Regularized fieldmap estimation in MRI

Jeffrey A. Fessler and Desmond Yeo

EECS Dept. The University of Michigan

Douglas C. Noll

BME Dept. The University of Michigan

> ISBI Apr. 9, 2006

Introduction

- Focus: fast single-shot MR imaging, such as echo-planar imaging (EPI) or spiral imaging for fMRI
- Long readout times

 \implies sensitive to B_0 field inhomogeniety / magnetic susceptibility

- Accurate correction for off-resonance effects requires a field map
- Field-corrected MR image reconstruction
 - Pixel-shifting for EPI

(Sekihara et al., 1985, IEEE T-MI)

- Conjugate phase
- Iterative

(Macovski, 1985, MRM; Noll et al., 2005, IEEE T-MI)

(Sutton et al., 2003, IEEE T-MI)

Measurement model

Two reconstructed images with slightly different echo times:

$$y_j = f_j + \varepsilon_j$$

$$z_j = f_j e^{ix_j} + \eta_j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n_p$$

- f_j : unknown complex transverse magnetization of the *j*th voxel
- *n*_p: number of voxels
- $x_j = \omega_j \triangle_t$: accrued phase
- ω_j : off-resonance of *j*th voxel
- \triangle_t : echo-time difference
- ε_j , η_j :e (complex) noise.

Goal: estimate phase map $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_p})$ from images \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} .

The unknown image $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_{n_p})$ is a nuisance parameter vector.

Conventional phase estimator

Phase difference of the two images:

(Sekihara et al., 1985, IEEE T-MI):

$$\hat{x}_j = \angle (y_j^* z_j) = \angle z_j - \angle y_j$$

• Fieldmap estimate is simply scaled by echo-time difference:

$$\hat{\omega}_j = \hat{x}_j / \triangle_t$$
.

- Works perfectly in the absence of noise and phase wrapping, within voxels where $|f_j| > 0$.
- Sensitive to noise in voxels where magnitude $|f_j|$ is small.
- Ignores a priori knowledge that fieldmaps tend to be smooth
- Post-filtering works poorly because x̂_j is severely corrupted in low SNR voxels.

Maximum-likelihood phase estimation

Maximum likelihood (ML) method based on a statistical model.

Assume independent zero-mean white gaussian complex noise. Assume y and z have same variance σ^2 .

Joint log-likelihood for f and x given y and z is

$$\log \mathsf{p}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x}) = \log \mathsf{p}(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{f}) + \log \mathsf{p}(\mathbf{z}; \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x})$$
$$\equiv \frac{-1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{p}}} \left(|y_j - f_j|^2 + |z_j - f_j \mathbf{e}^{ix_j}|^2 \right).$$

Simultaneous ML estimation of image f and phase map x:

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^{n_{\mathrm{p}}}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \underset{\boldsymbol{f}\in\mathbb{C}^{n_{\mathrm{p}}}}{\operatorname{fem}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{p}}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} y_{j} \\ z_{j} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ e^{\iota x_{j}} \end{bmatrix} f_{j} \right\|^{2}.$$

ML solution

Quadratic cost function in f_j , leading to the following ML estimate:

$$\hat{f}_j = \frac{y_j + \mathrm{e}^{-\iota x_j} z_j}{2}$$

Substituting back into the cost function and simplifying yields the following minimization problem for ML estimation of x:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \Psi(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \Psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{p}}} \frac{1}{2} |y_j - \mathrm{e}^{-\imath x_j} z_j|^2.$$

After simplifying:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{p}}} |y_j z_j| \left[1 - \cos(\angle z_j - \angle y_j - x_j)\right].$$

One ML estimate is the minimizer:

$$\hat{x}_j = \angle z_j - \angle y_j.$$

: Conventional phase estimate \equiv ML estimate!

