Session #5: Learning With Errors

Chris Peikert Georgia Institute of Technology

Winter School on Lattice-Based Cryptography and Applications Bar-Ilan University, Israel 19 Feb 2012 – 22 Feb 2012

Lattice-Based Crypto & Applications, Bar-Ilan University, Israel 2012

1/15

▶ SIS: find "small" nontrivial $z_1, \ldots, z_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_2 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \qquad \cdots \qquad \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} \qquad \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$$

▶ SIS: find "small" nontrivial $z_1, \ldots, z_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that:

$$z_1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + z_2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_2 \\ | \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + z_m \cdot \begin{pmatrix} | \\ \mathbf{a}_m \\ | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | \\ 0 \\ | \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$$

▶ SIS: find "short" nonzero $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that:

$$\underbrace{\left(\cdots \quad \mathbf{A} \quad \cdots \right)}_{m} \left(\mathbf{z}
ight) = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$

▶ SIS: find "short" nonzero $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{A} & \cdots \end{pmatrix}}_{m} \left(\mathbf{z} \right) = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$

This talk: a complementary problem, Learning With Errors

Overview of LWE Hardness



History of LWE

Crypto papers with "something new" regarding LWE:



• Dimension n (security param), modulus $q \ge 2$

- Dimension n (security param), modulus $q \ge 2$
- Search: find $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ given 'noisy random inner products'

$$\mathbf{a}_{1} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n} , \ \mathbf{b}_{1} = \langle \mathbf{s} , \ \mathbf{a}_{1} \rangle + e_{1}$$
$$\mathbf{a}_{2} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n} , \ \mathbf{b}_{2} = \langle \mathbf{s} , \ \mathbf{a}_{2} \rangle + e_{2}$$

÷

• Dimension n (security param), modulus $q \ge 2$, 'error rate' $\alpha \ll 1$

Search: find $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ given 'noisy random inner products'

$$\mathbf{a}_{1} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n} , \mathbf{b}_{1} = \langle \mathbf{s} , \mathbf{a}_{1} \rangle + e_{1}$$
$$\mathbf{a}_{2} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n} , \mathbf{b}_{2} = \langle \mathbf{s} , \mathbf{a}_{2} \rangle + e_{2}$$
$$\vdots$$
Errors $e_{i} \leftarrow \chi = \text{Gaussian over } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ param } \alpha q$
$$\alpha \cdot q > \sqrt{n}$$

• Dimension n (security param), modulus $q \ge 2$, 'error rate' $\alpha \ll 1$

Search: find $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ given 'noisy random inner products'

$$\mathbf{a}_{1} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}, \ b_{1} = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}_{1} \rangle + e_{1}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{2} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}, \ b_{2} = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}_{2} \rangle + e_{2}$$

$$\vdots$$
Errors $e_{i} \leftarrow \chi = \text{Gaussian over } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ param } \alpha q$

$$\alpha \cdot q > \sqrt{n}$$
Decision: distinguish (\mathbf{a}_{i}, b_{i}) from uniform (\mathbf{a}_{i}, b_{i}) pairs

- ▶ Dimension n (security param), modulus $q \ge 2$, 'error rate' $\alpha \ll 1$
- Search: find $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ given 'noisy random inner products'

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{m} \\ | & | \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{b}^{t} = \mathbf{s}^{t}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^{t}$$
Errors $e_{i} \leftarrow \chi = \text{Gaussian over } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ param } \alpha q$

$$\alpha \cdot q > \sqrt{n}$$
Decision: distinguish $(\mathbf{a}_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i})$ from uniform (\mathbf{a}_{i}, b_{i}) pairs

- ▶ Dimension n (security param), modulus $q \ge 2$, 'error rate' $\alpha \ll 1$
- **Search:** <u>find</u> $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ given 'noisy random inner products'

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{m} \\ | & | \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{b}^{t} = \mathbf{s}^{t}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^{t}$$
Errors $e_{i} \leftarrow \chi = \text{Gaussian over } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ param } \alpha q$

$$\alpha \cdot q > \sqrt{n}$$
Decision: distinguish $(\mathbf{a}_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i})$ from uniform $(\mathbf{a}_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i})$ pairs
Generalizes LPN $(q = 2, \text{ Bernoulli noise})$ [AL'88,BFKL'94,...]

