Simultaneous Fat Saturation and Magnetization Transfer Preparation with 2D Small-tip Fast Recovery Imaging

Feng Zhao¹, Scott D Swanson², Jon-Fredrik Nielsen¹, Jeffrey A Fessler³, and Douglas C Noll¹

¹Biomedical Engineering, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States, ²Radiology, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States, ³EECS, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States

Introduction: Small-Tip Fast Recovery imaging (STFR) is a steady-state imaging sequence that produces bSSFP-like images free of banding artifacts [1]. One advantage of STFR over bSSFP is its better compatibility with magnetization preparation, e.g., fat saturation, as the preparation can be done in every repetition without interrupting the steady state. A 3D tailored spectral-spatial (SPSP) pulse has been proposed to do fat sat for the 2D STFR sequence in

presence of B_0/B_1 inhomogeneities [2]. This abstract describes an incidental magnetization transfer (MT) effect observed in phantoms and in-vivo experiments, which we believe can be desirable in clinical applications where both fat suppression and MT contrast are needed, e.g., cardiac imaging [3], cartilage imaging [3], breast imaging [3], and MR angiography [3]. We also demonstrate that STFR is much more sensitive to MT preparation than regular non-steady-state sequences. With simultaneous MT and fat sat preparation, STFR can efficiently produce high SNR MT contrast images that are free of artifacts caused by B_0/B_1 inhomogeneities. Moreover, MT contrast produced by the proposed sequence can be better controlled than bSSFP based MT imaging [4].

Theory: Fig. 1 shows 2D STFR with fat sat, where the typical STFR sequence [1] containing slice-select tipdown pulse (P₁) and 2D tailored tip-up pulse (P₂) with gradient crusher (C₁) is followed by fat sat preparation (P₃ and C₂) that saturates and crushes fat [2]; a new RF spoiling scheme is applied to the sequence [2]. When P₃ is the proposed SPSP fat sat pulse, its integrated energy is typically 300~600 times of that of P₁ and P₂ combined, so any MT effect should be mainly caused by P₃. Note that P₃ can be regular spectral selective fat sat pulses if B₀/B₁ inhomogeneity is not a problem; P₃ can be any MT preparation pulses and C₂ may not be required if fat sat is not needed. Extending the STFR signal equation [1], the steady-state signal equation of water M_t(r) in terms of the MT ratio (MTR), r, caused by P₃ can be described as M_t(r) = M₀ sin $\alpha[rE_{1s}^2(1 - E_{1f})\cos\alpha + (1 + rE_{1s})(1 - E_{1s})]/[1 - \varepsilon_{1s}^2(E_{2f} sin^2 \alpha - E_{1f} cos^2 \alpha)]$, where M₀ is the magnetization in equilibrium, α is the flip angle of P₁/P₂, E_{1s} $\triangleq e^{-T_{f}/T_{2}}$, T_s is the duration of each gradient spoiler, and T_f is the free precession time, i.e., $\notin 0.4$ the time from the peak of tip-down pulse to the beginning of P₂. r is 1 with an ideal fat sat pulse, but smaller than 1 when there is water excitation or MT effect. The set of curves shown in Fig. 2 are M_t(r)/M_t(1) vs MTR for different T₁ and T₂ values (0.5 s \leq T₁ \leq 2s, 50 ms \leq T₂ \leq 200 ms), where the signal drops very rapidly as r is reduced from 1 to about 0.8, e.g., only 5% drop of r could cause 80% signal drop; this shows significantly high sensitivity of the sequence to magnetization preparation. So the proposed sequence can potentially produce the desired MT effect with a much smaller SAR requirement for the preparation pulse than conventional non-steady-state MT sequences.





<u>Methods and Results:</u> We applied STFR with tailored SPSP fat sat in phantoms on a 3T GE scanner to demonstrate simultaneous fat sat and MT preparation. Three types of materials were put together in one FOV (see Fig. 3): mineral oil, water (MnCl₂ doped) and an MT phantom. The latter two materials have similar T_1 and T_2 as average brain tissue to rule out the potential T_2 effect in the experiment (different intensities of these two parts in the images are mainly due to different T_2^*), and the MT phantom was designed to have similar MT effect to white matter [5]. The tailored tip-up pulse and a 2.7 ms tailored fat sat



Fig. 3: The phantom experiment

pulse were designed according to the B_0 map (-168~222 Hz, FOV = 18cm). 64*64 Cartesian sampled 2D data were acquired with spin-warp readout and the T_R was 16 ms. Fig. 3 shows the images acquired with fat sat on or off. When fat sat is turned on, the fat part is nulled, liquid water stays the same, and the MT phantom drops off by around 40% due to the MT effect.

We further tested the proposed sequence in an in-vivo experiment on the 3T GE scanner, where we scanned an axial brain slice of a healthy subject. Similar to the previous experiment, the tailored tip-up pulse and a 2.3 ms tailored fat sat pulse were designed based on the B_0 map (-25~54 Hz, 24 cm FOV), and 256*256 Cartesian data were acquired with the same imaging sequence with $T_R = 19.1$ ms. The images with or without fat sat are shown in Fig. 4, where we can clearly see the simultaneous fat sat (around skull) and MT effect (mainly in white matter).

Discussion and Conclusions: We demonstrated the high MT

sensitivity of the proposed sequence in theory, which can significantly reduce SAR requirements compared to regular MT sequences. This fact may also be utilized for other types of magnetization preparation sequences, e.g., chemical exchange saturation transfer imaging [6]. Phantom and in-vivo experiments demonstrated that the proposed STFR sequence with tailored SPSP fat sat can potentially produce banding-free images with MT preparation and uniform fat suppression in the presence of B₀ inhomogeneities. The fat sat pulse can also be replaced by regular MT preparation pulse for MT only preparation. The proposed pulse is extendable to 3D imaging where parallel excitation will be required for good RF pulse performance over the entire volume [7] [8]. Moreover, with B₁ map incorporated into the design of P₂ and the tailored fat sat pulse, the proposed pulse can potentially address B₁ inhomogeneity problems which are typical in body imaging.



References: [1] Nielsen et al., MRM 2012 April. [2] Zhao et al., Proc. ISMRM 21: ?. [3] Baudouin, Encyclopedia of MR 2007: 74-83. [4] Bieri et al., MRM 2007: 511-518. [5] Swanson et al., ISMRM 21: ?. [6] Van Zijl et al., MRM 2011: 927-948. [7] Sun et al., ISMRM 21: ?. [8] Zhao et al., Proc. ISMRM 20: 636. Acknowledgements: This work is supported by NIH Grants R01NS58576 and R21EB012674.