Entropic Graphs

Alfred O. Hero

Dept. EECS, Dept Biomed. Eng., Dept. Statistics

University of Michigan - Ann Arbor

`hero@eecs.umich.edu`

`http://www.eecs.umich.edu/~hero`

Collaborators: A. Almal, J. Costa, H. Neemuchwala, B. Ma, O. Michel

- Pattern Matching and Image Retrieval

- Entropic Euclidean Graphs

- A variant: K-point entropic graphs

- Application to US image registration

- Application to shape manifolds

Image Retrieval

QUERY

Figure 1: Yale face database `http://cvc.yale.edu/projects/yalefaces/yalefaces.html`

DATABASE

Multi-modality face Retrieval

Database of Visible/IR images

Visible

IR

Visible

IR

http://www.equinoxsensors.com/products/HID.html

# SAR Target Classification

QUERY

Figure 2: SLICY canonical target images (54×54) at elevation $39°$ and different azimuth angles.

DATABASE

SAR Target Detection


Figure 3: SAR clutter image, target on boundary at column 305.

Image Registration

 (a) Image I_1 (b) Image I_0 (c) Registration result

Figure 4: A multidate image registration example

Clustering Features in Feature Space

Multiple Mode Low Entropy Feature Density

Single Mode Low Entropy Feature Density

High Entropy Feature Density

Common Processing System

Images, patterns → **Operator T()** → **Feature Extractor** → **R^d** → **Feature Fitness Criterion** →

Objective: For given fitness criterion Q, find operator T which minimizes/maximizes Q

Our focus: entropic fitness criterion $Q(f)$

f: feature density over $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$

Some Popular Entropic Q's

1. Shannon Entropy of feature density f

$$Q(f) = H(f) = -\int f(x) \ln f(x) \, dx$$

2. KL Divergence between feature densities f, g

$$Q(f,g) = D(f\|g) = \int f(x) \ln \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right) dx$$

3. Jensen difference between feature densities f, g:

$$Q(f,g) = H(\varepsilon f + (1-\varepsilon)g) - \varepsilon H(f) - (1-\varepsilon)H(g)$$

4. Mutual information within joint feature density $f_{X,Y}$

$$Q(f_{X,Y}) = \text{MI}(X,Y) = \int \int f_{X,Y}(x,y) \ln \left(\frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x) f_Y(y)} \right) dx$$

Issue: How to estimate entropic Q from measured data?

Some possibilities:

1. Assume parameteric models for f, g, $f_{X,Y}$

2. Quantize feature space and use histograms

3. Non-parameteric density estimation of f, g, $f_{X,Y}$

Our Strategy: construct "entropic graphs" on features

A Set of Feature Samples and a Euclidean Spanning Graph

Minimal Euclidean Graphs: MST

Let $T_n = T(X_n)$ denote the possible sets of edges in the class of acyclic graphs spanning X_n (spanning trees).

The Euclidean Power Weighted MST achieves

$$L_\gamma^{\text{MST}}(X_n) = \min_{T_n} \sum_{e \in T_n} \|e\|^\gamma.$$

MST

Minimal Euclidean graphs: k-NNG

Let $N_{k,i}(X_n)$ denote the possible sets of k edges connecting point x_i to all other points in X_n.

The Euclidean Power Weighted k-NNG is

$$L_\gamma^{k-NNG}(X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min_{N_{k,i}(X_n)} \sum_{e \in N_{k,i}(X_n)} |e|^\gamma$$

2-NNG

MST for Two Different Samples

Figure 5:

Large n behavior of MST

Figure: MST and log MST weights as function of the number of samples.

