Towards Restoring Locomotion for Paraplegics: Realizing Dynamically Stable Walking on Exoskeletons
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Abstract—This paper presents the first experimental results of crutch-less dynamic walking with paraplegics on a lower-body exoskeleton: ATALANTE, designed by the French start-up company Wandercraft. The methodology used to achieve these results is based on the partial hybrid zero dynamics (PHZD) framework for formally generating stable walking gaits. A direct collocation optimization formulation is used to provide fast and efficient generation of gaits tailored to each patient. These gaits are then implemented on the exoskeleton for three paraplegics. The end result is dynamically stable walking in an exoskeleton without the need for crutches. After a short period of tuning by the engineers and practice by the subjects, each subject was able to dynamically walk across a room of about 10 m up to a speed of 0.15 m/s (0.5 km/h) without the need for crutches or any other kind of assistance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Regaining autonomy in their daily lives through the ability to walk again is a central aspiration for many people with lower limb paralysis, i.e. paraplegics. Among the promising solutions to restore locomotion are robotic devices that fit around the legs of a user, called lower-limb exoskeletons for rehabilitation. A comprehensive review of the state-of-the-art lower-limb exoskeletons can be found in [10]. Research in this field began in the early 1960s independently at the Mihailo Pupin Institute in Belgrade [18], [26] and at the University of Wisconsin [15], [24]. The resulting exoskeletons were able to physically advance the legs of a user, but the user had to use crutches to maintain balance. Additionally, there has been a broad body of work on different aspect of exoskeletons [10], [25], [29] from assistive knee devices [11] to soft exoskeletons [27]. Throughout the years, in addition to regaining autonomy, clinical tests showed the health benefits of walking for paraplegics: improvement of blood circulation, of respiratory, urinary, and intestinal functions, and positive psychological effects [20].

Due to the physical and psychological advantages of exoskeletons, multiple companies have emerged over the past decade and have started to commercialize their products for use in rehabilitation centers. Nevertheless, these exoskeletons still have very limited capabilities: either they require the use of crutches for balance or direction control, which is tiring for the user [1], [2], or they provide slow static gaits with velocities on the order of 0.05 m/s [3]. The ultimate goal is to have a device that provides fast, robust and dynamic gaits for safe daily use anywhere. By “dynamic”, as opposed to “static”, we mean that the high level control goal is to stabilize periodic motions that do not necessarily keep the center of mass (or even of center of pressure) within a support polygon of the feet. From an outside perspective, during a step the exoskeleton is falling forward only to have the swing foot reach out and catch the user before beginning the process again. This is the behavior naturally achieved by humans when they walk, and the objective is thus to endow exoskeletons with these behaviors. The French start-up company Wandercraft has emerged with the goal of designing such devices [5].
Recent progress in the field of robotic bipedal locomotion, both in terms of hardware development and control algorithm design, is making dynamic locomotion on exoskeletons a possibility. Of particular note for this work is the method of virtual constraints and hybrid zero dynamics (HZD method for short) which has resulted in dynamic walking on multiple robots by facilitating the design of gaits that are provably stable and experimentally realizable; they enforce the unilateral ground contact as well as friction and input torque bounds [8], [12], [13], [17], [28]. Virtual constraints are relations between the joints or links of a device that are induced by feedback control instead of a physical connection. They thus induce a reduced-order dynamic model of the hybrid system that is called the hybrid zero dynamics. The method of HZD was extended to allow for multi-contact walking for robots with feet in the context of partial hybrid zero dynamics, or PHZD for short [7]. PHZD has been successfully realized to achieve dynamic and efficient locomotion on humanoid robots with feet [17], [22], [23] and, as a result, is a natural framework in which to control exoskeletons with the goal of dynamic and human-like gaits. Quite recently, preliminary simulation results showed the application of PHZD to the control of an exoskeleton worn by a paraplegic; see [6] for details as well as later in the paper.

