EECS 598: Statistical Learning Theory, Winter 2014

The Bayes Classifier

Lecturer: Clayton Scott

Scribe: William Cunningham

Topic 2

Disclaimer: These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.

1 Introduction

Recall that a Bayes classifier is a classifier whose risk R(h) is minimal among all possible classifiers, and the minimum risk R^* is called the Bayes risk. Assume $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$ and define

$$\eta(x) := Pr(Y = 1|X = x),$$

the posterior probability of the class being one, and sometimes called the regression function because $\eta(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x]$ when $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$. Also define

$$h^*(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta(x) \ge \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2 Properties of the Bayes Risk

Theorem 1. (a) $R(h^*) = R^*$, i.e., h^* is a Bayes classifier.

(b) For any
$$h$$
, $\underbrace{R(h) - R^*}_{excess \ risk} = 2\mathbb{E}_X\left[\left|\eta(X) - \frac{1}{2}\right| \mathbf{1}_{\{h(X) \neq h^*(X)\}}\right]$

(c) $R^* = \mathbb{E}_X \left[\min(\eta(X), 1 - \eta(X)) \right]$

Proof. We know that for any h,

$$R(h) = \mathbb{E}_{XY} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X) \neq Y\}} \right]$$

= $\mathbb{E}_X \mathbb{E}_{Y|X} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{h(X) \neq Y\}} \right]$
= $\mathbb{E}_X \left[\eta(X) \mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=0\}} + (1 - \eta(X)) \mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=1\}} \right]$

To minimize R(h), it suffices to for h(x) to be such that $\forall x$,

$$\eta(x)\mathbf{1}_{\{h(x)=0\}} + (1-\eta(x))\mathbf{1}_{\{h(x)=1\}}$$

is minimized. We also note that the indicators here are mutually exclusive, so it suffices to take

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta(x) \ge 1 - \eta(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Therefore $R(h^*) = R^*$. This proves part (a).

To prove (b), notice

$$R(h) - R^* = R(h) - R(h^*)$$

= $\mathbb{E}_X [\eta(X) \mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=0\}} + (1 - \eta(X)) \mathbf{1}_{\{h(X)=1\}}$
 $- \eta(X) \mathbf{1}_{\{h^*(X)=0\}} - (1 - \eta(X)) \mathbf{1}_{\{h^*(X)=1\}}]$
= $\mathbb{E}_X \left[\left| 2\eta(X) - 1 \right| \mathbf{1}_{\{h(X) \neq h^*(X)\}} \right]$
= $2\mathbb{E}_X \left[\left| \eta(X) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \mathbf{1}_{\{h(X) \neq h^*(X)\}} \right],$

where the third equality holds by considering the cases in Table 1.

$$h(x) = \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ h^*(x) \end{matrix}$$

Table 1: This table shows the possible combinations of values of the argument to the expectation above given the possible values of h(x) and $h^*(x)$. From this, we can simplify the expression for the expectation.

Finally, (c) follows from the definition of h^* :

$$R(h^*) = \mathbb{E}_X \left[\eta(X) \mathbf{1}_{\{h^*(X)=0\}} + (1 - \eta(X)) \mathbf{1}_{\{h^*(X)=1\}} \right) \right]$$

= $\mathbb{E}_X \left[\min(\eta(X), 1 - \eta(X)) \right].$

Remark. By (b), h^* can be redefined arbitrarily for any x such that $\eta(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ and still be a Bayes classifier. People often refer to h^* as the Bayes classifier.

Remark. From (c), we see that η determines the difficulty of the classification problem. Figure 1 shows a setting where the Bayes risk is small, and Figure 2 shows a case where it is large.

Remark. As a final remark, we note that the Bayes classifier can be expressed in different equivalent forms. Assume that there exist class-conditional densities p_0, p_1 . Let $\pi_y = P_Y(Y = y)$, the prior probability of class y. By Bayes' rule,

$$\eta(x) = \frac{\pi_1 p_1(x)}{\pi_1 p_1(x) + \pi_0 p_0(x)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_1} \frac{p_0(x)}{p_1(x)}}.$$

This is equivalent to the *likelihood ratio test*

$$\frac{p_1(x)}{p_0(x)} \ge \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_1} \Longleftrightarrow \eta(x) \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$



Figure 1: An easy classification problem. In the case where $X \sim unif[0, 1]$, the area of the shaded region equals the Bayes risk.



Figure 2: A hard classification problem. In the case where $X \sim unif[0, 1]$, the area of the shaded region equals the Bayes risk.

3 Plug-in Classifiers

A plug-in classifier is based on an estimate of η . This estimate is then plugged in to the formula for h^* . Thus, suppose that $\hat{\eta}_n$ is an estimate of η based on (X_i, Y_i) , i = 1, ..., n. We define $\hat{h}_n(x)$ as

$$\widehat{h}_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \widehat{\eta}_n(x) \ge \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following result follows from Theorem 1. The proof is left as an exercise. Corollary 1.

$$R(\widehat{h}_n) - R^* \le 2\mathbb{E}_X\left[\left|\eta(X) - \widehat{\eta}_n(X)\right|\right]$$

Therefore, if $\mathbb{E}_X[|\eta(X) - \hat{\eta}_n(X)|]$ approaches zero (in probability/almost surely) then the classifier \hat{h}_n is (weakly/strongly) consistent. However, if classification is the goal, then the plug-in approach may be unwise because estimating η is potentially much harder than estimating h. Section 3 shows an example of an $\eta(x)$ which would be harder to accurately estimate than the $h^*(X)$ derived from it. It should be noted, however, that sometimes estimation of η is also of interest, a problem known as *class probability estimation*. One popular method for solving this problem is logistic regression.



Figure 3: Estimating η could be much harder than estimating the "level set" $\{x : \eta(x) \ge \frac{1}{2}\}$.

Exercises

- 1. Extend Theorem 1 to the multiclass case, $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$. Part (b) may or may not have a nice generalization.
- 2. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Define the α -cost-sensitive risk of a classifier h to be

$$R_{\alpha}(h) := \mathbb{E}_{XY} \left[(1 - \alpha) \mathbf{1}_{\{Y=1, h(X)=0\}} + \alpha \mathbf{1}_{\{Y=0, h(X)=1\}} \right].$$

Determine the Bayes classifier and prove an analogue of Theorem 1 for this risk.

3. Prove Corollary 1.