Asymptotics: the BHH Theorem

Define the MST length functional

$$L_\gamma(X_n) = \min_{T_n} \sum_{e \in T_n} \|e\|^\gamma.$$

Theorem 1 *[Beardwood, Halton&Hammersley:1959] Let*
$X_n = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ *be an i.i.d. realization from a Lebesgue density f with*
support $S \subset [0,1]^d$.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} L_\gamma(X_n)/n^{(d-\gamma)/d} = \beta_{L_\gamma, d} \int_S f(x)^{(d-\gamma)/d} dx, \qquad (a.s.)$$

Or, letting $\alpha = (d-\gamma)/d$

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln L_\gamma(X_n)/n^\alpha \rightarrow H_\alpha(f) + c \qquad (a.s.)$$

Rényi Entropy and Divergence

- Rényi Entropy of order α [Rényi:61,70]

$$H_\alpha(f) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \int_S f^\alpha(x) dx$$

- Rényi α-divergence of fractional order $\alpha \in [0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha(f_1 \parallel f_0) &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \ln \int_S f_0 \left(\frac{f_1}{f_0}\right)^\alpha dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \ln \int_S f_1^\alpha f_0^{1-\alpha} dx \end{aligned}$$

  – α-Divergence vs. Kullback-Liebler divergence

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1} D_\alpha(f_1 \| f_0) = \int f_1 \ln \frac{f_1}{f_0} dx.$$

Clustering via K-MST

Assume f is a mixture density of the form

$$f = (1 - \varepsilon)f_1 + \varepsilon f_o,$$

where

- f_o is a known (uniform) outlier density

- f_1 is an unknown target density

- $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$ is unknown mixture parameter

Annulus Feature Density

Uniform Outlier Density

Mixture Density

K-point Minimal Spanning Tree (*K*-MST)

Figure 6: *Clustering an annulus density from uniform noise via k-MST.*

K-MST Stopping Rule

Figure 7: *Left: k-MST curve for 2D annulus density with addition of uniform "outliers" has a knee in the vicinity of $n - k = 35$.*

Greedy partioning approximation to K-MST

Figure 8: *A smallest subset B_k^m is the union of the two cross hatched cells shown for the case of $m = 5$ and $k = 17$.*

Extended BHH Theorem for Greedy K-MST

Fix $\rho \in [0,1]$. If $k/n \to \rho$ then the length of the greedy partitioning K-MST satisfies [Hero&Michel:IT99]

$$L_\gamma(X^*_{n,k})/(\lfloor \rho n \rfloor)^\alpha \to \beta_{L_\gamma,d} \min_{A:\int_A f \geq \rho} \int_S f^\alpha(x|x \in A)dx \quad (a.s.)$$

or, alternatively, with

$$H_\alpha(f|x \in A) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \int_S f^\alpha(x|x \in A)dx$$

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln L_\gamma(X^*_{n,k})/(\lfloor \rho n \rfloor)^\alpha \to \beta_{L_\gamma,d} \min_{A:\int_A f \geq \rho} H_\alpha(f|x \in A) \quad (a.s.)$$

Figure 9: *Waterpouring contruction of minimum entropy density.*

k-MST Influence Function

MST for planar Gaussian   **k–MST for planar Gaussian**

Figure 10: *MST and k-MST influence curves for Gaussian density on the plane.*

Extension of BHH to Divergence Estimation?

Question: How to go from

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\ln\int f^{\alpha}(x)dx \quad \textbf{to} \quad \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\ln\int f^{\alpha}(x)g^{1-\alpha}(x)dx \ ?$$

- $g(x)$: a reference density on $\mathbf{R}^d$

- Assume $f \ll g$, i.e. for all x such that $g(x) = 0$ we have $f(x) = 0$.

- Make measure transformation $M(x)$ such that $dx \to g(x)dx$ on $[0,1]^d$.
  Then for $Y_n = M(X_n)$

$$L_\gamma(Y_n)/n^{\alpha} \quad \to \quad \beta_{L_\gamma,d}\int\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right)^{\alpha}g(x)dx, \qquad (a.s.)$$

Figure 11: Top Left: i.i.d. sample from triangular distribution, Top Right: exact transformation, Bottom: after application of exact and empirical transformations.