- ▶ Dimension n (security param), modulus $q \ge 2$, 'error rate' $\alpha \ll 1$
- ▶ Search: find $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ given 'noisy random inner products'

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{m} \\ | & \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{b}^{t} = \mathbf{s}^{t}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^{t}$$
Errors $e_{i} \leftarrow \chi = \text{Gaussian over } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ param } \alpha q$

$$\alpha \cdot q > \sqrt{n}$$
Decision: distinguish (\mathbf{a}_{i}, b_{i}) from uniform (\mathbf{a}_{i}, b_{i}) pairs
Generalizes LPN ($q = 2$, Bernoulli noise) [AL'88,BFKL'94,...]

• Why error
$$\alpha q > \sqrt{n}$$
?

* Required by worst-case hardness proofs [R'05,P'09]

- ▶ Dimension n (security param), modulus $q \ge 2$, 'error rate' $\alpha \ll 1$
- ▶ Search: find $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ given 'noisy random inner products'

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t$$
Errors $e_i \leftarrow \chi = \text{Gaussian over } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ param } \alpha q$

$$\alpha \cdot q > \sqrt{n}$$
Decision: distinguish (\mathbf{a}_i, b_i) from uniform (\mathbf{a}_i, b_i) pairs

Generalizes LPN (q = 2, Bernoulli noise) [AL'88, BFKL'94,...]

• Why error
$$\alpha q > \sqrt{n}$$
?

- ★ Required by worst-case hardness proofs [R'05,P'09]
- ★ There's an $\exp((\alpha q)^2)$ -time attack! [AG'11]

Lattice-Based Crypto & Applications, Bar-Ilan University, Israel 2012

$\frac{SIS}{Az = 0, \text{ 'short' } z \neq 0} \qquad (A, b^t = s^t A + e^t) \text{ vs. } (A, b^t)$

$\frac{\mathsf{SIS}}{\mathbf{Az} = \mathbf{0}, \text{ 'short' } \mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}} \qquad \qquad (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t) \text{ vs. } (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH

SIS

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$, 'short' $\mathbf{z}\neq\mathbf{0}$

 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH LWE

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH

SIS

Az=0, 'short' $z\neq 0$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z

LWE

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH

SIS

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$, 'short' $\mathbf{z}
eq \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- Unique solution s (w/short e)

SIS

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$, 'short' $\mathbf{z}
eq \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z
- ► LWE ≤ SIS: if Az = 0, then $b^t z = e^t z$ is small, but $b^t z$ is 'well-spread'

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- Unique solution s (w/short e)

SIS

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$, 'short' $\mathbf{z}
eq \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z
- ► LWE ≤ SIS: if Az = 0, then $b^t z = e^t z$ is small, but $b^t z$ is 'well-spread'

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- Unique solution s (w/short e)

• SIS
$$\stackrel{??}{\leq}$$
 LWE (stay till Wed...)

SIS

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$, 'short' $\mathbf{z}
eq \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z
- ► LWE ≤ SIS: if Az = 0, then $b^t z = e^t z$ is small, but $b^t z$ is 'well-spread'
- Applications: OWF / CRHF, signatures, ID schemes

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- Unique solution s (w/short e)

• SIS
$$\stackrel{??}{\leq}$$
 LWE (stay till Wed...)

SIS

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$, 'short' $\mathbf{z}
eq \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z
- ► LWE ≤ SIS: if Az = 0, then $b^t z = e^t z$ is small, but $b^t z$ is 'well-spread'
- Applications: OWF / CRHF, signatures, ID schemes

'minicrypt'

$$(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$$
 vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$

- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- Unique solution s (w/short e)

• SIS
$$\stackrel{??}{\leq}$$
 LWE (stay till Wed...)

SIS

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$, 'short' $\mathbf{z}
eq \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z
- ► LWE ≤ SIS: if Az = 0, then $b^t z = e^t z$ is small, but $b^t z$ is 'well-spread'
- Applications: OWF / CRHF, signatures, ID schemes

'minicrypt'

LWE

- $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$ vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$
- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- Unique solution s (w/short e)

• SIS
$$\stackrel{??}{\leq}$$
 LWE (stay till Wed...)