Wandercraft has designed an exoskeleton, named ATALANTE, which has realized for the first time, using controllers based on the PHZD method, dynamic stable walking for paraplegics without the use of crutches or any other kind of assistance (as illustrated in Fig. 1). This paper describes the formal foundations along with the methodology used for achieving this performance and presents some promising experimental results: after a short period of tuning by on-site engineers and practice by the subjects, each subject was able to dynamically walk across a room of about 10 m up to a speed of 0.15 m/s (0.5 km/h). Although it reports results obtained with patients in clinical settings, it is not a clinical report of any sort. Therefore, the contributions of this paper are:

- The application of the PHZD method to the experimental control of a lower-limb exoskeleton.
- The realization of dynamically stable walking for paraplegics without the use of crutches or any other kind of assistance.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows (following the methodology in Fig. 2). Section II presents a hybrid model of the human exoskeleton system and the PHZD framework. This leads to our framework for the design of stable dynamic walking gaits represented as a nonlinear optimization problem under constraints. The resolution of this problem using direct collocation is described in Section III. Finally, Section IV presents the implementation of the gaits on the exoskeleton and the experimental results.

II. MATHEMATICAL FOUNDATION

In this section, we summarize our approach for generating stabilizing controllers for the powered lower-limb exoskeleton ATALANTE by building upon the (PHZD)-based theoretical foundation [7]. More precisely, we utilize the hybrid nature of bipedal locomotion and implement stable periodic behaviors by enforcing invariant reduced dimensional manifolds via virtual-constraint-based feedback controllers. Because in these experiments, the user is not yet able to use his or her upper body to select the speed of the gait, the presentation does not require the decentralized implementation presented in [6].

A. Hybrid System Model

ATALANTE is a lower-limb exoskeleton designed by the French startup company Wandercraft. It is intended to be used by paraplegics in medical center settings for rehabilitation. The exoskeleton has 12 degrees of freedom (6 per leg). Except for the ankle, where a special mechanism is mounted,
each degree of freedom is independently actuated by a brushless DC motor. A digital encoder is mounted on each motor to estimate joint position and velocity. Sensors installed in the feet allow for impact detection when the feet hit the ground. The exoskeleton is controlled by a central computer board running a real-time operating system and in charge of all high-level computations. The dimensions of ATALANTE can be easily adjusted to fit the patient through mechanical adjustments. The patient is secured to the exoskeleton by fasteners located at the ankle, the shin, the thigh, and the abdomen. ATALANTE is self-powered with a battery pack.

One key assumption in the current paper\(^1\) is that the user’s legs are rigidly attached to the exoskeleton and there is no actuation from the human body. The upper human body is modeled as a single rigid link—ignoring the relative motion of the waist, neck and arm joints of the human—rigidly attached to the pelvis of the exoskeleton. The lumped human-exoskeleton system then can be modeled as a kinematic tree of rigid links, as shown in Fig. 3. Specifically, we use floating base coordinates: assuming \(R_b\) is a body-fixed reference frame of the pelvis link with Cartesian position \(p \in \mathbb{R}^3\) and orientation \(\phi \in SO(3)\) with respect to the world frame \(R_0\), the configuration coordinates, \(\mathcal{D}\), of the system, given as

\[
q = (p, \phi, q_b) \in \mathcal{D} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times SO(3) \times \mathcal{D}_b,
\]

represents the generalized coordinates of the system. The body coordinates, \(q_b \in \mathcal{D}_b\), represent the 12 actuated lower-limb exoskeleton joints—each leg consists of 3 hip joints, 1 knee joint, and 2 ankle joints. The dynamic behavior of bipedal locomotion is often characterized as a hybrid system consisting of a series of continuous phases (e.g., when the leg swings forward) and discrete events (e.g. when the foot strikes the ground) \([14]\). In particular, we model the walking behavior of the human exoskeleton system as a hybrid control system (see \([6]\) for full definition):

\[
\mathcal{HC} = \{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{U}, S, \Delta, FG\},
\]

where \(\mathcal{D} \subseteq T \mathcal{D}\) is a smooth manifold representing the admissible \textit{domain} of the states, \(\mathcal{U}\) is the admissible \textit{control inputs}, \(S \subset \mathcal{D}\) is a switching surface, \(\Delta\) is the \textit{reset map} of the discontinuous dynamics, and \(FG\) is the control system defined on the continuous domain. The definition of each element of (2) is discussed briefly below.

\textbf{Continuous Dynamics.} For flat-footed walking, the continuous domain \(\mathcal{D}\) is determined by the planar contact of the stance foot with the ground. Assuming the ground is rigid, we will use \textit{holonomic constraints} to model the contact, i.e., the position and orientation of the stance foot link remain constant during the course of one step. Let \(\mu(q) \in \mathbb{R}^6\) be the collection of stance foot position and orientation, the kinematic constraint of the foot contact is \(J(q)q = 0\), where \(J(q) = \frac{\partial \mu(q)}{\partial q}\) is the Jacobian of holonomic constraints.