Penalized likelihood phase / fieldmap estimation

ML estimate ignores *a priori* smoothness of fieldmaps.
Penalized-likelihood approach using regularization (aka MAP)
Regularize phase map *x* with strong roughness penalty
No regularization of magnetization map *f* (anatomical details)

Regularized cost function:

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{p}} |y_{j}z_{j}| \left[1 - \cos(\angle z_{j} - \angle y_{j} - x_{j})\right] + \beta R(\boldsymbol{x})$$

Down-weights data in voxels where the magnitude $|y_j z_j|$ is small. In such voxels the phase will be estimated from neighboring voxels, due to the spatial roughness penalty $R(\mathbf{x})$:

$$R(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \Psi(x[n,m] - x[n-1,m]) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \Psi(x[n,m] - x[n,m-1]).$$

Quadratic potential function $\psi(t) = t^2/2$. (No "edge" preservation.)

Minimization algorithm

Optimization transfer approach leads to diagonally preconditioned gradient descent algorithm:

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{(n+1)} = \boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{1}{|y_j z_j| + \beta \cdot 4}\right\} \nabla \Psi(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)})$$

• Initialize $x^{(0)}$ with conventional (aka ML) phase estimate

- Guaranteed to decrease $\Psi(\mathbf{x})$ monotonically
- Ψ nonconvex \implies convergence to a local minimizer of $\Psi(x)$

(movie in pdf)

PWLS fieldmap estimator

Echo time difference \triangle_t usually small enough to prevent phase wrap.

Taylor series approximation $1 - \cos(t) \approx t^2/2$.

Penalized weighted-least squares (PWLS) cost function:

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{p}}} w_j \frac{1}{2} \left(\angle z_j - \angle y_j - x_j \right)^2 + \beta R(\boldsymbol{x}),$$

where we define a magnitude weighting function: $w_j \triangleq |y_j z_j|$.

PWLS estimators give more weight to the "good data" and use regularization to control noise.

Alternative: binarize the weights w_j using a threshold:

$$w_j \triangleq \begin{cases} 1, |y_j z_j| > \gamma \\ 0, \text{ otherwise}, \end{cases}$$
 $e.g., \gamma = 0.4 \max_j |y_j z_j|.$

Use conjugate gradient (CG) algorithm for minimization.

Example (3T MR scan)



Results

- Real data from a 3T MR scanner.
- 150 iterations for PL: 4.4 s (Matlab on G5)
- 150 iterations for PWLS: 2.2 s
- 3% normalized RMS difference for PL method vs PWLS approximation with ML weights.
- 42% normalized RMS difference for PWLS with binary weights vs PWLS with ML weights.

Simulation 1

True field map



Conventional





PL

PWLS (ML)



|yi|





error

RMSE = 23.3 Hz

error



RMSE = 2.7 Hz

error



RMSE = 2.7 Hz

Field map display range: -40 to 120 Hz Error map display range: -20 to 20 Hz.

Simulation 2



Field map display range: -40 to 120 Hz Error map display range: -20 to 20 Hz.

Effect on EPI



30 msec EPI readout.20 iterations of PWLS-CG field-corrected image reconstruction

Choosing regularization parameter β

Spatial resolution analysis of fieldmap estimator (Fessler *et al.*, IEEE T-MI, 1996):

$$\mathsf{E}[\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}] \approx \underbrace{\left[\boldsymbol{I} + \beta \operatorname{diag}\{w_j\}^{-1} \boldsymbol{C}' \boldsymbol{C}\right]}_{\text{"filter"}} \boldsymbol{x},$$

where C is the 1st-order or 2nd-order differencing matrix.

Local frequency response of the "filter" is: (Unser *et al.* IEEE T-SP 1991) $H(\omega_1, \omega_2) \approx \frac{1}{1 + (\beta/w_j)(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)^p},$

for regularization based on *p*th-order differences, where p = 1 or 2.

- Inverse 2D DSFT yields PSF h[n,m].
- Tabulate FWHM of PSF vs β/w_j .
- Select required β based on desired spatial resolution (FWHM).

PSF FWHM vs β/w_j



PSF Shape (2nd-order preferable)



Summary

- Conventional phase estimate equivalent to joint ML estimate
- Penalized-likelihood estimation reduces fieldmap error
- PWLS estimator performs similarly: preferable due to simplicity in absence of phase wrap

Future work

- Accelerate by preconditioning or multi-resolution
- Regularized estimation from k-space data
- Illustrate effects of phase errors on real EPI and spiral scans