 Applications: PKE, OT, ID-based encryption, FHE

SIS

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$, 'short' $\mathbf{z}
eq \mathbf{0}$

- 'Computational' (search) problem a la factoring, CDH
- Many valid solutions z
- ► LWE ≤ SIS: if Az = 0, then $b^t z = e^t z$ is small, but $b^t z$ is 'well-spread'
- Applications: OWF / CRHF, signatures, ID schemes

'minicrypt'

LWE

- $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t)$ vs. $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)$
- 'Decisional' problem a la QR, DCR, DDH
- Unique solution s (w/short e)

• SIS
$$\stackrel{??}{\leq}$$
 LWE (stay till Wed...)

 Applications: PKE, OT, ID-based encryption, FHE

'CRYPTOMANIA'

SIS

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$$
, 'short' $\mathbf{z}
eq \mathbf{0}$

Average-case SVP:

 $\mathcal{L}^{\perp}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^m : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}\}$



$\frac{\underline{\mathsf{LWE}}}{(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^t) \text{ vs. } (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}^t)}$ Average-case BDD:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\mathbf{z}^t \equiv \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{A} \bmod q\}$$



1 Check a candidate solution $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$:

1 Check a candidate solution $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$: test if all $\boldsymbol{b} - \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle$ 'small.'

1 Check a candidate solution $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$: test if all $\boldsymbol{b} - \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle$ 'small.'

If $\mathbf{s}' \neq \mathbf{s}$, then $\mathbf{b} - \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle + e$ is 'well-spread' in \mathbb{Z}_q .

1 Check a candidate solution $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$: test if all $\mathbf{b} - \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle$ 'small.' If $\mathbf{s}' \neq \mathbf{s}$, then $\mathbf{b} - \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle + e$ is 'well-spread' in \mathbb{Z}_q .

2 'Shift' the secret by any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$:

- **1** Check a candidate solution $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$: test if all $\boldsymbol{b} \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle$ 'small.' If $\mathbf{s}' \neq \mathbf{s}$, then $\boldsymbol{b} - \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle + e$ is 'well-spread' in \mathbb{Z}_q .
- 2 'Shift' the secret by any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$: given $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a} \rangle + e)$, output

$$\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{b} + \langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a} \rangle$$

= $\langle \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a} \rangle + \mathbf{e}$

- **1** Check a candidate solution $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$: test if all $\boldsymbol{b} \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle$ 'small.' If $\mathbf{s}' \neq \mathbf{s}$, then $\boldsymbol{b} - \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle + e$ is 'well-spread' in \mathbb{Z}_q .
- 2 'Shift' the secret by any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$: given $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a} \rangle + e)$, output

$$\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{b} + \langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a} \rangle$$

= $\langle \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a} \rangle + \epsilon$

Random t's (with fresh samples) \Rightarrow random self-reduction.

Lets us amplify success probabilities (both search & decision):

non-negl on uniform
$$\mathbf{s} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^n \implies \approx 1$$
 on any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$

- 1 Check a candidate solution $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$: test if all $\mathbf{b} \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle$ 'small.' If $\mathbf{s}' \neq \mathbf{s}$, then $\mathbf{b} - \langle \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a} \rangle + e$ is 'well-spread' in \mathbb{Z}_q .
- 2 'Shift' the secret by any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$: given $(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{b} = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a} \rangle + e)$, output

$$\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{b} + \langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a} \rangle$$

= $\langle \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a} \rangle + \mathbf{c}$

Random t's (with fresh samples) \Rightarrow random self-reduction.

Lets us amplify success probabilities (both search & decision):

non-negl on uniform $\mathbf{s} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^n \implies \approx 1$ on any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$

3 Multiple secrets: $(\mathbf{a}, b_1 \approx \langle \mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a} \rangle, \dots, b_t \approx \langle \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a} \rangle)$ vs. $(\mathbf{a}, b_1, \dots, b_t)$. Simple hybrid argument, since **a**'s are *public*.