Given the mass, inertia, and length properties of each link of the human exoskeleton system, the equation of motion (EOM) of the continuous dynamics is then determined by the classical Euler-Lagrange equation and holonomic constraints of the domain \([14],[21]\):

\[
D(q)\ddot{q} + H(q, \dot{q}) = Bu + J^T(q)F
\]

where \(D(q)\) is the inertia matrix, \(H(q, \dot{q}) = C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q)\) is the vector containing the Coriolis and gravity term, \(B\) is the actuator distribution matrix, \(u \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{12}\) is the actuator inputs vector. The contact wrenches, \(F\), can be calculated by solving the second order derivative of the holonomic constraints,

\[
J(q)\ddot{q} + \dot{J}(q, \dot{q})q = 0,
\]

and (3) simultaneously. Substituting the closed form solution of \(F\) yields the affine control system, \(FG\), given as

\[
\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u,
\]

with \(x = (q, \dot{q}) \in \mathcal{D}\) being the state of the system.

\textbf{Discrete Dynamics.} When the swing foot hits the ground, an instantaneous change in contact occurs. We denote the triggering condition of the event as a guard \(S \subset \mathcal{D}\). Moreover, we assume that the impact with the ground is perfectly plastic. As a consequence, the joint configuration remains the same during impact, but the joint velocities undergo a discrete change. More precisely, using the derivation proposed by Hurmuzlu et al. \([19]\), we get:

\[
\dot{q}^- = (I - D^{-1}(q^+))J^T(q^+)\Xi(q^+)J(q^+)\dot{q}^- := \Delta(q)\dot{q}^-.
\]

where, for simplicity, \(\Xi(q) = (J(q)D^{-1}(q)J^T(q))^{-1}\). This discrete dynamics of the system can be captured as a reset map, \(\Delta\), that maps the pre-impact states to post-impact states:

\[
(q^+, \dot{q}^+) = \Delta(q^-, \dot{q}^-) := \left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{q}^- \\
\Delta(q)\dot{q}^-
\end{array}\right].
\]

\(^1\)In \([6]\) we allow the user to control the exoskeleton via upper body posture; hence an articulated model of a human is used.
Theorem 1. If the hybrid system $\mathcal{H}$ in (8) satisfies (17), then there exists $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon > \bar{\epsilon}$ the feedback controller (11) yields a periodic orbit $\Theta = \Theta_0(\Theta_{pz})$, for $\Theta_{pz} \subset \mathcal{PZ}_\alpha$ and $\Theta_0: \mathcal{PZ}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{PZ}_\alpha$ the canonical embedding, that is a locally exponentially stable periodic orbit of $\mathcal{H}$.

Remark 1. Introducing partial zero dynamics not only allows us to command a constant desired velocity, but also the evolution of $y_1$ is now solely determined via the linear output dynamics given in (13) and is independent of $\tau$. This allows for a driving element that pushes the robot forward regardless of the state of the phase variable.
III. Gait Generation and Simulations

Using the PHZD framework described above, we want to design the desired profile of the virtual constraints that when enforced leads to a stable walking gait. This is done through a nonlinear constrained optimization process using direct collocation. Others ways of solving the optimization can be used like single shooting methods, but direct collocation was found to be the fastest and most efficient way to solve this problem [17]; stable walking gaits are obtained in minutes. In this section we presents an overview of how we used direct collocation to obtain stable dynamic walking gaits.

A. Direct Collocation Optimization

Direct collocation methods have been widely used for trajectory optimization of dynamical systems governed by ordinary differential equations [9], [16]. In this work, we incorporated the virtual constraints based feedback controller in the direct collocation based trajectory optimization problem. The PHZD constraint in (17) is enforced at impact, such that the optimized trajectory is a hybrid invariant periodic orbit. The end result is a set of parameters $\alpha$ for a stable walking gait.

