrogen) and treated with RNase-free DNase I.
Gene Filtering and Analysis. The “AFFY” package (45) was used to generate “present” and “absent” calls, for every gene at each developmental stage, based on a majority rule over the replicates. Each of the 45,101 probesets was assigned to one of the 32 possible genes. The Robust Multichip Average method (46) was used for background correction, quantile normalization, and summarization of expression scores. These genes were further subjected to two-stage filtering procedure based on the theory of FDR-CIs (47), as described (26). The FDR-CI $P$ value for a given gene is defined as the minimum significance level $q$ for which the gene’s FDR-CI does not intersect the [-fcmin, fcmin] interval (e.g., fcmin = 1 corresponds to a 2-fold change in log 2 scale). Microarray data in MIAME format (48) has been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database GEO (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo).
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