Lattice-Based Crypto & Applications, Bar-Ilan University, Israel 2012

Search/Decision Equivalence [BFKL'94,R'05]

Suppose D solves decision-LWE: it 'perfectly' distinguishes between pairs (a, b = ⟨s, a⟩ + e) and (a, b).

Search/Decision Equivalence [BFKL'94,R'05]

Suppose D solves decision-LWE: it 'perfectly' distinguishes between pairs (a, b = ⟨s, a⟩ + e) and (a, b).

We want to solve search-LWE: given pairs (a, b), find s.
Suppose D solves decision-LWE: it 'perfectly' distinguishes between pairs (a, b = ⟨s, a⟩ + e) and (a, b).

We want to solve search-LWE: given pairs (a, b), find s.

▶ If q = poly(n), to find $s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ it suffices to test whether $s_1 \stackrel{?}{=} 0$, because we can shift s_1 by $0, 1, \ldots, q-1$. Same for s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_n .

Suppose D solves decision-LWE: it 'perfectly' distinguishes between pairs (a, b = ⟨s, a⟩ + e) and (a, b).

We want to solve search-LWE: given pairs (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) , find s.

▶ If q = poly(n), to find $s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ it suffices to test whether $s_1 \stackrel{?}{=} 0$, because we can shift s_1 by $0, 1, \ldots, q-1$. Same for s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_n .

<u>The test</u>: for each (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) , choose fresh $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$. Invoke \mathcal{D} on pairs $(\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a} - (r, 0, \dots, 0), b).$

Suppose D solves decision-LWE: it 'perfectly' distinguishes between pairs (a, b = ⟨s, a⟩ + e) and (a, b).

We want to solve search-LWE: given pairs (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) , find s.

▶ If q = poly(n), to find $s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ it suffices to test whether $s_1 \stackrel{?}{=} 0$, because we can shift s_1 by $0, 1, \ldots, q-1$. Same for s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_n .

<u>The test</u>: for each (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) , choose fresh $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$. Invoke \mathcal{D} on pairs $(\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a} - (r, 0, \dots, 0), b).$

• Notice: $b = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}' \rangle + s_1 \cdot r + e$.

Suppose D solves decision-LWE: it 'perfectly' distinguishes between pairs (a, b = ⟨s, a⟩ + e) and (a, b).

We want to solve search-LWE: given pairs (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) , find s.

▶ If q = poly(n), to find $s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ it suffices to test whether $s_1 \stackrel{?}{=} 0$, because we can shift s_1 by $0, 1, \ldots, q-1$. Same for s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_n .

<u>The test</u>: for each (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) , choose fresh $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$. Invoke \mathcal{D} on pairs $(\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a} - (r, 0, \dots, 0), b).$

► Notice:
$$b = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}' \rangle + s_1 \cdot r + e$$
.
★ If $s_1 = 0$, then $b = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}' \rangle + e \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ accepts.

Suppose D solves decision-LWE: it 'perfectly' distinguishes between pairs (a, b = ⟨s, a⟩ + e) and (a, b).

We want to solve search-LWE: given pairs (a, b), find s.

▶ If q = poly(n), to find $s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ it suffices to test whether $s_1 \stackrel{?}{=} 0$, because we can shift s_1 by $0, 1, \ldots, q-1$. Same for s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_n .

<u>The test</u>: for each (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) , choose fresh $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$. Invoke \mathcal{D} on pairs $(\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a} - (r, 0, \dots, 0), b).$

Notice: b = ⟨s, a'⟩ + s₁ · r + e.
★ If s₁ = 0, then b = ⟨s, a'⟩ + e ⇒ D accepts.
★ If s₁ ≠ 0 and q prime then b = uniform ⇒ D rejects.

Suppose D solves decision-LWE: it 'perfectly' distinguishes between pairs (a, b = ⟨s, a⟩ + e) and (a, b).

We want to solve search-LWE: given pairs (a, b), find s.

▶ If q = poly(n), to find $s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ it suffices to test whether $s_1 \stackrel{?}{=} 0$, because we can shift s_1 by $0, 1, \ldots, q-1$. Same for s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_n .