Clustering Example

X_n is a sample from the mixture

$$f(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)g(x) + \varepsilon h(x)$$

$h(x)$ is uniform density on $[0, 1]^2$

$g(x)$ is triangular density on $[0, 1]^2$

ε is unknown

Objective: Detect deviation of f from triangular and cluster the uniform variates in the sample

Illustration

Figure 12: *Left: A sample from triangle-uniform mixture density with $\varepsilon = 0.9$ in the transformed domain Y_n. Right: ROC curves of thresholded α-divergence test for deviation from g. Curves are decreasing in ε over the range $\varepsilon \in \{0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9\}$*

Clustering Illustration

N=256, ε =0.9, h=(green,unif), g=(red,triang)

Clustering in transformed data domain

N=256, ε =0.9, h=(green,unif), g=(red,triang)

Clustering in original data domain

Figure 13: *Left: the K-MST implemented on the transformed scatterplot Y_n with k = 230. Right: same K-MST displayed in the original data domain.*

Bounds on Minimax Convergence Rate

Theorem 2 (Hero,Costa&Ma 2001) *Let $d \geq 2$ and $1 \leq \gamma \leq d - 1$. Assume $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are i.i.d. random vectors over $[0,1]^d$ with density $f \in \Sigma_d(\beta, l)$, $\beta, l > 0$, having support $S \subset [0,1]^d$. Assume also that $f^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{d}}$ is integrable. Then,*

$$O\left(n^{-r_1(d,\beta)}\right) \leq$$

$$\sup_{f \in \Sigma_d(\beta,l)} E\left[\left\| L_\gamma(X_1, \ldots, X_n)/n^{(d-\gamma)/d} - \beta_{L_\gamma,d} \int_S f^{(d-\gamma)/d}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \right\|^p\right]^{1/p}$$

$$\leq O\left(n^{-r_2(d,\gamma)}\right),$$

where

$$r_1(d,\beta) = \min\{\frac{4\beta}{4\beta + d}, 1/2\} \quad r_2(d,\gamma) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}\frac{1}{d}$$

and $\alpha = \frac{d-\gamma}{d}$.

Extension to Partition Approximations

$$L_\gamma^m(X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^d} L_\gamma(X_n \cap Q_i) + b(m),$$

Figure 14: *Partition approximation.*

Theorem 3 (Hero,Costa&Ma 2001) *Let $L_\gamma^m(X_n)$ be a partition approximation to $L_\gamma(X_n)$. Under the same hypotheses as in the previous proposition, if $b(m) = O(m^{d-\gamma})$*

$$O\left(n^{-r_1(d,\beta)}\right) \leq$$

$$\sup_{f \in \Sigma_d(\beta,l)} E\left[\left\|L_\gamma^{m(n)}(X_1,\ldots,X_n)/n^{(d-\gamma)/d} - \beta_{L_\gamma,d}\int_S f^{(d-\gamma)/d}(x)\mathrm{d}x\right\|^p\right]^{1/p}$$

$$\leq O\left(n^{-r_3(d,\gamma)}\right),$$

where

$$r_3(d,\gamma) = \frac{\alpha}{\frac{d-1}{\gamma}\,\alpha+1}\,\frac{1}{d}\,.$$

This bound is attained by choosing the progressive-resolution sequence $m = m(n) = n^{1/[d(\frac{d-1}{\gamma}\,\alpha+1)]}$.

38

Application: Registration of Breast Images

Figure 15: Three ultrasound breast scans. From top to bottom are: case 151, case 142 and case 162.