The mathematical foundation of the direct collocation optimization is based on the finite step implicit Runge-Kutta methods [9]. Specifically, we divide the continuous dynamics solution into a fixed number of intervals. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_N = T_I$, (18)

be the discrete time instances, a.k.a., nodes, defined at the terminal of these intervals, where $T_I > 0$ is the time at which the swing foot hits the ground. Also, we introduce $x^i$, $\dot{x}^i$, $u^i$, and $F^i$ as decision variables of the optimization problem to approximate the state variables, control inputs, ground reaction forces of the system at node $i$, and introduce $\alpha^i$ as decision variables for the virtual constraints parameters, respectively. Next, we modify the classical Hermite-Simpson method over time intervals between two neighboring even nodes. Specifically, the following equality constraints—termed as \textit{defect constraints}—are enforced:

\begin{align*}
  x^i - \frac{3(x^{i+1} - x^{i-1})}{2\Delta t} + \frac{(x^{i+1} + x^{i-1})}{4} &= 0, \quad (19) \\
  \dot{x}^i - \frac{(x^{i+1} + x^{i-1})}{2\Delta t} - \frac{\Delta t(x^{i+1} - x^{i-1})}{8} &= 0, \quad (20)
\end{align*}

for all $i \in \{1, 3, \cdots, N-1\}$, where $\Delta t = t_{i+1} - t_{i-1}$ is the time interval. If the Lagrangian dynamics in (3) and holonomic constraints in (4) are satisfied at each node, then the piecewise cubic polynomials that connect all $x^i$ represent an approximated solution of the differential equations in (5) [9], [17].

Considering that our goal is to find virtual constraints parameters instead of open-loop trajectories, we incorporate the feedback controller into the optimization in a way that is similar to holonomic constraints. Instead of enforcing the control input $u^i$ directly as in (11), we impose equality constraints on system states such that they satisfy the output dynamics in (13) and (14). Further, the hybrid invariant condition in (17) between states at the last and first nodes.

\begin{align*}
  \argmin_{x^i} \Phi_{\text{CoT}}(z) \\
  \text{s.t. } z_{\text{min}} \leq z \leq z_{\text{max}}, \\
  c_{\text{min}} \leq c(z) \leq c_{\text{max}},
\end{align*}

where $z$ is the set of all decision variables, and $c(z)$ is a collection of necessary constraints presented in [17]. Torque limits and joint position and velocity limits of the ATALANTE are directly enforced as boundary conditions of decision variables in (22), whereas friction cone and zero moment constraints of the foot contacts are enforced as extra physical constraints. In addition, several constraints are considered in the optimization in order to narrow down the search space and address certain aspects specific to human-friendly walking. Impact velocities, ZMP position, CoM position and torso orientation are an example of the many constraints that need to be considered.

A challenge arises here, as each patient has a specific mass distribution and dimensions which lead to unique dynamics for each individual. In order to accelerate this process, Wandercraft has derived a fast way to recompute the dynamics for each case, making it possible to rapidly adapt to new patients. For now, the details of this process must be kept private to protect the intellectual property of the company. The result of this process (cf. Fig. 2) is a provably stable walking gait that minimizes a cost function (eg. energy consumption) and satisfies all of the desired constraints.

B. Simulation results

Even though the generated gaits are consistent with the dynamics by design, with collocation optimization, this only holds at the few specific node points selected for the virtual constraints. Therefore, in order to verify the validity of these
gaits, a feedback-linearizing controller tracking the nominal outputs is implemented in a simulation. This way, the full trajectory of the robot is recovered and the periodicity and stability of the gait are assessed. The joint positions generated by these simulations are then used as the nominal joint trajectories to be tracked on the hardware. Gait tiles simulated walking gait obtained via this procedure is shown in Fig. 5 with the corresponding phase portrait plotted in Fig. 7 as the nominal gait (red), prior to modification of the trajectories on the hardware (shown in blue). The fact that the phase portraits are periodic orbits imply that a valid periodic walking gait is generated.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION ON THE EXOSKELETON

The final step of this work is the implementation of the generated gaits on hardware. This section details some of the implementation on ATALANTE, and presents the results obtained with multiple users (1 dummy and 3 paraplegic patients). Importantly, dynamically stable locomotion is realized in all cases, and the walking is compared across patients.

A. Implementation of gaits on hardware

In order to translate the gaits obtained in simulation into actual dynamical walking on hardware, the following methodology has been followed (as illustrated in Fig. 2).

Adjusting the Exoskeleton dimensions. Since all patients have different sizes and body shapes, the hardware has been designed so that certain dimensions can be adjusted (cf. green links in Fig. 3). Using the measurements made to generate the patient model, the dimensions of the exoskeleton are adjusted to align the robot’s joints with the patient’s joints. This allows to minimize the internal stresses at the attachment points between the patient and the exoskeleton arising from the highly hyper-static nature of the human-exoskeleton mechanism.