<u>The test</u>: for each (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) , choose fresh $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$. Invoke \mathcal{D} on pairs $(\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a} - (r, 0, \dots, 0), b).$

Notice: b = ⟨s, a'⟩ + s₁ · r + e.
★ If s₁ = 0, then b = ⟨s, a'⟩ + e ⇒ D accepts.
★ If s₁ ≠ 0 and q prime then b = uniform ⇒ D rejects.
Don't really need prime q = poly(n) [P'09,ACPS'09,MM'11,MP'12]

Theorem ([M'01,ACPS'09])

LWE is no easier if the secret is drawn from the error distribution χ^n .

Theorem ([M'01,ACPS'09])

LWE is no easier if the secret is drawn from the error distribution χ^n .

(This is the 'Hermite normal form' of LWE.)

Theorem ([M'01,ACPS'09])

LWE is no easier if the secret is drawn from the error distribution χ^n .

(This is the 'Hermite normal form' of LWE.)

lntuition: finding $e \Leftrightarrow$ finding s: take $b^t - e^t = s^t A$, solve for s.

Theorem ([M'01,ACPS'09])

LWE is no easier if the secret is drawn from the error distribution χ^n .

(This is the 'Hermite normal form' of LWE.)

▶ Intuition: finding $e \Leftrightarrow$ finding s: take $b^t - e^t = s^t A$, solve for s.

Transformation from secret $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ to secret $\bar{\mathbf{e}} \leftarrow \chi^n$:

Theorem ([M'01,ACPS'09])

LWE is no easier if the secret is drawn from the error distribution χ^n .

(This is the 'Hermite normal form' of LWE.)

▶ Intuition: finding $e \Leftrightarrow$ finding s: take $b^t - e^t = s^t A$, solve for s.

Transformation from secret $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ to secret $\bar{\mathbf{e}} \leftarrow \chi^n$: **1** Draw samples to get $(\bar{\mathbf{A}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \bar{\mathbf{A}} + \bar{\mathbf{e}}^t)$ for square, invertible $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$.

Theorem ([M'01,ACPS'09])

LWE is no easier if the secret is drawn from the error distribution χ^n .

(This is the 'Hermite normal form' of LWE.)

▶ Intuition: finding $e \Leftrightarrow$ finding s: take $b^t - e^t = s^t A$, solve for s.

Transformation from secret $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ to secret $\bar{\mathbf{e}} \leftarrow \chi^n$:

- **1** Draw samples to get $(\bar{\mathbf{A}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \bar{\mathbf{A}} + \bar{\mathbf{e}}^t)$ for square, invertible $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$.
- **2** Transform each additional sample $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a} \rangle + e)$ to

$$\mathbf{a}' = -ar{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\mathbf{a} \quad , \quad b' = b + \langle ar{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{a}'
angle$$

Theorem ([M'01,ACPS'09])

LWE is no easier if the secret is drawn from the error distribution χ^n .

(This is the 'Hermite normal form' of LWE.)

▶ Intuition: finding $e \Leftrightarrow$ finding s: take $b^t - e^t = s^t A$, solve for s.

Transformation from secret $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ to secret $\bar{\mathbf{e}} \leftarrow \chi^n$:

- **1** Draw samples to get $(\bar{\mathbf{A}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \bar{\mathbf{A}} + \bar{\mathbf{e}}^t)$ for square, invertible $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$.
- **②** Transform each additional sample $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a} \rangle + e)$ to

$$\mathbf{a}' = -\bar{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\mathbf{a}$$
, $b' = b + \langle \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{a}' \rangle$
= $\langle \bar{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{a}' \rangle + e.$

Theorem ([M'01,ACPS'09])

LWE is no easier if the secret is drawn from the error distribution χ^n .

(This is the 'Hermite normal form' of LWE.)

▶ Intuition: finding $e \Leftrightarrow$ finding s: take $b^t - e^t = s^t A$, solve for s.