MI Registration of Gray Levels (Viola&Wells:ICCV95)

- X: a $N \times N$ image (lexicographically ordered)

- $X(k)$: image gray level at pixel location k

- X_0 and X_1: primary and secondary images to be registered

Hypothesis: $\{(X_0(k), X_i(k)\}_{k=1}^{N^2}$ are i.i.d. r.v.'s with j.p.d.f

$$f_{0,i}(x_0, x_1), \quad x_0, x_1 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 255\}$$

Mutual Information (MI) criterion: $T = \text{argmax}_{T_i} \hat{\text{MI}}$

where $\hat{\text{MI}}$ is an estimate of

$$\text{MI}(f_{0,i}) = \int \int f_{0,i}(x_0, x_1) \ln f_{0,i}(x_0, x_1)/(f_0(x_0)f_i(x_1)) dx_1 dx_0. \qquad (1)$$

(a) Image I^R (b) Image I^T

Figure 16: Single Pixel Coincidences (Left and right: reference image I^R at 0° and rotated image I^T at 8°)

Single-Pixel Scatterplot $(Z_j^R, Z_j^T)_{j=1}^p$

Figure 17: Grey level scatterplots. 1st Col: target=reference slice. 2nd Col: target = reference+1 slice.

Higher Level Features

Disadvantages of single-pixel features:

- Only depends on histogram of single pixel pairs

- Insensitive to spatial reording of pixels in each image

- Difficult to select out grey level anomalies (shadows, speckle)

- Spatial discriminants fall outside of single pixel domain

- **Alternative**: Aggregate spatial features

Local Tags

(a) Image I^R (b) Image I^T

Figure 18: Local Tag Coincidences

Generalization: α-MI Registration of Coincident Features

- X: a $N \times N$ US image (lexicographically ordered)

- $Z = Z(X)$: a general image feature vector in a d-dimensional feature space

Let $\{Z_0(k)\}_{k=1}^K$ and $\{Z_i(k)\}_{k=1}^K$ be features extracted from X_0 and X_i at K pairs of identical spatial locations

α-MI coincident-feature criterion

$$\text{T} = \text{argmax}_{\text{T}_i} \hat{\text{MI}}_\alpha$$

where $\hat{\text{MI}}_\alpha$ is an estimate of

$$\text{MI}_\alpha(f_{0,i}) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \int \int f_{0,i}^\alpha(z_0, z_1) f_0^{1-\alpha}(z_0) f_i^{1-\alpha}(z_1) dz_1 dz_0. \qquad (2)$$

α-MI and Decision Theoretic Error Exponents

$$H_0 \quad : \quad Z_0(k), Z_i(k) \;\; \text{independent}$$

$$H_1 \quad : \quad Z_0(k), Z_i(k) \;\; \text{o.w.}$$

Bayes probability of error

$$P_e(n) \quad = \quad \beta(n)P(H_1) + \alpha(n)P(H_0)$$

Chernoff bound

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_e(n) = - \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left\{ (1-\alpha)\mathrm{MI}_\alpha(f_{0,i}) \right\}.$$

ICA Features

Decomposition of $M \times M$ tag images $Y(k)$ acquired at $k = 1, \ldots, K$ spatial locations

$$Y(k) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} a_{kp} S_p$$

- $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{P}$: statistically independent components

- a_{kp}: projection coefficients of tag $Y(k)$ onto component S_p

- $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{P}$ and P: selected via FastICA

- Feature vector for coincidence processing:

$$Z(k) = [a_{k1}, \ldots, a_{kP}]^T$$

ICA feature basis for US breast images

Figure 19: *Estimated ICA basis set using FastICA*

Simpler Objective Function: α-Jensen Difference

1. Extract features from reference and transformed target images:

$$X_m = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^m \quad and \quad Y_n = \{Y_i\}_{i=1}^n$$

2. Construct following MST function on X_m and Y_n

$$\Delta L = \ln L_\gamma(X_m \cup Y_n)/(n+m)^\alpha - \frac{m}{n+m} \ln L_\gamma(X_m)/m^\alpha - \frac{n}{n+m} \ln L_\gamma(Y_n)/n^\alpha$$

3. Minimize ΔL_γ over transformations producing Y_n.

$$(1-\alpha)^{-1}\Delta L \;\to\; H_\alpha(\varepsilon f_x + (1-\varepsilon)f_y) - \varepsilon H_\alpha(f_x) - (1-\varepsilon)H_\alpha(f_y)$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{m}{m+n}$

<u>Illustration</u>


Figure 20: MST demonstration for misaligned images

Figure 21: MST for aligned images. "x" denotes reference while "o" denotes a candidate image in the DEM database.

