CONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION

A general constrained optimization problem has the form

$$\min_{x} \; f(x)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad g(x) \le 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$
$$\qquad h_i(x) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If x satisfies all the constraints,

A we say x is ________. Assume f is defined on all feasible points.

$\boxed{\text{Lagrangian Duality}}$

The ____________ function is

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) := f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i g_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i h_i(x)$$

and $\lambda = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m]^T$ and $\nu = [\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p]^T$ are called ________ ________ or ______ ________.

The (Lagrange) dual function is

$$L_D(\lambda, \nu) := \min_x L(x, \lambda, \nu)$$

Note) L_D is concave, being the point-wise minimum of a family of affine functions



(8) Then we can define the ____ optimization problem:

$$\max_{\lambda, \nu : \lambda_i \geq 0} L_D(\lambda, \nu)$$

Why would we constrain $\lambda_i \geq 0$?

The Primal

Similarly, we could define the ______ function

$$L_P(x) := \max_{\lambda, \nu : \lambda_i \geq 0} L(x, \lambda, \nu)$$

and the primal optimization problem

$$\min_x L_P(x) = \min_x \max_{\lambda, \nu : \lambda_i \geq 0} L(x, \lambda, \nu)$$

It is like the dual but the min + max are swapped.

Notice that

$$L_p(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \text{ is feasible} \\ -\infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Thus the primal encompasses the original problem (i.e., they have the same solution).

Weak Duality

<u>Claim</u> $d^* := \max_{\lambda, \nu : \lambda_i \geq 0} \min_x L(x, \lambda, \nu)$

$$\leq \min_x \max_{\lambda, \nu : \lambda_i \geq 0} L(x, \lambda, \nu) =: p^*$$

Proof: Let $\tilde{x}$ be feasible. Then for any λ, ν with $\lambda_i \geq 0$,

$$L(\tilde{x}, \lambda, \nu) = f(\tilde{x}) + \sum \lambda_i g_i(\tilde{x}) + \sum \nu_i h_i(\tilde{x}) \leq f(\tilde{x})$$

Hence

$$L_D(\lambda, \nu) = \min_x L(x, \lambda, \nu) \leq L(\tilde{x}, \lambda, \nu) \leq f(\tilde{x}).$$

This is true for any feasible $\tilde{x}$, so

$$L_D(\lambda, \nu) \leq \min_{\substack{\tilde{x} \\ \tilde{x} \text{ feasible}}} f(\tilde{x}) = p^*$$

Taking the max over $\lambda, \nu : \lambda_i \geq 0$, we have

$$d^* = \max_{\lambda, \nu : \lambda_i \geq 0} L_D(\lambda, \nu) \leq p^*$$ ◻

(c) The difference $p^* - d^*$ is called the _____ _____.

Strong Duality

If $p^* = d^*$, we say strong duality holds.

Theorem | If the primal problem is _____ (f, g_i convex, h_i affine), and a constraint qualification holds, then $p^* = d^*$.

Examples | of constraint qualifications:

- All g_i are ________.
- $\exists\, x$ s.t. $h_i(x) = 0\ \forall i$, $g_i(x) < 0\ \forall i$ (strict feasibility)

KKT Conditions

Assume f, g_i, h_i are differentiable.

Necessity

Theorem | If $p^* = d^*$, x^* is primal optimal, and (λ^*, ν^*) is dual optimal, then the Karesh-Kuhn-Tucker conditions hold:

KKT conditions

$$\begin{cases} (1)\ \nabla f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* \nabla g_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i^* \nabla h_i(x^*) = 0 \\ (2)\ g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i=1,\dots,m \\ (3)\ h_i(x^*) = 0, \quad i=1,\dots,p \\ (4)\ \lambda_i^* \geq 0, \quad i=1,\dots,m \\ (5)\ \lambda_i^* g_i(x^*) = 0, \quad i=1,\dots,m \qquad \text{(complementary slackness)} \end{cases}$$

Proof: To prove the first condition, notice that $L(x, \lambda^*, \nu^*)$

is minimized by x^*, and thus its gradient wrt x must be 0 at x^*.

To prove the last condition, write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^*) &= L_D(\lambda^*, \nu^*) \\ &= \min_x \left(f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* g_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i^* h_i(x) \right) \\ &\leq f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* g_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i^* h_i(x^*) \\ &\leq f(x^*) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\lambda_i^* g_i(x^*) = 0 \quad \forall i$ $\boxtimes$

Sufficiency

Theorem | If the primal problem is convex (i.e., f, g_i are convex functions, h_i are affine), and $\tilde{x}, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\nu}$ satisfy the KKT conditions, then $\tilde{x}$ is primal optimal, $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\nu})$ is dual optimal, and the duality gap is zero.

Proof: (2), (3) $\Rightarrow$ $\tilde{x}$ is feasible

(4) $\Rightarrow$ $L(x, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\nu})$ is convex in x

(1) $\Rightarrow$ $\tilde{x}$ is a minimizer of $L(x, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\nu})$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_D(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\nu}) &= L(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\nu}) \\
&= f(\tilde{x}) + \underbrace{\sum \tilde{\lambda}_i g_i(\tilde{x}) + \sum \tilde{\nu}_i h_i(\tilde{x})}_{=0 \text{ by } (5)} \\
&= f(\tilde{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

$\blacksquare$

$\boxed{\text{Conclusion}}$ If a constrained optimization problem is differentiable, convex, and a constraint qualification holds, then the KKT conditions are necessary and sufficient for primal / dual optimality (with zero duality gap). Thus, the KKT conditions can be used to solve such problems.

$\boxed{\text{Key}}$ A. feasible ; Lagrangian ; Lagrange multipliers or dual variables

B. dual ; primal

C. duality gap ; convex ; affine

$\boxed{\text{Reference}}$ Convex Optimization, Ch 5
Boyd + Vandenberghe
(available online)