Embedded systems structure. The exoskeleton is controlled by a central computer board running a real-time operating system and in charge of all high level computations. This central computer then sends commands to motor controllers that handle the low-level operation of brushless motors. Digital encoders are present on all the joints of the exoskeleton. IMU’s are located in different parts of the exoskeleton allowing for a better estimation of the pose of the robot. Furthermore, sensors are present in the feet and provide a measurement of the center-of-pressure during walking.

In order to get the exoskeleton to realize the desired gait, trajectory tracking is implemented (cf. Fig. 6). Given one chosen cyclic gait, articular targets for each joints are generated. These targets are the nominal trajectories of the joints but modified online based on foot impact detection to allow for a better transition between steps. These targets are then sent to a control loop which generates current commands at the joint level. The current command is then sent to the motor controller that handles the high-frequency low-level control of the motor.

B. Experimental results with a dummy

To evaluate the controllers, experiments are first carried out using a mannequin or dummy (cf. Fig. 5). As we can see in Fig. 7, the nominal and target trajectories (in red and blue respectively) are marginally different after the tuning and high-level filtering of the nominal trajectories (cf. Fig. 6). The target gait is followed with relatively good accuracy resulting in stable dynamic walking of the hardware.

C. Experimental results with human subjects

As a result of the successful results obtained with the dummy, experiments are carried out with paraplegic patients. Some characteristics of these patients are summarized in Table I.

Clinical Set-Up. Experiments were conducted in a certified medical center and approved by the ANSM (French regulatory administration for health products). To prevent
TABLE I: Patients data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Distance traveled (m)</th>
<th>Speed (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10.56</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8: Phase portraits for patient A during 60s of unassisted walking.

injury from a fall, one person is placed at each side of a patient. In case of loss of balance, the two assistants catch the exoskeleton by handles on its sides. Furthermore, a safety cable is attached to the exoskeleton and an overhead rail (or gantry). This is a secondary means to secure a patient and prevent a fall. Hence, assistance is provided only in case of loss of balance. During walking, the exoskeleton and its user are self stabilized and no outside assistance was given.

Results. As can be seen in the video at [4] and Fig. 9c, crutch-less dynamically stable exoskeleton walking of paraplegic patients is achieved as a result of the methodology developed in this paper. All patients managed to walk unassisted for the entire length of the room after a few trials during which a best gait was chosen and then tuned; Table I includes the speed of walking and distance traveled.

The ability to successfully transfer the formal gaits generated to hardware is illustrated in Fig. 8 for Patient A, wherein the nominal (blue) and measured (shaded) trajectories are consistent throughout the experiment. The motors torques resulting from tracking the nominal trajectories (cf. Fig. 9b) are also consistent with simulation. Note that motor-torque saturations are relatively uncommon as the gaits are designed to account for all hardware limits. To compare the walking between patients, a representative selection of phase portraits for each patient are presented in Fig. 9a; even though the gaits are not the same (as they have been generated to best suit each patient), they all display a common fundamental structure.

(a) Selection of phase portraits. The solid lines are the target trajectories. The shaded regions are the measured joints positions.

(b) Measured motor torques.

(c) Walking tiles of patients A, B and C (in this order from top to bottom).

Fig. 9: Experimental results for all three patients.
This is further illustrated in gait tiles of the patients walking in the exoskeleton (cf. Fig. 9c). While the dynamic walking gaits obtained are preliminary, and in no way constitute any kind of clinical evaluation, the ability to consistently realize them on patients points toward the validity of the framework developed.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has demonstrated the first ever experimental realization of hands-free dynamic walking by subjects with a lower spine injury. The keys to realizing this were novel hardware, stiff enough to physically support a subject, powerful enough to move the device’s legs quickly, and novel control mathematics developed over the past 15 years to allow bipedal robots to walk stably in uncertain environments and with imprecise dynamic models. Importantly, these results concretely mark the first steps toward the vision of restoring locomotion for paraplegics.

The preliminary results demonstrated very slow walking on the order of 0.1 m/s. Stable gaits at 0.4 m/s were achieved in simulation, and such speeds can be expected to be reached on the current hardware and with patients. Future work will also provide the ability to stand from a chair, initiate a gait, stop, turn, and sit in a chair. However, before providing more gait features, focus will be on improving the basic posture of the gaits to be more upright and comfortable, with foot roll and heel strike. Better robustness of the overall closed-loop system is also a general goal. The hope is that these future research directions will enable dynamic exoskeleton locomotion in everyday life settings, from traversing up and down stairs to walking outdoors in natural environments.
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