Quantitative Performance Comparisons for US Registration

Effect of Additive Noise on peak of objective function


Figure 22: *US registration MSE comparisons.*

Application to Classification of Shape Manifolds

Intrinsic Dimension of Shape Manifolds

Focus applications: face compression and recognition, lung nodule classification, minimal feature selection

Property: $N \times N$ images $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ of smooth shapes lie on a proper d-dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{R}^{N^2}$:

$$X_i \in S \subset \mathbf{R}^{N^2}$$

Implications:

1. *Extrinsic dimension $N^2 > $ Intrinsic dimension d*

2. N^2-dimensional image density $f(x)$ is concentrated on S and has *extrinsic entropy*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N^2}} f^\alpha(x) dx = 0$$

MST and Intrinsic Dimension

Proposition 1 *Let the manifold $S \subset \mathbf{R}^{N^2}$ have dimension d_o. Then if $X \in \mathbf{R}^{N^2}$ are i.i.d. realizations from a Lebesgue density $f(x)$ on S:*

$$E[L_\gamma(X_1,\ldots,X_n)]/n^{(d-\gamma)/d} \to \begin{cases} \infty, & d < d_o \\ \beta_{L_\gamma,d_o} \int_S f^{(d_o-\gamma)/d_o}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x, & d = d_o \\ 0, & d > d_o \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow$ **Estimation**: of $[d, H_\alpha]$ via LS solution $[\hat{\alpha}, \hat{C}]$ to

$$\ln E[L_\gamma(X_1,\ldots,X_n)] = \overbrace{(d-\gamma)/d}^{\alpha} \ln n + C + \varepsilon_n, \quad n \geq n_o \ldots$$

- $\hat{d} = \gamma/(1-\hat{\alpha})$
- $\hat{H}_\alpha = \hat{C}/(1-\hat{\alpha})$

Estimation Procedure Applied to Shape Database

Intrinsic dimensionality of shape manifold is estimated using MST:

Initialize: Using entire database of shapes construct distance matrix E on face manifold via *isomap* (Tenenbaum *etal* 2000).

For $n = n_o, \ldots, n_1$

   Repeat

      Randomly select n face images from database

      Construct MST over n face images using E matrix

   end repeat

   Compute sample average MST length $\hat{L}_\gamma(n)$

end for

Estimate d and H_α from $\{\hat{L}_\gamma(n)\}_n$ via LS

Application to Yale Face Database

Figure 23: *Samples of a face from Yale database.*

- Faces of 4 persons investigated

- 585 poses and illumination conditions for each person

- extrinsic dimension = 4096, $\gamma = 1$

Application to Yale Face Database

Figure 24: *Avg. intrinsic MST length for three faces.*

Application to Yale Face Database: Results

Single Faces

$\Rightarrow$ Dimension of single face manifold: $3 < \hat{d} < 4$

$\Rightarrow$ Entropy of single face manifold: $28 < \hat{H}_\alpha < 32$

Multiple Faces

$\Rightarrow$ Dimension of any 3 face manifold: $6 < \hat{d} < 7$

$\Rightarrow$ Entropy of 3 face manifold: $34 < \hat{H}_\alpha < 40$

Conclusions

1. Entropic graphs can be used to estimate α-entropy and α-divergence

2. MST and k-NN applied to high dimensional feature-based image registration

3. Clustering using entropic K-point graphs

4. Extensions to larger class of continuous quasi-additive graphs (Yukich)

5. Can use entropic graphs to explore multivariate shape distributions

6. Can also handle case of unknown shape manifold dimension