Transformation from secret $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ to secret $\bar{\mathbf{e}} \leftarrow \chi^n$:

- **1** Draw samples to get $(\bar{\mathbf{A}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}^t = \mathbf{s}^t \bar{\mathbf{A}} + \bar{\mathbf{e}}^t)$ for square, invertible $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$.
- **②** Transform each additional sample $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a} \rangle + e)$ to

$$\mathbf{a}' = -\bar{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\mathbf{a}$$
, $b' = b + \langle \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{a}' \rangle$
= $\langle \bar{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{a}' \rangle + e.$

• This maps (\mathbf{a}, b) to (\mathbf{a}', b') , so it applies to decision-LWE too.







(Images courtesy xkcd.org) Lattice-Based Crypto & Applications, Bar-Ilan University, Israel 2012

11/15









(Images courtesy xkcd.org)



(Images courtesy xkcd.org)



(Images courtesy xkcd.org)



(Images courtesy xkcd.org)



(Images courtesy xkcd.org)

 $\bigwedge^{} \mathbf{x} \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{m}$



















unique sk = s

Dual

▶ pk = (A, u = Ax) is statistically random with many possible sk = x

► c'text (u = Ax, u' ≈ s^t u) is a fresh LWE sample, with <u>many</u> possible Enc coins x

Dual

 pk = (A, u = Ax) is statistically random with many possible sk = x

► c'text $(\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}' \approx \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{u})$ is a fresh LWE sample, with <u>many</u> possible Enc coins \mathbf{x}

- ▶ pk = (A, u = Ax) is statistically random with many possible sk = x
- ► c'text (b, b') ≈ s^t(A, u) is many LWE RHS's, with <u>unique</u> Enc coins s, e

- ► c'text $(\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}' \approx \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{u})$ is a fresh LWE sample, with <u>many</u> possible Enc coins \mathbf{x}
- security: encrypting to 'malformed' pk = (A, b^t) induces uniform ciphertext

- ▶ pk = (A, u = Ax) is statistically random with many possible sk = x
- ► c'text (b, b') ≈ s^t(A, u) is many LWE RHS's, with <u>unique</u> Enc coins s, e

▶ pk = (A, b^t = s^tA + e^t) is pseudorandom with unique sk = s

- ► c'text (u = Ax, u' ≈ s^t u) is a fresh LWE sample, with <u>many</u> possible Enc coins x
- security: encrypting to 'malformed' pk = (A, b^t) induces uniform ciphertext

- ▶ pk = (A, u = Ax) is statistically random with many possible sk = x
- ► c'text (b, b') ≈ s^t(A, u) is many LWE RHS's, with <u>unique</u> Enc coins s, e
- security: switch ciphertext to uniform using LWE

- ► c'text $(\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}' \approx \mathbf{s}^t \mathbf{u})$ is a fresh LWE sample, with <u>many</u> possible Enc coins \mathbf{x}
- security: encrypting to 'malformed' pk = (A, b^t) induces uniform ciphertext

Dual

- ▶ pk = (A, u = Ax) is statistically random with many possible sk = x
- ► c'text (b, b') ≈ s^t(A, u) is many LWE RHS's, with <u>unique</u> Enc coins s, e
- security: switch ciphertext to uniform using LWE

(shared) A size: $n \times (n \log q)$ elements of \mathbb{Z}_q (user) pk & ct size: $n \log q \& n$ elements, or vice-versa
















When We Come Back...

► A different kind of LWE application: Efficient pseudorandom functions

When We Come Back...

A different kind of LWE application: Efficient pseudorandom functions

Selected bibliography for this talk:

- R'05 O. Regev, "On lattices, learning with errors, random linear codes, and cryptography," STOC'05 / JACM'09.
- GPV'08 C. Gentry, C. Peikert, V. Vaikuntanathan, "Trapdoors for hard lattices and new cryptographic constructions," STOC'08.
- ACPS'09 B. Applebaum, D. Cash, C. Peikert, A. Sahai, "Fast Cryptographic Primitives and Circular-Secure Encryption Based on Hard Learning Problems," CRYPTO'09.
 - LPS'10 V. Lyubashevsky, A. Palacio, G. Segev, "Public-Key Cryptographic Primitives Provably as Secure as Subset Sum," TCC'10.
 - LP'11 R. Lindner, C. Peikert, "Better Key Sizes (and Attacks) for LWE-Based Encryption," CT-RSA'11.